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## Wilder Research.

## Key findings

The Teacher Supply and Demand Report is created biennially to inform policy-makers and education stakeholders regarding the current landscape of teachers in Minnesota. The Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board (PELSB) worked with Wilder Research in fall 2018 to analyze teaching licensure, assignment, and employment data for the 2017-18 school year from the Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System (STAR).

Due to regular transitions in teacher licensure, including licensure type and licensure areas, evaluating the direct supply and demand of teachers by licensure area and economic development region is inexact. Additionally, PELSB, a new agency with new methodology for collecting and analyzing the data, now manages this report.

With those concerns, there are still some findings that can be pulled from the data in this report:

- The total percentage of individuals teaching on a special permission out of their licensure area or without a standard teaching license is 3.8 percent
- Slightly more than half (52.5\%) of teachers holding an active teaching license are not currently working as a teacher in a Minnesota public school. More data on why these individuals left and remain out of the classroom would provide better understanding about the current supply of teachers
- Licensure areas with a high percentage of teachers on special permission include career and technical education fields, world languages, special education, and STEM
- Districts continue to report a perception of "difficult" and "very difficult" to fill teaching positions
- The percentage of teachers of color remain stagnant while the percentage of students of color continues to grow in Minnesota

A new licensure system that collects data more accurately and efficiently, including districts using a statewide job board that can better track application data, should help future reports with data clarity and the development of trend lines.
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## Introduction

Every two years, the Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board (PELSB) provides information to the Minnesota Legislature about the supply and demand of licensed teachers in Minnesota public schools. The PELSB worked with Wilder Research in fall 2018 to gather and analyze data to address the following key research questions:

- What is the current supply and demand of teachers by licensure area and economic region?
- What are the shortage content areas for which districts place standard-licensed teachers to teach outside of their licensure areas or hire teachers with special permissions or out of compliance?
- What are the racial and ethnic compositions of teachers compared to those of students?
- What are the reasons for teacher attrition?
- What are the efforts to recruit and retain standard-licensed teachers and teachers of color?

The research findings will be used to meet the statutory requirements of Minn. Stat. § 122A.091, Subd. 5 and to advise the Legislature, educational institutions, and the public about loan forgiveness grants and career opportunities in education.

## Methods

With collaborative input from PELSB, Wilder Research analyzed teaching licensure, assignment, and employment data from the Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System (STAR), collected during the 2017-18 school year. Wilder Research also analyzed the student enrollment data for the 2017-18 school year, obtained from the Minnesota Department of Education's Data Center.

In addition, Wilder Research conducted web surveys of school districts and teacher preparation programs. The survey of school districts asked about the number of standardlicensed and special permission teachers in the district by licensure area in 2018-19; ethnic and racial compositions of teachers; overall perceptions on teacher shortages, including for standard-licensed teachers and substitute teachers; and district efforts to recruit and retain standard-licensed teachers and teachers of color. The survey was completed by 315 out of 538 school district representatives or hiring administrators, for a response rate of 58.6 percent.

The survey of teacher preparation programs asked about the number of students enrolled in the state-identified shortage areas in 2017-18; ethnic and racial compositions of the students; and the program efforts to recruit teaching candidates and support them to complete the program, including candidates of color. The survey was completed by 10 out of 29 program representatives or admission officers, for a response rate of 34.5 percent.

## Definitions and limitations

This section offers ways in which teacher licensure data are addressed. Figure 1 summarizes the number of licenses and teachers in four main categories: licensed, active licensed, assignments, and special permissions. It shows the total numbers of individual licenses or assignments (as applicable), as well as the total number of unduplicated individuals. For this report, when possible, unduplicated counts of individuals are used to better describe the supply of teachers.

■ "Licensed" means a full professional or standard license in a particular field that is not expired. For this report, all administrators and short-call substitute licenses are removed. However, other non-instructional licensure fields, including related-service licenses, are included.

■ Of persons licensed, less than half (63,629 out of 133,945 or $47.5 \%$ ) are actively using one or more of their licenses. The remaining teachers ( 70,316 or $52.5 \%$ ) are inactive, meaning they are currently holding a license but are not working. ${ }^{1}$ There are many potential reasons for this large number, including individuals who retired before their license expired, individuals working in non-instructional roles in education, and individuals taking temporary leave for personal issues.

- "Assignments" are the actual classroom assignments reported by districts. An individual teacher may have multiple part-time assignments, teaching in multiple license areas. Teachers with related-service licenses are allowed to teach in some assignment areas.
- "Special Permissions" include all people with permission to teach in a licensure areas without full qualifications to teach in the particular field. Many of these permissions include individuals with no standard license. Other permissions allow licensed individuals to teach out of their licensure area. Demand of teachers is often reflected in the percentage of assignments filled by special permissions. In total, 3.8 percent $(2,458$ of 64,487$)$ of unduplicated assignment-holders in Minnesota were individuals not licensed to teach the field of the assignment.

[^0]
## 1. Total number of licenses and assignments and unduplicated number of teachers

|  | Licensed | Active <br> licensed | Assignments | Special <br> permissions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of licenses or assignments | $217,946^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $106,879^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $138,757^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $4,834^{9}$ |
| Unduplicated number of teachers | $133,945^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $63,629^{\mathrm{d}}$ | $64,487^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $2,458^{\mathrm{h}}$ |

a "Total Licensed" is the total number of current (not expired) standard teaching and other applicable licenses after removing all licenses held by administrators and all short-call substitute licenses. If a teacher has multiple licenses, each license is counted. b"Unduplicated Licensed" is the total number of teachers and other applicable licensed staff who hold a current (not expired) standard teaching license after having removed all licenses held by administrators and all short-call substitute licenses. If a teacher has multiple licenses, they are counted only once here.
c"Total Active Licensed" is the total number of current (not expired) standard teaching and other applicable licenses held by teachers actively teaching after removing all licenses held by administrators and all short-call substitute licenses. If a teacher has multiple licenses, each license is counted.
d "Unduplicated Active Licensed" is the total number of teachers and other applicable licensed staff who are actively teaching who hold a current (not expired) standard teaching license after having removed all licenses held by administrators and all short-call substitute licenses. If a teacher has multiple licenses, they are counted only once here.
e"Total Assignments" is the total number of instructional and other applicable assignments after removing all administrative and other assignments not applicable to our analysis. If a teacher has multiple assignments, each assignment is counted. This includes all such applicable assignments regardless of whether the instructor was licensed, under a special permission, or out of compliance.
f"Unduplicated Assignments" is the total number of teachers, non-licensed instructors, and other applicable staff who appeared in the assignments data as holding an instructional or other applicable assignment after having removed all administrative and other assignments not applicable to our analysis. If a teacher has multiple assignments, they are counted only once here.
g"Total Special Permissions" is the total number of instructional and other applicable assignments held by a person under a special permission. If a teacher has multiple assignments, each assignment is counted.
n "Unduplicated Special Permissions" is the total number of teachers, non-licensed instructors, and other applicable staff who held any assignment under a special permission. If a teacher holds multiple assignments under special permission, they are counted only once.

This report disaggregates this data to show how some licensure areas have a higher percentage of individuals teaching with special permissions; how some Economic Development Regions (EDRs) have a high percentage of individuals teaching on special assignments; and how districts' perceptions of the shortage remains high.

Overall, it remains difficult to find direct answers for supply of teachers in Minnesota, and how well this meets the demand. Future data collection should explore why a large number of teachers currently holding standard licenses are not working. Also providing guidance to the districts on what license and assignment data are to be entered into the STAR system could be helpful in making sure that appropriate data for the analyses can be pulled out.

## Findings

## Supply of teachers

This section describes active standard-licensed teachers in Minnesota public schools in 2017-18. The active licensed teachers is the closest measure currently available for the supply of teachers in Minnesota.

Figure 2 shows the total number of such teachers in 2017-18 is $63,629 .{ }^{2}$ Most teachers ( $91.0 \%$ ) were returning teachers from the previous school year. Newly licensed teachers from in and outside of Minnesota made up 3.8 percent of the teaching workforce in 2017-18. Most of the teachers identified as white (95.7\%), followed by Asian (1.5\%), black (1.4\%), Hispanic (1.0\%), and American Indian ( $0.4 \%$ ). Three-quarters of the teachers were female.
2. Minnesota teacher profile in $2017-18(N=63,436)^{\text {a }}$

| Employment status | N | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Returning staff | 57,706 | $91 \%$ |
| Returning after break | 2,523 | $4 \%$ |
| Transfer from non-Minnesota or non-public schools | 775 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Newly licensed - Minnesota graduates | 2,136 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Newly licensed - graduates from outside of Minnesota | 256 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | 63,396 | $100 \%$ |
| Gender |  |  |
| Female | 48,053 | $75.8 \%$ |
| Male | 15,383 | $24.2 \%$ |
| Total | 63,436 | $100 \%$ |
| Race |  |  |
| American Indian | 285 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Asian | 951 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 634 | $1 \%$ |
| Black | 875 | $1.4 \%$ |
| White | 60,691 | $95.7 \%$ |
| Total | 63,436 | $100 \%$ |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR
a The teacher demographic data came from Employment data set, which has a different total number of teachers than in License data set.

[^1]Figure 3 shows that slightly more than half of the Minnesota teachers ( 34,062 of 63,436 or 53.7\%) worked in the seven-county Twin Cities Economic Development Region (EDR11). Figure 3 shows the proportions of teachers of color in each of the 11 Economic Development Regions (EDR) in Minnesota. The Twin Cities EDR had the highest percentage of teachers of color in the state. As a comparison, Figure 3 also shows the proportions of students of color in each of EDR in Minnesota, which are much larger than those of teachers.
3. Proportion of teachers of color and students of color by Economic Development Region (EDR)

| Economic Development Region (EDR) | Percentage <br> of teachers <br> of color | Number of <br> teachers | Percentage <br> of students <br> of color | Number of <br> students |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR 1 | Northwest | $0.4 \%$ | 1,115 | $16.8 \%$ | 14,082 |
| EDR 2 | Headwaters | $3.6 \%$ | 1,113 | $39.6 \%$ | 14,333 |
| EDR 3 | Arrowhead | $2.1 \%$ | 3,237 | $16.7 \%$ | 44,510 |
| EDR 4 | West Central | $1.1 \%$ | 2,613 | $15.9 \%$ | 34,711 |
| EDR 5 | North Central | $1 \%$ | 1,992 | $14.1 \%$ | 26,069 |
| EDR 6E | Southwest Central | $0.4 \%$ | 1,192 | $26.2 \%$ | 16,424 |
| EDR 6W | Upper Minnesota Valley | $0.7 \%$ | 608 | $18.3 \%$ | 7,574 |
| EDR 7E | East Central | $0.5 \%$ | 1,816 | $10.5 \%$ | 25,886 |
| EDR 7W | Central | $1.2 \%$ | 5,410 | $17.0 \%$ | 79,961 |
| EDR 8 | Southwest | $0.9 \%$ | 1,742 | $30.1 \%$ | 20,632 |
| EDR 9 | South Central | $0.7 \%$ | 2,670 | $20.9 \%$ | 34,790 |
| EDR 10 | Southeast | $1.3 \%$ | 5,890 | $25.5 \%$ | 78,728 |
| EDR 11 | 7-County Twin Cities | $7 \%$ | 34,062 | $44.4 \%$ | 487,152 |
|  | Minnesota | $4.3 \%$ | 63,436 | $33.5 \%$ | 884,852 |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Figure 4 shows that among the active standard-licensed teachers, there were teachers who worked in their license or endorsement areas and teachers who did not. Figure 4 shows the areas in which the highest proportion of the teachers with appropriate licenses worked. (Minn. Stat. § 122A. 16 defines a "qualified teacher" as an individual holding a valid license for their assignment. For this report, that is considered a "standard" full professional license.) Related-service licensure areas have high proportions because each area has additional requirements, licensure, and oversight from boards within their professional field. Some endorsement areas appear to have low numbers of teaching with this license (Appendix Figure A1) because their assignment falls under their initial license. For example, an elementary-licensed teacher with a middle-level endorsement is identified as using their elementary license, although they may be using their middle-level endorsement in their assignment.

## 4. License areas of most qualified teachers

|  | Number of <br> active teachers <br> working in their <br> license area | Total number of <br> active teachers <br> holding the <br> license | Percentage of <br> active teachers <br> using their license |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License or endorsement | 615 | 616 | $99.8 \%$ |
| Public school nurse | 795 | 809 | $98.3 \%$ |
| School psychologist (general)* | 1,846 | 1,890 | $97.7 \%$ |
| Speech-language pathologist | 1,249 | 1,284 | $97.3 \%$ |
| School social worker (general)* | 2,254 | 2,405 | $93.7 \%$ |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and | 151 | 163 | $92.6 \%$ |
| classroom (general)* | 111 | 120 | $92.5 \%$ |
| Agriculture education (general)* | 32 | 35 | $91.4 \%$ |
| Community education director | 1,382 | 1,518 | $91.0 \%$ |
| Latin | 20 | 22 | $90.9 \%$ |
| Visual arts | 1,015 | 1,122 | $90.5 \%$ |
| American Sign Language | 1,316 | 1,455 | $90.4 \%$ |
| Academic and behavioral strategist | 517 | 575 | $89.9 \%$ |
| School counseling (general)* |  |  |  |
| Industrial arts/technology (general)* |  |  |  |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes the licenses in which the total number of active teachers holding the license >10 and the percentages of qualified teachers working in the license areas are 89.51 percent or more.

* This is a group of similar licenses.

Appendix Figures A1 and A2 show the results for all the license areas and all licenses by grade level, respectively. These figures show that the results vary by grade levels. For example, there are four grade-level licenses for mathematics: 5-12, 5-8, 7-12, and 9-12. Out of the number of teachers holding relevant grade-level licenses, almost all teachers with a middle-through-high school math license (mathematics 7-12) taught in the appropriate subject area and grade levels ( $91.6 \%$ ). However, 37.1 percent of teachers with a middle school math license (mathematics 5-8) taught in that area. Appendix Figure A3 shows the top five areas with the most qualified teachers in each EDR.

Almost all standard-licensed teachers worked in at least one of their licensure or endorsement areas (98.1\%). Results are similar for White and teachers of color (98.4\% and 97.2\%, respectively; Appendix Figure A4) and across the EDRs.

## Demand

This section presents information on the various factors of demand, including student enrollment patterns. Student count in comparison to the supply of teacher is only one measure of demand.

Figure 5 shows that the total number of students enrolled in Minnesota schools in 201718 is 884,852 , an increase of over 20,000 students from 2015-16. The increase in student enrollment ranged from 0.5 percent to 5.1 percent in each region, with the South Central, Upper Minnesota Valley, and Southwest EDRs experiencing the highest increase in their total student enrollment since 2015-16.
5. Student enrollment in 2017-18 and 2015-16 by Economic Development Region (EDR)

| Economic | Development Region (EDR) | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 1 8}$ <br> enrollment | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ <br> enrollment | Growth from <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | Percentage <br> of growth |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR 1 | Northwest | 14,082 | 14,006 | +76 | $+0.5 \%$ |
| EDR 2 | Headwaters | 14,333 | 13,897 | +436 | $+3.1 \%$ |
| EDR 3 | Arrowhead | 44,510 | 43,848 | +662 | $+1.5 \%$ |
| EDR 4 | West Central | 34,711 | 33,721 | +990 | $+2.9 \%$ |
| EDR 5 | North Central | 26,069 | 25,579 | +490 | $+1.9 \%$ |
| EDR 6E | Southwest Central | 16,424 | 16,129 | +295 | $+1.8 \%$ |
| EDR 6W | Upper Minnesota Valley | 7,574 | 7,221 | +353 | $+4.9 \%$ |
| EDR 7E | East Central | 25,886 | 25,765 | +121 | $+0.5 \%$ |
| EDR 7W | Central | 79,961 | 78,330 | $+1,631$ | $+2.1 \%$ |
| EDR 8 | Southwest | 20,632 | 19,857 | +775 | $+3.9 \%$ |
| EDR 9 | South Central | 34,790 | 33,111 | $+1,679$ | $+5.1 \%$ |
| EDR 10 | Southeast | 78,728 | 77,629 | $+1,099$ | $+1.4 \%$ |
| EDR 11 | 7 County Twin Cities | 487,152 | 475,092 | $+12,060$ | $+2.5 \%$ |
|  | Minnesota | 884,852 | 864,185 | $+20,667$ | $+2.4 \%$ |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Data Center.
Note. Includes PreK-grade12.

Figure 6 shows that one in three students in Minnesota (33.5\%) in 2017-18 were students of color. The share of students of color statewide has increased 2.1 percentage points from 2015-16 to 2017-18. Each EDR experienced an increase, ranging from 0.9 to 2.8 percentage points.
6. Proportion of students of color in 2017-18 and 2015-16 by Economic Development Region (EDR)

| Economic Development Region (EDR) | Students of <br> color in 2017-18 | Students of <br> color in 2015-16 | Change <br> from 2015-16 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR 1 | Northwest | $16.8 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $+1.4 \%$ |
| EDR 2 | Headwaters | $39.6 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | $+1.2 \%$ |
| EDR 3 | Arrowhead | $16.7 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $+1.2 \%$ |
| EDR 4 | West Central | $15.9 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $+1.6 \%$ |
| EDR 5 | North Central | $14.1 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $+0.9 \%$ |
| EDR 6E | Southwest Central | $26.2 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ |
| EDR 6W | Upper Minnesota Valley | $18.3 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $+2.8 \%$ |
| EDR 7E | East Central | $10.5 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $+1.0 \%$ |
| EDR 7W | Central | $17.0 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ |
| EDR 8 | Southwest | $30.1 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ |
| EDR 9 | South Central | $20.9 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ |
| EDR 10 | Southeast | $25.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $+2.2 \%$ |
| EDR 11 | 7 County Twin Cities | $44.4 \%$ | $42.1 \%$ | $+2.3 \%$ |
|  | Minnesota | $33.5 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $+2.1 \%$ |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Data Center.
Note. Includes PreK-grade12.
Enrollment of special student populations remained stable overall. There was a slight increase in the proportion of special education students and a slight decrease in the proportion of free and reduced-price lunch students since 2015-16. The share of English Language Learners remained the same (Appendix Figure A6).

Another factor impacting demand is the shortage areas needing qualified teachers, which is described in the next section.

## Shortage areas

This section presents information on the shortage areas in Minnesota public schools. We examined the areas where teachers worked under special permissions or out of compliance, and where there were unfilled teaching positions. We summarized the findings of the school district survey about district perceptions of teacher shortages, teacher preparedness to teach special student populations, the racial and ethnic compositions of teachers relative to those of students, and challenges to recruit racially diverse teachers and standard-licensed teachers.

## Teaching under special permissions and out of compliance

Statewide, 3.8 percent of all teachers worked under special permissions and 0.3 percent worked out of compliance (Figure 7). In each EDR, the proportion of teachers working under special permissions or out of compliance ranged from 2.9 percent in the Central EDR to 7.5 percent in the Northwest EDR. Teachers of color were more likely to work under special permissions or out of compliance than white teachers were ( $14.1 \% \mathrm{vs} .3 .5 \%$ ). The proportion of male teachers who worked under special permissions or out of compliance was also slightly higher than the proportion of female teachers ( $5.2 \%$ vs. $3.7 \%$ ).
7. Teaching licensure status by Economic Development Region (EDR) and teacher demographic characteristics

| Economic | Development Region (EDR) | Licensed for <br> Assignment | Special <br> permission | Out of <br> compliance | Total N |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR 1 | Northwest | $94.8 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | 1,139 |
| EDR 2 | Headwaters | $95.9 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | 1,130 |
| EDR 3 | Arrowhead | $96.0 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | 3,287 |
| EDR 4 | West Central | $97.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 2,640 |
| EDR 5 | North Central | $97.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 2,024 |
| EDR 6E | Southwest Central | $97.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 1,210 |
| EDR 6W | Upper Minnesota Valley | $96.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | 617 |
| EDR 7E | East Central | $96.3 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 1,847 |
| EDR 7W | Central | $97.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | 5,458 |
| EDR 8 | Southwest | $94.9 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 1,787 |
| EDR 9 | South Central | $96.6 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 2,705 |
| EDR 10 | Southeast | $97.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 5,965 |
| EDR 11 | 7 County Twin Cities | $96.7 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 34,498 |
|  | Minnesota | $96.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 64,242 |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes all teaching assignments (licensed and non-licensed). A few teachers held more than one assignment; they were licensed for one assignment and taught under special permissions or out of compliance for another (i.e., total percentage is slightly higher than 100 percent in each EDR). All administrators who also held instructional assignments were removed from the analysis.
7. Teaching licensure status by Economic Development Region (EDR) and teacher demographic characteristics (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Development Region (EDR) | Licensed for <br> Assignment | Special <br> permission | Out of <br> compliance | Total N |
| Teacher race |  |  |  |  |
| Of color | $86.7 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | 2,949 |
| White | $97.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 61,333 |
| Teacher gender |  |  |  |  |
| Female | $96.9 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | 48,582 |
| Male | $96.1 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | 15,700 |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes all teaching assignments (licensed and non-licensed). A few teachers held more than one assignment; they were licensed for one assignment and taught under special permissions or out of compliance for another (i.e., total percentage is slightly higher than 100 percent in each EDR). All administrators who also held instructional assignments were removed from the analysis.

In almost all license areas, there were teachers who worked under special permissions or out of compliance ( 129 of 136 licenses or $94.8 \%$; Appendix Figure A7). However, the number of such teachers varied across the license areas. Figure 8 shows the areas with the highest share of teachers teaching with special permissions or out of compliance. It should be noted that districts might have preference for teaching candidates in certain fields who do not have standard licenses, such as experts in career and technical fields or native language speakers. The definitions of special permission can be found in the Appendix.
8. License areas of most teachers with special permissions or out of compliance

|  | Number of <br> teachers with special <br> permission or out of <br> compliance | Total number of <br> teachers with <br> assignments | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License or endorsement | 7 | 12 | $58.3 \%$ |
| Hospitality service careers | 7 | 17 | $41.2 \%$ |
| Bilingual/bicultural education | 21 | 57 | $36.8 \%$ |
| Transportation careers | 30 | 94 | $31.9 \%$ |
| American Sign Language | 25 | 82 | $30.4 \%$ |
| Arabic | 14 | 64 | $21.9 \%$ |
| World languages (Norwegian, Polish, Finnish, <br> Czech, Swahili, Vietnamese, or Hmong)* |  |  |  |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes the licenses in which the total number of teachers with assignment $>10$ and the percentages of teachers with special permissions or out of compliance of 9.51 percent or more.

* This is a group of similar licenses.

8. License areas of most teachers with special permissions or out of compliance (continued)

|  | Number of <br> teachers with special <br> permission or out of <br> compliance | Total number of <br> teachers with <br> assignments | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License or endorsement | 38 | 253 | $15.0 \%$ |
| Medical careers | 16 | 108 | $14.8 \%$ |
| Chinese | 47 | 373 | $12.6 \%$ |
| Construction careers | 77 | 639 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Computer Science/Keyboarding* | 10 | 83 | $12.0 \%$ |
| Latin | 36 | 331 | $10.9 \%$ |
| Manufacturing careers | 236 | 2,264 | $10.4 \%$ |
| Autism spectrum disorders | 7 | 58 | $10.0 \%$ |
| Dance and theatre arts* | 284 | 71 | $9.9 \%$ |
| Librarian |  | 2,946 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Early childhood special education |  |  |  |
| Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR. |  |  |  |
| Note. Includes the licenses in which the total number of teachers with assignment $>10$ and the percentages of teachers with |  |  |  |
| special permissions or out of compliance of 9.51 percent or more. |  |  |  |
| *This is a group of similar licenses. |  |  |  |

In addition, there are particular areas in which large numbers of teachers are working under special permissions or out of compliance in Minnesota schools, even though the proportions for each area are fewer than 10 percent. Figure 9 shows the areas with 100 or more teachers working under special permissions or out of compliance statewide.

## 9. License areas with a high number of teachers working under special permissions or out of compliance

Areas | Number of teachers working under |
| :---: |
| special permissions or out of compliance |

| Academic and behavioral strategist | 780 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mildly handicapped | 526 |
| Elementary education | 477 |
| Emotional and behavioral disorders | 324 |
| Early childhood education | 318 |
| Early childhood special education | 284 |
| Learning disabilities | 255 |
| Autism spectrum disorders | 236 |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
9. License areas with a high number of teachers working under special permissions or out of compliance (continued)

Areas
Number of teachers working under special permissions or out of compliance

| Junior High School* | 174 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Sciences* | 170 |
| Developmental disabilities | 135 |
| Health and physical education* | 112 |
| Mild to moderate mentally handicap | 112 |
| English as a Second Language | 106 |
| Mathematics | 100 |
| Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR. |  |
| *This is a group of similar licenses. |  |

Appendix Figures A8 and A9 show that teachers of color working under special permissions or out of compliance worked in more license areas than their white counterparts did. Teachers of color were more likely to work in early childhood special education, special education areas (e.g., mild or moderate to severe mental handicap, blind or visually impaired, oral or aural deaf education, autism spectrum disorders, academic and behavioral strategist, and emotional and behavioral disorders), and world languages (e.g., Spanish). Appendix Figure A10 shows the top five areas with the highest share of teachers working under special permissions or out of compliance in each EDR.

## Perceptions of school districts

As a statutory requirement, the Teacher Supply and Demand Report must include a survey of districts when considering the breadth of the teacher shortage. This survey can help identify a drop in licensed applications for job postings and show when positions simply went unfilled. These data points are not available in the special permissions or assignment data, collected through the STAR database.

However, most districts are not well equipped to answer data-specific questions about number of applicants for all positions posted in the last academic year. Until data systems can more accurately and efficiently collect this data, the district survey relies prominently on perception of shortages.

## Standard-licensed teacher shortages

In the survey, school districts reported that teacher shortages are a problem; 41.9 percent of districts reported that it is a major problem and 51.8 percent reported that it is a minor problem. Only a few districts $(6.4 \%)$ indicated that it is not a problem for them (Figure 10).

Compared to five years ago, more than half of the districts reported that there are significantly fewer applicants ( $57.6 \%$ ) and one-third of the district reported that there are somewhat fewer applicants. (32.3\%).
10. School district perceptions of teacher shortages

| Problems with teacher shortages | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| A serious problem | $41.9 \%$ |
| A minor problem | $51.8 \%$ |
| No problem | $6.4 \%$ |
| Total number | 313 |
| Availability of teachers, compared to five years ago | $57.6 \%$ |
| Significantly fewer | $32.3 \%$ |
| Somewhat fewer | $8.1 \%$ |
| About the same | $1.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat more | $1.0 \%$ |
| Significantly more | 297 |
| Total number |  |

Over 1 in 10 school districts ( $12.7 \%$ ) reported that they had to cancel classes or programs due to a shortage of teachers. School districts reported that they needed between one and four full-time equivalent (FTE) teachers for those classes, with most of them (68.6\%) mentioning that they needed one FTE teacher.

More often school districts converted classes to a different format, such as offering online instruction or combining classrooms due to a shortage of teachers. One in four school districts ( $24.2 \%$ ) reported so. They reported that between one and eight teachers were needed to provide those classes in their preferred format, and most school districts (92.4\%) reported needing one or two FTE teachers.

## Substitute teacher shortages

In addition to shortages of regular teachers, school districts reported shortages of substitute teachers, including shortages for 5-year short-call and 2-year limited short-call licenses.

Most districts viewed these shortages as a serious problem (46.7-47.7\% of districts reporting so for the 5-year short-call and 2-year limited short-call; Figure 11) or minor problem (45.7-46.1\%). Only a few districts reported that they have no problem with substitute teacher shortages (6.2-7.6\% for each short-call license). Four in ten school districts felt that they experienced significantly fewer substitute teachers with both the 5-year and 2-year limited short-call licenses, compared to five years ago (43.6-44.0\%).
11. School district perceptions of substitute teacher shortages

| Problems with substitute teacher shortages | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5-year short-call license | $47.7 \%$ |
| A serious problem | $46.1 \%$ |
| A minor problem | $6.2 \%$ |
| No problem | 308 |
| 2umber of school district respondents |  |
| A serious problem | $46.7 \%$ |
| A minor problem | $45.7 \%$ |
| No problem | $7.6 \%$ |
| Number of school district respondents | 289 |
| 5-year short-call license |  |
| Significantly fewer | Somewhat fewer |
| About the same | $44.0 \%$ |
| Somewhat more | $34.3 \%$ |
| Significantly more | $16.2 \%$ |
| Number of school district respondents | $4.7 \%$ |
| 2-year limited short-call license | $0.7 \%$ |
| Significantly fewer | 277 |
| Somewhat fewer | $43.6 \%$ |
| About the same to five years ago | $34.2 \%$ |
| Somewhat more | $16.9 \%$ |
| Significantly more | $5.3 \%$ |
| Number of school district respondents | $0.0 \%$ |

## Unfilled teaching positions

School districts were asked about positions that were budgeted for 2018-19, but were not filled because of a lack of qualified applicants. The areas with the most unfilled teaching positions were:

- Deaf or hard of hearing
- Blind or visually impaired
- Family education/early childhood education
- Parent and family education
- American Sign Language
- Hmong, Ojibwe, and German languages
- Agricultural education
- Driver education

These are teaching areas where it may be more difficult to find someone that would fit a special permission for the position. In order to address these unfilled positions, districts can choose not to offer the content or to increase the workload of other licensed staff.

In addition, the new tiered licensure system provides a Tier 2 license that is similar to previous permissions (limited license, provisional license, nonrenewable license), but can hold higher expectations than previous licensure types. Districts indicated that there were a number of teachers holding Tier 2 licenses working in the following areas:

- Theatre arts
- Dance
- Hmong and Ojibwe languages
- American Sign Language
- Business
- Teachers of computer, keyboarding, and related technology applications
- Teacher/coordinator: work-based learning
- Family education/early childhood education
- Parent and family education
- Emotional and behavioral disorders
- Developmental/adapted physical education
- Autism spectrum disorders
- Developmental disabilities
- Early childhood special education
- Blind or visually impaired
- Academic and behavioral strategist
- Construction careers

The areas with unfilled teaching positions and Tier 2 licensed teachers above are calculated based on the number of posted positions in that area, according to the district survey respondents. However, individual school districts appeared to have varying interpretations of posted positions (some included all teachers and some only included new teachers); therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution.

## Recruiting diverse teachers

School districts in the survey were asked about the racial and ethnic compositions of their teachers and students in fall of 2018. Similar to the STAR data from 2017-18 school year, the responses of the school districts indicated that the demographics of their teachers did not reflect those of their students. Most school districts had either zero (38.4\%) or a few teachers of color ( $35.8 \%$ reporting fewer than $6 \%$ of their teachers are people of color; Figure 12). In contrast, 26.5 percent of school districts reported having between 11 and 25 percent students of color and 19.4 percent reported that more than half of the students in the district were students of color.
12. School district report of proportion of teachers and students of color

| Percent persons of color | Teachers (N=302) | Students (N=294) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| None (0\%) | $38.4 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| $1 \%-5 \%$ | $35.8 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| $6-10 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ |
| $11-25 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |
| $26-50 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| $51-75 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| $76-100 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |

Source. School district survey
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of district respondents

Most of the school districts reported that it was difficult to recruit teachers of color from the different racial and ethnic backgrounds (Figure 13).
13. School district perception of difficulty recruiting racially diverse teachers

| Race or ethnicity of teachers | N | Percentage of school <br> districts reporting difficulty |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Native American/American Indian | 305 | $92.1 \%$ |
| Asian | 306 | $90.5 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 306 | $94.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 306 | $91.8 \%$ |
| Black, not of Hispanic origin | 306 | $90.2 \%$ |
| White, not of Hispanic origin | 304 | $13.8 \%$ |
| Two or more races | 298 | $75.5 \%$ |

Source. School district survey.
Note. Survey respondents were asked, "How easy or difficult is it for your district to recruit racially diverse teachers? Please answer for each racial group." Response options are: easy, somewhat easy/somewhat difficulty, and difficult.
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of district respondents

## Teaching special student populations

In the survey, school districts were asked how prepared their teachers are to teach special student populations. Almost 60 percent of the school districts reported that their teachers are well prepared or mostly prepared to teach low-income students (Figure 14). However, the majority of school districts reported that their teachers were not well prepared to teach other students, including those living or who used to live in foster care ( $35.9 \%$ reporting well or mostly prepared), who are homeless (25.3\%), and who are identified as English Language Learners (24.9\%), immigrants (14.2\%), or refugees (9.3\%).
14. School district perceptions of teacher preparedness to teach special student populations

|  | N | Percentage of school <br> districts reporting "well or <br> mostly prepared" |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Low-income students <br> (those eligible for free or reduced-price lunch) | 299 | $58.5 \%$ |
| Students currently or previously in foster care | 290 | $35.9 \%$ |
| Homeless students | 285 | $25.3 \%$ |
| English Language Learners | 285 | $24.9 \%$ |
| Immigrant students | 274 | $14.2 \%$ |
| Refugee students | 269 | $9.3 \%$ |

Source. School district survey.
Note. Survey respondents were asked "How prepared are teachers in your school district to teach these specific types of students?" Response options are: not prepared, somewhat prepared, and well or mostly prepared.
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of district respondents

## Teacher attrition

Slightly more than 7,000 teachers from the 2016-17 school year did not return to teach in the same school district in the 2017-18 school year. The most common reasons for leaving were personal reasons, retirement, and leaving the district to teach somewhere else (Figure 15). These data are reported by the districts through STAR reporting. Future data collection should explore the reasons for teacher attrition, especially due to personal and unknown reasons.
15. Reasons for licensed teachers leaving at the end of 2016-17 school year

| Termination status of licensed teachers at the end of 2016-17 | N | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Personal reasons | 1,729 | $24.7 \%$ |
| Retirement | 1,437 | $20.5 \%$ |
| Leaving the district to teach in another district, state, or country | 1,367 | $19.5 \%$ |
| Not offered re-employment for reasons other than staff reduction | 792 | $11.3 \%$ |
| Staff reduction | 304 | $4.3 \%$ |
| Getting other educational job (substituting, etc.) | 226 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Death | 26 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Unknown | 1,172 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Total | 7,010 | $100.0 \%$ |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.

## Strategies to recruit and retain teachers

School districts reported that they have used several strategies to recruit and retain standard-licensed teachers and teachers of color.

## Standard-licensed teachers

To recruit standard-licensed teachers, most of the school districts in the survey reported that they provide a competitive salary and post positions beyond where districts usually do. Fewer, but still a large number of school districts, reported that they created a pipeline program, such as residency models or Grow Your Own, and provide hiring incentives. Among the recruitment strategies, a larger share of districts reported that providing a competitive salary and creating a pipeline program made a very big difference (Figure 16). A few school districts also mentioned other strategies that have made some or a very big difference for them, including: posting the jobs earlier, providing competitive benefits, communicating or working directly with colleges and universities, creating a positive working climate with school staff, recruiting from Wisconsin and Iowa, providing
moving expenses, offering a recruiting bonus to existing staff, and contacting other schools who recently posted positions.
16. School district efforts to recruit standard-licensed teachers

|  | N | Made no <br> difference | Made slight <br> difference | Made some <br> difference | Made a <br> very big <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provide hiring incentives | 56 | $30.4 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Provide a competitive salary | 252 | $25.0 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| Create a pipeline program <br> (e.g., residency models, Grow <br> Your Own) | 103 | $11.7 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ |
| Offer position postings beyond <br> where districts usually post | 233 | $27.9 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ |

Source. School district survey
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of district respondents
Most districts reported that they provide mentorship programs and provide professional development opportunities as a strategy to retain standard-licensed teachers, which have made some or a very big difference to schools (69.1-72.9\%; Figure 17). Schools also offer promotions and special assignment opportunities. Offering Teacher on Special Assignment (TOSA) opportunities have made the least impact in retaining standard-licensed teachers. A few districts also mentioned other strategies that have made some or a very big difference. These included creating positive working environment at school; promoting work-life balance; having a strong coaching program; creating leadership teams, Professional Learning Communities (PLCs), and professional network groups; participating in a Quality Composition (Q Comp) program, and offering graduate tuition remission.
17. School district efforts to retain standard-licensed teachers

|  | N | Made no <br> difference | Made slight <br> difference | Made some <br> difference | Made a <br> very big <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provide mentorship programs | 275 | $11.3 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| Provide professional <br> development opportunities | 295 | $11.2 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ |
| Offer promotions or increase <br> salaries | 177 | $9.6 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| Offer Teacher on Special <br> Assignment (TOSA) opportunities | 148 | $31.1 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |

Source. School district survey.
$\mathrm{N}=$ number of district respondents

Teacher preparation programs also used different strategies to recruit candidates to teach in the shortage areas and to support those candidates. Among the strategies, programs reported that providing access to state and federal grants, other than loan forgiveness programs, was the most effective strategy (i.e., a higher share of teacher preparation programs reporting making some or a very big difference with this strategy than others). Teacher preparation programs reported that providing advising and other personalized supports and working with partner schools to support early placement in the teaching field (e.g., through residency programs and co-teaching) were the most effective strategies to support the teaching candidates. However, due to the small number of respondents in the teacher preparation program survey, the results should interpreted with caution.

## Teachers of color

Similarly, to recruit racially diverse teachers, most school districts in the survey reported that they provide a competitive salary and post positions beyond where districts usually do. School districts reported that they created a pipeline program, such as residency models or Grow Your Own, and provide hiring incentives. A larger share of school districts reported that creating a pipeline program made a very big difference in recruiting teachers of color. However, compared to standard-licensed teachers, these recruitment strategies have not made a difference in recruiting teachers of color (50.0-69.0\% vs. 11.7-30.4\%; Figures 18 and 16 , respectively). A few districts mentioned other strategies making some or a very big difference, including making intentional recruitment, having diversity goals and communicating a racial equity commitment to staff, and partnering with a local program that serves Native American communities.
18. School district efforts to recruit teachers of color

|  | N | Made no <br> difference | Made slight <br> difference | Made some <br> difference | Made a <br> very big <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provide hiring incentives | 58 | $69.0 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Provide a competitive salary | 236 | $59.3 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ |
| Create a pipeline program <br> (e.g., residency models, Grow <br> Your Own) | 110 | $50.0 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| Offer position postings beyond <br> where districts usually post | 210 | $58.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |

Source. School district survey.

In terms of efforts to retain racially diverse teachers, a larger proportion of school districts reported that providing mentoring programs ( $35.7 \%$ reporting some or a very big difference) and a professional development program (37.8\%) made a bigger difference than offering promotions or increasing salaries ( $30.2 \%$ ), or offering special assignment opportunities (20.1\%; Figure 19). School districts mentioned that offering leadership opportunities, having an inclusive culture and positive school climate, and providing tuition remission were additional strategies that made some or a big difference in retaining teachers of color.
19. School district efforts to retain teachers of color

|  | N | Made no <br> difference | Made slight <br> difference | Made some <br> difference | Made a <br> very big <br> difference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provide mentorship programs | 238 | $48.3 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| Provide professional <br> development opportunities | 262 | $46.2 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $29.8 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Offer promotions or increase <br> salaries | 172 | $51.7 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ |
| Offer Teacher on Special <br> Assignment (TOSA) opportunities | 154 | $68.8 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |

Source. School district survey
Teacher preparation programs also used different strategies to recruit candidates of color and to support those candidates. Among the strategies, programs reported providing online or flexible classroom options as the most effective strategy (i.e., a higher share of teacher preparation programs reporting making some or a very big difference with this strategy than other strategies). The most effective strategies to support teaching candidates of color to complete the program were providing advising and other personalized supports, providing online and flexible classroom options, and working with partner schools to support early placement in the teaching field (e.g., through residency programs and coteaching). However, due to the small number of respondents in the teacher preparation program survey, the results should interpreted with caution.

## Limitations

Results from the teacher preparation program survey are based on responses from 34.5 percent of the programs. Due to the small number of respondents, the number and racial/ ethnic compositions of teaching candidates being prepared in each of the licensure areas cannot be reported. In addition, because school districts had varying interpretations for the number of posted positions for each licensure area in 2018-19, results for the number of standard-licensed teachers (those holding a five-year standard, one-year standard, Tier 3 or Tier 4 license), Tier 2 teachers, teachers with special permissions (those with a personnel variance; appeal variance; discretional variance; temporary limited; waiver; three-year, non-renewable; or Tier 1 license or non-licensed community expert), and unfilled positions cannot be determined. Finally, because the definitions of data analysis are not included in the previous report (i.e., the variable names and values), Wilder Research was unable to make comparisons to the findings from the previous report.

## STAR data sources

The STAR data used in this report were provided by multiple sources.

- The number of licensed teachers comes directly from a PELSB database. Based on decades of different license types, licensure areas, and data collection, filtering the data to remove non-instructional licenses and non-standard licenses is difficult.
- The number of active licensed teachers comes from school district reports of employment data. These data are filtered to remove non-licensed employment data. In aggregate, the data can be filtered to include unduplicated records and records where a licensed teacher is active in more than one district. However, when looking at specific districts or regions, a single teacher may be counted more than once.
- Assignment data, or the positions licensed teachers are teaching, come from school district reports. In aggregate, the data can be filtered to include unduplicated records. However, when looking at specific assignments and licensure areas, a single teacher may be counted more than once. Additionally, a licensed teacher may have assignments under different license types and/or assignment categories. These assignments are also listed with FTE status and thus can be measured as individuals in assignment categories or FTE in assignment categories.
- Special permissions data, or the number of teachers in a licensure area where the individual does not hold a standard license, come from multiple sources. Permissions are reported in the assignment table, and many permissions are granted to actively licensed teachers teaching outside of their licensure field. However, PELSB also tracks individuals with special permissions alongside the licensed teacher data.

To answer questions about supply and demand, data from multiple sources need to be merged. Many factors complicated these analyses. For example, a single licensure field may allow an individual to teach multiple assignments. A single assignment may be taught by multiple licensure areas. The "English/Language Arts" license changed to a "Communication Arts and Literature" license in Minnesota, with slightly different allowable assignments, yet we have individuals with both the old and new licensure fields. An individual with a reading license, an endorsement added to their elementary license, may never appear to be teaching under the reading license, yet they may have reading assignments in an elementary classroom.

Merging data sources with different inputs, lack of a one-to-one alignment between license types and assignments, and the nature of districts and individuals entering tens of thousands of data points, all lead to an inability to establish clean and clear results through this analysis. These points are addressed as they appear throughout the report, including why certain data may not appear in a summary table.

Finally, the Minnesota Department of Education produced the Teacher Supply and Demand Report until 2017. In the transition to PELSB producing the report, the methods for counting teachers and assignments may have changed; therefore, we are unable to draw conclusions about trends over time.

## Conclusions

The Teacher Supply and Demand Report is designed to give policy-makers and education stakeholders a biennial report of the landscape of teachers in Minnesota. Ideally, these data are presented as trends. However, with the transition of reporting to a new agency, the ability to use previous reports to align data and describe trends is limited, given that there is little confidence that data were gathered similarly over time. Additionally, with the changing landscape of teacher licensure, licensure fields, and assignment-to-licensure alignment, it is difficult to accurately measure supply or demand. Finally, the percentage of special permissions are often considered a sign of a field being in short supply of fully licensed teachers. However, the reasons a district might request a special permission may be more nuanced than simply having no licensed applicants.

In looking back at the research questions for the report, conclusions are as follows:

- What is the current supply and demand of teachers by licensure area and economic region?

The data are too inconsistent to make specific statements about supply and demand of teachers. Speaking broadly, there are specific licensure areas and EDRs that use more special permissions, and special permissions are used to fill assignments in almost all licensure areas and all EDRs. Additionally, over 70,000 licensed teachers are not currently teaching. Understanding the reasons why these teachers are not working would help answer some key questions that arise through this report.

- What are the shortage content areas for which districts place standard-licensed teachers to teach outside of their licensure areas or hire teachers with special permissions or out of compliance?

There is no established definition of a "shortage area." The U.S. Department of Education allows states to use their own formula to determine shortage areas, but limits the number of areas to no more than five percent of the FTE assignments in the state. Most often, a set percentage or higher of special permissions being used in a licensure area would be considered a "shortage."

- What are the racial and ethnic compositions of teachers compared to those of students?

The number of students of color continues to grow in Minnesota, while the number of teachers of color remains stagnant. This gap will continue to grow without direct intervention to increase recruitment and retention of teachers of color.

- What are the reasons for teacher attrition?

Of the 7,010 teachers who did not return to teach in the same school districts in 2017$18,41.4$ percent left for "personal reasons" or "unknown" reasons as reported by districts in the survey. This lack of specific data to understand teacher attrition leaves this question unanswerable by this report.

- What are the efforts to recruit and retain standard-licensed teachers and teachers of color? As reported by districts and teacher preparation providers, the perception that some strategies are making a difference in recruiting and retaining teachers of color is a positive sign. Further data gathering about implementation and outcomes of these strategies can help policy-makers direct resources more effectively and efficiently in the future.


## Appendix

A1. Licenses that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18

| License or endorsement | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Academic and behavioral strategist | 1,015 | 90.5\% | 107 | 9.5\% | 1,122 | 100.0\% |
| Adult basic education | 70 | 71.4\% | 28 | 28.6\% | 98 | 100.0\% |
| Agriculture education (general)* | 151 | 92.6\% | 12 | 7.4\% | 163 | 100.0\% |
| American Indian language and culture | 32 | 88.9\% | 4 | 11.1\% | 36 | 100.0\% |
| American sign language | 20 | 90.9\% | 2 | 9.1\% | 22 | 100.0\% |
| Arabic | 8 | 88.9\% | 1 | 11.1\% | 9 | 100.0\% |
| Autism spectrum disorders | 976 | 53.9\% | 834 | 46.1\% | 1,810 | 100.0\% |
| Bilingual/bicultural education | 10 | 12.3\% | 71 | 87.7\% | 81 | 100.0\% |
| Blind or visually impaired | 91 | 79.1\% | 24 | 20.9\% | 115 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general)* | 446 | 81.2\% | 103 | 18.8\% | 549 | 100.0\% |
| Career accommodation specialist | 2 | 9.5\% | 19 | 90.5\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| Chemistry | 616 | 81.7\% | 138 | 18.3\% | 754 | 100.0\% |
| Chinese | 50 | 68.5\% | 23 | 31.5\% | 73 | 100.0\% |
| Communications technology careers | 42 | 45.2\% | 51 | 54.8\% | 93 | 100.0\% |
| Community education director | 111 | 92.5\% | 9 | 7.5\% | 120 | 100.0\% |
| Computer science/keyboarding (general)* | 172 | 59.1\% | 119 | 40.9\% | 291 | 100.0\% |
| Construction careers | 59 | 56.7\% | 45 | 43.3\% | 104 | 100.0\% |
| Creative design careers | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Dance and theater arts (general)* | 119 | 54.3\% | 100 | 45.7\% | 219 | 100.0\% |
| Deaf or hard of hearing | 207 | 79.6\% | 53 | 20.4\% | 260 | 100.0\% |
| Developmental disabilities | 884 | 53.4\% | 771 | 46.6\% | 1,655 | 100.0\% |
| Developmental/adapted physical education | 602 | 45.8\% | 711 | 54.2\% | 1,313 | 100.0\% |
| Driver education | 38 | 8.0\% | 438 | 92.0\% | 476 | 100.0\% |
| Early childhood careers | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Early childhood education | 1,516 | 75.7\% | 486 | 24.3\% | 2,002 | 100.0\% |
| Early childhood special education | 1,327 | 80.3\% | 326 | 19.7\% | 1,653 | 100.0\% |
| Earth and space science/earth science | 233 | 56.0\% | 183 | 44.0\% | 416 | 100.0\% |
| Elementary education | 20,723 | 77.7\% | 5,964 | 22.3\% | 26,687 | 100.0\% |
| Emotional behavior disorders | 2,900 | 62.2\% | 1,761 | 37.8\% | 4,661 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Each person is counted once for each license area even if they hold that license for multiple grade levels (e.g., teacher who hold both Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts $7-12$ is counted once in the Visual arts area). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

* Some of the licenses are combined [e.g., Agriculture education (general) includes Agriculture non-vocational and Agriculture education].

A1. Licenses that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

|  |  |  | Teachers holding <br> the license but not <br> teaching in it |  | All teachers <br> holding the <br> license area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License or endorsement | in their license area |  |  |  |  |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System-STAR.
Note. Each person is counted once for each license area even if they hold that license for multiple grade levels (e.g., teacher who hold both Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12 is counted once in the Visual arts area). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

* Some of the licenses are combined [e.g., Agriculture education (general) includes Agriculture non-vocational and Agriculture education].

A1. Licenses that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other world languages (Norwegian, Polish, Finnish, Czech, Swahili, Vietnamese, Hmong)* | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Parent and family education | 289 | 49.4\% | 296 | 50.6\% | 585 | 100.0\% |
| Physical education | 2,638 | 73.9\% | 934 | 26.1\% | 3,572 | 100.0\% |
| Physical sciences (physics \& chemistry) | 310 | 83.6\% | 61 | 16.4\% | 371 | 100.0\% |
| Physical/health disabilities* | 88 | 50.0\% | 88 | 50.0\% | 176 | 100.0\% |
| Physics | 294 | 83.8\% | 57 | 16.2\% | 351 | 100.0\% |
| Pre-k/kindergarten/pre-primary* | 936 | 26.4\% | 2,614 | 73.6\% | 3,550 | 100.0\% |
| Public school nurse | 615 | 99.8\% | 1 | . $2 \%$ | 616 | 100.0\% |
| Reading (general)* | 580 | 25.7\% | 1,676 | 74.3\% | 2,256 | 100.0\% |
| Russian | 4 | 18.2\% | 18 | 81.8\% | 22 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general)* | 1,316 | 90.4\% | 139 | 9.6\% | 1,455 | 100.0\% |
| School psychologist (general)* | 795 | 98.3\% | 14 | 1.7\% | 809 | 100.0\% |
| School social worker (general)* | 1,249 | 97.3\% | 35 | 2.7\% | 1,284 | 100.0\% |
| Sciences - all | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
| Social studies (general)* | 3,245 | 56.9\% | 2,459 | 43.1\% | 5,704 | 100.0\% |
| Spanish | 975 | 65.4\% | 516 | 34.6\% | 1,491 | 100.0\% |
| Speech-language pathologist | 1,846 | 97.7\% | 44 | 2.3\% | 1,890 | 100.0\% |
| Swedish | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
| Teacher/coordinator work based learning | 224 | 39.9\% | 338 | 60.1\% | 562 | 100.0\% |
| Transportation careers | 30 | 50.0\% | 30 | 50.0\% | 60 | 100.0\% |
| Visual arts | 1,382 | 91.0\% | 136 | 9.0\% | 1,518 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System-STAR.
Note. Each person is counted once for each license area even if they hold that license for multiple grade levels (e.g., teacher who hold both Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12 is counted once in the Visual arts area). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

* Some of the licenses are combined [e.g., Agriculture education (general) includes Agriculture non-vocational and Agriculture education].

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture (non vocational) | 7-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Agricultural education | 5-12 | 149 | 93.1\% | 11 | 6.9\% | 160 | 100.0\% |
| Visual arts | 1-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 7 | 63.6\% | 4 | 36.4\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 1,358 | 90.5\% | 142 | 9.5\% | 1,500 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-6 | 4 | 66.7\% | 2 | 33.3\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
| English/language arts | 5-8 | 130 | 35.5\% | 236 | 64.5\% | 366 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 1,292 | 76.0\% | 407 | 24.0\% | 1,699 | 100.0\% |
| Communication arts/literature | 5-12 | 1,896 | 89.4\% | 224 | 10.6\% | 2120 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 363 | 18.8\% | 1,565 | 81.2\% | 1,928 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 3 | 37.5\% | 5 | 62.5\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| Reading leader | K-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Reading | 5-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 529 | 26.4\% | 1,475 | 73.6\% | 2,004 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 9 | 100.0\% | 9 | 100.0\% |
| Elementary remedial reading | 1-6 | 27 | 17.5\% | 127 | 82.5\% | 154 | 100.0\% |
| Secondary remedial reading | 1-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 8 | 8.1\% | 91 | 91.9\% | 99 | 100.0\% |
| Secondary developmental reading | 5-8 | 2 | 9.1\% | 20 | 90.9\% | 22 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 12 | 9.0\% | 122 | 91.0\% | 134 | 100.0\% |
| English-humanities | 7-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Journalism | 7-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Speech | 5-8 | 2 | 11.8\% | 15 | 88.2\% | 17 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 21 | 23.3\% | 69 | 76.7\% | 90 | 100.0\% |
| Speech \& theatre arts | 5-8 | 1 | 10.0\% | 9 | 90.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 30 | 44.8\% | 37 | 55.2\% | 67 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

|  |  | Teachers working <br> in their license <br> area |  | Teachers holding <br> the license but not <br> teaching in it | All teachers <br> holding the <br> license area |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License or endorsement | $5-8$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 4 | $100.0 \%$ | 4 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Theatre arts | $7-12$ | 10 | $23.8 \%$ | 32 | $76.2 \%$ | 42 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | K-12 | 28 | $70.0 \%$ | 12 | $30.0 \%$ | 40 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dance and theatre | K-12 | 30 | $75.0 \%$ | 10 | $25.0 \%$ | 40 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Dance | K-12 | 22 | $84.6 \%$ | 4 | $15.4 \%$ | 26 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Latin | $5-12$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $7-12$ | 5 | $71.4 \%$ | 2 | $28.6 \%$ | 7 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Greek | K-12 | 27 | $96.4 \%$ | 1 | $3.6 \%$ | 28 | $100.0 \%$ |
| American sign language | K-12 | 1 | $50.0 \%$ | 1 | $50.0 \%$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Arabic | K-12 | 20 | $90.9 \%$ | 2 | $9.1 \%$ | 22 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Chinese | K-12 | 8 | $88.9 \%$ | 1 | $11.1 \%$ | 9 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $5-12$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $7-12$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | K-12 | 47 | $67.1 \%$ | 23 | $32.9 \%$ | 70 | $100.0 \%$ |
| English as a second language | K-8 | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |
| German | $5-12$ | 4 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 4 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $7-12$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |
| French | K-12 | 1,666 | $73.2 \%$ | 611 | $26.8 \%$ | 2,277 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | K-6 | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | K-8 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 3 | $100.0 \%$ | 3 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $1-6$ | 1 | $7.1 \%$ | 13 | $92.9 \%$ | 14 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $5-8$ | 2 | $9.5 \%$ | 19 | $90.5 \%$ | 21 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $7-12$ | 82 | $50.9 \%$ | 79 | $49.1 \%$ | 161 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | K-12 | 100 | $56.8 \%$ | 76 | $43.2 \%$ | 176 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | K-8 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 22 | $100.0 \%$ | 22 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $1-6$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 5 | $100.0 \%$ | 5 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $5-12$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 1 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $5-8$ | 2 | $18.2 \%$ | 9 | $81.8 \%$ | 11 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | $7-12$ | 56 | $40.6 \%$ | 82 | $59.4 \%$ | 138 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | K-12 | 57 | $64.0 \%$ | 32 | $36.0 \%$ | 89 | $100.0 \%$ |
|  | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ | 2 | $100.0 \%$ |  |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hebrew | K-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Japanese | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 7 | 77.8\% | 2 | 22.2\% | 9 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Norwegian | 1-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Russian | 7-12 | 1 | 6.7\% | 14 | 93.3\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 3 | 42.9\% | 4 | 57.1\% | 7 | 100.0\% |
| Spanish | 1-6 | 4 | 8.5\% | 43 | 91.5\% | 47 | 100.0\% |
|  | 1-9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 8 | 13.3\% | 52 | 86.7\% | 60 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 339 | 75.8\% | 108 | 24.2\% | 447 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 591 | 69.2\% | 263 | 30.8\% | 854 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 35 | 24.0\% | 111 | 76.0\% | 146 | 100.0\% |
| Swedish | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Ojibwe | K-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 1 | 25.0\% | 3 | 75.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Bilingual/bicultural education | 1-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 100.0\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 2 | 6.3\% | 30 | 93.8\% | 32 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 8 | 19.0\% | 34 | 81.0\% | 42 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Health \& physical education | K-12 | 6 | 60.0\% | 4 | 40.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| Health education | 5-12 | 481 | 40.7\% | 700 | 59.3\% | 1,181 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 2 | 5.4\% | 35 | 94.6\% | 37 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 17 | 26.2\% | 48 | 73.8\% | 65 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 218 | 37.8\% | 358 | 62.2\% | 576 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical education | 1-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 13 | 100.0\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 2,614 | 73.5\% | 941 | 26.5\% | 3,555 | 100.0\% |
| Home economics | 5-8 | 7 | 46.7\% | 8 | 53.3\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 96 | 67.6\% | 46 | 32.4\% | 142 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 4 | 36.4\% | 7 | 63.6\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences | 5-12 | 231 | 91.7\% | 21 | 8.3\% | 252 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Family life education | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Family life | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts | 5-12 | 243 | 87.7\% | 34 | 12.3\% | 277 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 2 | 28.6\% | 5 | 71.4\% | 7 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 14 | 87.5\% | 2 | 12.5\% | 16 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 22 | 84.6\% | 4 | 15.4\% | 26 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 2 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
| Technology | 5-12 | 233 | 91.4\% | 22 | 8.6\% | 255 | 100.0\% |
| Mathematics | 5-12 | 1,589 | 96.5\% | 57 | 3.5\% | 1,646 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 775 | 37.1\% | 1,315 | 62.9\% | 2,090 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 1,225 | 91.6\% | 112 | 8.4\% | 1,337 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 3 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Music | 1-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 167 | 83.1\% | 34 | 16.9\% | 201 | 100.0\% |
| Classroom music | 5-12 | 55 | 28.6\% | 137 | 71.4\% | 192 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 155 | 52.4\% | 141 | 47.6\% | 296 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-9 | 5 | 38.5\% | 8 | 61.5\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
| Vocal and classroom music | K-12 | 815 | 88.2\% | 109 | 11.8\% | 924 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vocal music | 5-12 | 11 | 68.8\% | 5 | 31.3\% | 16 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 130 | 51.6\% | 122 | 48.4\% | 252 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-9 | 11 | 57.9\% | 8 | 42.1\% | 19 | 100.0\% |
| Instrumental (band/orchestra) and classroom music | 7-12 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 967 | 91.4\% | 91 | 8.6\% | 1058 | 100.0\% |
| Band | 5-12 | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 172 | 63.7\% | 98 | 36.3\% | 270 | 100.0\% |
| Orchestra | 5-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 28 | 30.4\% | 64 | 69.6\% | 92 | 100.0\% |
| Sciences - all | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 3 | 75.0\% | 1 | 25.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Jr. high science | 7-9 | 5 | 62.5\% | 3 | 37.5\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| General science (see Jr. high science) | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-9 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Life sciences | 5-8 | 39 | 21.4\% | 143 | 78.6\% | 182 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 674 | 68.6\% | 308 | 31.4\% | 982 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 562 | 52.0\% | 518 | 48.0\% | 1,080 | 100.0\% |
| Physical sciences (physics \& chemistry) | 5-8 | 3 | 6.8\% | 41 | 93.2\% | 44 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 304 | 91.8\% | 27 | 8.2\% | 331 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-9 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Chemistry | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 7 | 77.8\% | 2 | 22.2\% | 9 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 594 | 79.9\% | 149 | 20.1\% | 743 | 100.0\% |
| Physics | 7-12 | 1 | 20.0\% | 4 | 80.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 290 | 83.8\% | 56 | 16.2\% | 346 | 100.0\% |
| Earth and space science/earth science | 5-8 | 17 | 34.7\% | 32 | 65.3\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 81 | 59.1\% | 56 | 40.9\% | 137 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 79 | 33.8\% | 155 | 66.2\% | 234 | 100.0\% |
| Science 5-9 | 5-9 | 300 | 68.3\% | 139 | 31.7\% | 439 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System-STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Science 5-8 | 5-8 | 896 | 45.6\% | 1,070 | 54.4\% | 1,966 | 100.0\% |
| Science 5-8 | 5-8 | 114 | 53.8\% | 98 | 46.2\% | 212 | 100.0\% |
| Business education - all | 5-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 4 | 66.7\% | 2 | 33.3\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 176 | 78.6\% | 48 | 21.4\% | 224 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 7 | 87.5\% | 1 | 12.5\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| Business | 5-12 | 291 | 88.4\% | 38 | 11.6\% | 329 | 100.0\% |
| Accounting \& data processing | 7-12 | 6 | 42.9\% | 8 | 57.1\% | 14 | 100.0\% |
| Business education - clerical | 7-12 | 6 | 40.0\% | 9 | 60.0\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
| Business education - secretarial | 7-12 | 3 | 75.0\% | 1 | 25.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Business education - basic \& econ. | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 2 | 18.2\% | 9 | 81.8\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (except shorthand) | 7-12 | 26 | 70.3\% | 11 | 29.7\% | 37 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Computer science | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Keyboarding for computer application | K-8 | 102 | 47.7\% | 112 | 52.3\% | 214 | 100.0\% |
| Teachers of computer, keyboarding, and related technology applications | 1-6 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-12 | 5 | 50.0\% | 5 | 50.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 5 | 83.3\% | 1 | 16.7\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 15 | 48.4\% | 16 | 51.6\% | 31 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 9 | 64.3\% | 5 | 35.7\% | 14 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 8 | 72.7\% | 3 | 27.3\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| Social studies - all | 5-12 | 1,663 | 79.4\% | 431 | 20.6\% | 2,094 | 100.0\% |
|  | 5-8 | 256 | 13.3\% | 1,671 | 86.7\% | 1,927 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 1,332 | 73.8\% | 473 | 26.2\% | 1,805 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 5 | 41.7\% | 7 | 58.3\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| American Indian language and culture | K-12 | 32 | 88.9\% | 4 | 11.1\% | 36 | 100.0\% |
| Economics | 7-12 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
| Geography | 7-12 | 1 | 16.7\% | 5 | 83.3\% | 6 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| History | 5-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 9 | 27.3\% | 24 | 72.7\% | 33 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Political science | 7-12 | 1 | 33.3\% | 2 | 66.7\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Psychology | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
| Sociology | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Teacher/coordinator work-based learning | 5-12 | 1 | 25.0\% | 3 | 75.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 223 | 40.0\% | 335 | 60.0\% | 558 | 100.0\% |
| Elementary education | 1-6 | 6,401 | 68.2\% | 2,979 | 31.8\% | 9,380 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 13,804 | 79.8\% | 3,504 | 20.2\% | 17,308 | 100.0\% |
| Pre-kindergarten | PreK | 369 | 19.9\% | 1,481 | 80.1\% | 1,850 | 100.0\% |
| Pre kindergarten \& kindergarten | PreK\&KG | 1 | 16.7\% | 5 | 83.3\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
| Pre-primary | Age3-K | 550 | 33.4\% | 1,096 | 66.6\% | 1,646 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK | 4 | 7.7\% | 48 | 92.3\% | 52 | 100.0\% |
| Early childhood education | B-Gr3 | 1,367 | 71.4\% | 547 | 28.6\% | 1,914 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-3 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-3 | 70 | 80.5\% | 17 | 19.5\% | 87 | 100.0\% |
| Adult basic education | Adult | 70 | 71.4\% | 28 | 28.6\% | 98 | 100.0\% |
| Parent and family education | Adult | 289 | 49.4\% | 296 | 50.6\% | 585 | 100.0\% |
| Family education/early childhood educator | PreK | 83 | 42.8\% | 111 | 57.2\% | 194 | 100.0\% |
| Speech-language pathologist | K-12 | 15 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 1831 | 97.7\% | 44 | 2.3\% | 1,875 | 100.0\% |
| Emotional behavior disorders | 7-12 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 2,867 | 61.7\% | 1,783 | 38.3\% | 4,650 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 4 | 66.7\% | 2 | 33.3\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 1 | 33.3\% | 2 | 66.7\% | 3 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Learning disabilities | 5-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 2 | 40.0\% | 3 | 60.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 3,329 | 57.7\% | 2,441 | 42.3\% | 5,770 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 3 | 50.0\% | 3 | 50.0\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 3 | 60.0\% | 2 | 40.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-9 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Autism spectrum disorders | B-12 | 422 | 56.2\% | 329 | 43.8\% | 751 | 100.0\% |
|  | B-age6 | 6 | 7.4\% | 75 | 92.6\% | 81 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 539 | 55.1\% | 439 | 44.9\% | 978 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Physically handicapped | K-12 | 22 | 45.8\% | 26 | 54.2\% | 48 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Developmental/adapted physical education | K-12 | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 597 | 45.6\% | 712 | 54.4\% | 1,309 | 100.0\% |
| Physical and health disabilities | B-12 | 12 | 50.0\% | 12 | 50.0\% | 24 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 61 | 54.0\% | 52 | 46.0\% | 113 | 100.0\% |
| Oral/aural deaf education | B-12 | 27 | 40.3\% | 40 | 59.7\% | 67 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Deaf or hard of hearing | 7-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | B-12 | 183 | 80.6\% | 44 | 19.4\% | 227 | 100.0\% |
|  | B-age 6 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 4 | 80.0\% | 1 | 20.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 18 | 69.2\% | 8 | 30.8\% | 26 | 100.0\% |
| Blind or visually impaired | B-12 | 89 | 78.8\% | 24 | 21.2\% | 113 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Early childhood special education | B-age6 | 1,321 | 79.9\% | 332 | 20.1\% | 1,653 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System-STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Developmental disabilities | 5-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 869 | 52.9\% | 773 | 47.1\% | 1,642 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 2 | 25.0\% | 6 | 75.0\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 1 | 50.0\% | 1 | 50.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Mild to moderate mentally handicap | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 686 | 36.5\% | 1,194 | 63.5\% | 1,880 | 100.0\% |
| Moderate to severe mentally handicap | K-12 | 273 | 33.3\% | 546 | 66.7\% | 819 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Mildly handicap | K-12 | 9 | 60.0\% | 6 | 40.0\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
| Academic and behavioral strategist | 5-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 993 | 90.0\% | 110 | 10.0\% | 1,103 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 1 | 25.0\% | 3 | 75.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 8 | 72.7\% | 3 | 27.3\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| Career accommodation specialist | 5-12 | 2 | 9.5\% | 19 | 90.5\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| Driver education | 7-12 | 15 | 7.2\% | 192 | 92.8\% | 207 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 23 | 8.6\% | 245 | 91.4\% | 268 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Communications technology careers | 7-12 | 42 | 45.2\% | 51 | 54.8\% | 93 | 100.0\% |
| Construction careers | 7-12 | 58 | 56.3\% | 45 | 43.7\% | 103 | 100.0\% |
|  | 9-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Manufacturing careers | 7-12 | 30 | 45.5\% | 36 | 54.5\% | 66 | 100.0\% |
| Medical careers | 7-12 | 27 | 75.0\% | 9 | 25.0\% | 36 | 100.0\% |
| Creative design careers | 7-12 | 2 | 50.0\% | 2 | 50.0\% | 4 | 100.0\% |
| Early childhood careers | 7-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
| Hospitality service careers | 7-12 | 4 | 50.0\% | 4 | 50.0\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| Transportation careers | 7-12 | 30 | 50.0\% | 30 | 50.0\% | 60 | 100.0\% |
| Secondary guidance and counseling | 5-8 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 211 | 76.2\% | 66 | 23.8\% | 277 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

A2. Licenses by grade level that were used and not used by teachers, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Grade | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle school guidance \& counseling | 5-8 | 31 | 32.3\% | 65 | 67.7\% | 96 | 100.0\% |
|  | 7-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Elementary guidance \& counseling | 1-6 | 48 | 39.0\% | 75 | 61.0\% | 123 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-6 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| School counselor | 7-12 | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-12 | 1,051 | 90.9\% | 105 | 9.1\% | 1,156 | 100.0\% |
| Librarian | K-12 | 13 | 21.3\% | 48 | 78.7\% | 61 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| School psychologist I | B-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 5 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 5 | 100.0\% |
| School psychologist | K-12 | 1 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 788 | 98.3\% | 14 | 1.7\% | 802 | 100.0\% |
| School social worker I | PreK-12 | 14 | 73.7\% | 5 | 26.3\% | 19 | 100.0\% |
| School social worker II | PreK-12 | 11 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 11 | 100.0\% |
| School social worker | PreK-12 | 1,224 | 97.6\% | 30 | 2.4\% | 1,254 | 100.0\% |
| Public school nurse | K-12 | 6 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 6 | 100.0\% |
|  | PreK-12 | 609 | 99.8\% | 1 | .2\% | 610 | 100.0\% |
| Library media specialist | K-12 | 538 | 81.9\% | 119 | 18.1\% | 657 | 100.0\% |
|  | K-8 | 0 | 0.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% | 1 | 100.0\% |
| Community education director | PreKAdult | 111 | 92.5\% | 9 | 7.5\% | 120 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. A person may hold the same license for multiple grade levels. In this case, the person will appear in each row for which they hold (e.g., Visual arts 1-6 and Visual arts 7-12). All administrators and license holders not actively teaching were removed from the analysis.

## A3. Top five licensed areas for most qualified teachers by Economic Development Region (EDR)

| EDR and License area | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR1 - Northwest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 20 | 95.2\% | 1 | 4.8\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 56 | 91.8\% | 5 | 8.2\% | 61 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 18 | 85.7\% | 3 | 14.3\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| Junior high sciences (general) | 34 | 79.1\% | 9 | 20.9\% | 43 | 100.0\% |
| Computer science/keyboarding (general) | 10 | 76.9\% | 3 | 23.1\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
| EDR2 - Headwaters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School counseling (general) | 11 | 91.7\% | 1 | 8.3\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 40 | 88.9\% | 5 | 11.1\% | 45 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 8 | 80.0\% | 2 | 20.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 19 | 73.1\% | 7 | 26.9\% | 26 | 100.0\% |
| Junior high sciences (general) | 39 | 72.2\% | 15 | 27.8\% | 54 | 100.0\% |
| EDR3 - Arrowhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 46 | 93.9\% | 3 | 6.1\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 78 | 91.8\% | 7 | 8.2\% | 85 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 122 | 91.7\% | 11 | 8.3\% | 133 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 23 | 79.3\% | 6 | 20.7\% | 29 | 100.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences/home economics (general) | 11 | 68.8\% | 5 | 31.3\% | 16 | 100.0\% |
| EDR4 - West central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture (general) | 20 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 20 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 33 | 97.1\% | 1 | 2.9\% | 34 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 124 | 96.9\% | 4 | 3.1\% | 128 | 100.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences/home economics (general) | 18 | 90.0\% | 2 | 10.0\% | 20 | 100.0\% |
| Junior high sciences (general) | 79 | 86.8\% | 12 | 13.2\% | 91 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes only the grouped licenses with the total number of all teachers holding the license is 10 or more.

## A3. Top five licensed areas for most qualified teachers by Economic Development Region (EDR) (continued)

| EDR and License area | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR5 - North central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School counseling (general) | 29 | 96.7\% | 1 | 3.3\% | 30 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 78 | 96.3\% | 3 | 3.7\% | 81 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 31 | 88.6\% | 4 | 11.4\% | 35 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 19 | 82.6\% | 4 | 17.4\% | 23 | 100.0\% |
| Junior high sciences (general) | 68 | 79.1\% | 18 | 20.9\% | 86 | 100.0\% |
| EDR6E - Southwest central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 48 | 98.0\% | 1 | 2.0\% | 49 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 25 | 96.2\% | 1 | 3.8\% | 26 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 14 | 93.3\% | 1 | 6.7\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
| Agriculture (general) | 9 | 90.0\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences/home economics (general) | 7 | 87.5\% | 1 | 12.5\% | 8 | 100.0\% |
| EDR6W - Upper Minnesota Valley |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 29 | 96.7\% | 1 | 3.3\% | 30 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 12 | 92.3\% | 1 | 7.7\% | 13 | 100.0\% |
| Junior high sciences (general) | 18 | 85.7\% | 3 | 14.3\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| English/literature/communication arts (general) | 33 | 75.0\% | 11 | 25.0\% | 44 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 9 | 75.0\% | 3 | 25.0\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| EDR7E- East central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 66 | 91.7\% | 6 | 8.3\% | 72 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 33 | 89.2\% | 4 | 10.8\% | 37 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 24 | 88.9\% | 3 | 11.1\% | 27 | 100.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences/home economics (general) | 13 | 86.7\% | 2 | 13.3\% | 15 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 16 | 72.7\% | 6 | 27.3\% | 22 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes only the grouped licenses with the total number of all teachers holding the license is 10 or more.

## A3. Top five licensed areas for most qualified teachers by Economic Development Region (EDR) (continued)

| EDR and License area | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR7W - Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 200 | 96.2\% | 8 | 3.8\% | 208 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 117 | 93.6\% | 8 | 6.4\% | 125 | 100.0\% |
| Agriculture (general) | 11 | 91.7\% | 1 | 8.3\% | 12 | 100.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences/home economics (general) | 32 | 91.4\% | 3 | 8.6\% | 35 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 62 | 91.2\% | 6 | 8.8\% | 68 | 100.0\% |
| EDR8 - Southwest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture (general) | 23 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 23 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 77 | 93.9\% | 5 | 6.1\% | 82 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 15 | 93.8\% | 1 | 6.3\% | 16 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 47 | 92.2\% | 4 | 7.8\% | 51 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 23 | 82.1\% | 5 | 17.9\% | 28 | 100.0\% |
| EDR9 - South central |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture (general) | 22 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0.0\% | 22 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 101 | 95.3\% | 5 | 4.7\% | 106 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 20 | 95.2\% | 1 | 4.8\% | 21 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 59 | 95.2\% | 3 | 4.8\% | 62 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 29 | 82.9\% | 6 | 17.1\% | 35 | 100.0\% |
| EDR10 - Southeast |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 44 | 97.8\% | 1 | 2.2\% | 45 | 100.0\% |
| Agriculture (general) | 26 | 96.3\% | 1 | 3.7\% | 27 | 100.0\% |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 221 | 95.3\% | 11 | 4.7\% | 232 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 55 | 90.2\% | 6 | 9.8\% | 61 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 124 | 88.6\% | 16 | 11.4\% | 140 | 100.0\% |

## Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.

Note. Includes only the grouped licenses with the total number of all teachers holding the license is 10 or more.

## A3. Top five licensed areas for most qualified teachers by Economic Development Region (EDR) (continued)

| EDR and License area | Teachers working in their license area |  | Teachers holding the license but not teaching in it |  | All teachers holding the license area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR11-7 county Twin Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Music/band - vocal, instrumental, and classroom (general) | 1093 | 92.7\% | 86 | 7.3\% | 1179 | 100.0\% |
| School counseling (general) | 706 | 90.1\% | 78 | 9.9\% | 784 | 100.0\% |
| Industrial arts/technology (general) | 185 | 88.5\% | 24 | 11.5\% | 209 | 100.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences/home economics (general) | 156 | 81.7\% | 35 | 18.3\% | 191 | 100.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 161 | 79.3\% | 42 | 20.7\% | 203 | 100.0\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes only the grouped licenses with the total number of all teachers holding the license is 10 or more.

A4. Proportion of teachers working in their license area by Economic Development Region (EDR) and demographic characteristics

| Economic Development Region (EDR) | Active teachers <br> working in their licensure area | Total active teachers |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| EDR 1 | Northwest | $98.1 \%$ | 1,115 |
| EDR 2 | Headwaters | $98.3 \%$ | 1,113 |
| EDR 3 | Arrowhead | $97.9 \%$ | 3,237 |
| EDR 4 | West Central | $98.2 \%$ | 2,613 |
| EDR 5 | North Central | $97.6 \%$ | 1,992 |
| EDR 6E | Southwest Central | $98.2 \%$ | 1,192 |
| EDR 6W | Upper Minnesota Valley | $98.5 \%$ | 608 |
| EDR 7E | East Central | $98.0 \%$ | 1,816 |
| EDR 7W | Central | $98.6 \%$ | 5,410 |
| EDR 8 | Southwest | $97.9 \%$ | 1,742 |
| EDR 9 | South Central | $98.4 \%$ | 2,670 |
| EDR 10 | Southeast | $98.4 \%$ | 5,890 |
| EDR 11 | 7 County Twin Cities | $98.4 \%$ | 34,062 |
| Minnesota | $98.1 \%$ | 63,436 |  |
| Race |  |  |  |
| White |  | $98.4 \%$ |  |
| Of color | $97.2 \%$ | 60,691 |  |
| Gender |  | 2,745 |  |
| Female | $98.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Male | $98.4 \%$ | 48,053 |  |
| Sol |  | 15,383 |  |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.

A5. Student enrollment in 2017-18 by race/ethnicity and Economic Development Region (EDR)

| Economic Development Region (EDR) |  | N | American Indian | Asian | Black | Hispanic | Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander | Multiracial | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR 1 | Northwest | 14,082 | 2.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 83.2\% |
| EDR 2 | Headwaters | 14,333 | 27.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 8.9\% | 60.4\% |
| EDR 3 | Arrowhead | 44,510 | 4.8\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 0.1\% | 6.9\% | 83.3\% |
| EDR 4 | West Central | 34,711 | 2.4\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 84.1\% |
| EDR 5 | North Central | 26,069 | 5.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 3.5\% | 0.1\% | 3.3\% | 85.9\% |
| EDR 6E | Southwest Central | 16,424 | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 5.8\% | 17.2\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% | 73.8\% |
| EDR 6W | Upper Minnesota Valley | 7,574 | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 10.4\% | 1.2\% | 2.5\% | 81.7\% |
| EDR 7E | East Central | 25,886 | 2.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 89.5\% |
| EDR 7W | Central | 79,961 | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 6.9\% | 4.6\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 83.0\% |
| EDR 8 | Southwest | 20,632 | 1.5\% | 4.8\% | 3.2\% | 17.2\% | 0.1\% | 3.2\% | 69.9\% |
| EDR 9 | South Central | 34,790 | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 4.5\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 79.1\% |
| EDR 10 | Southeast | 78,728 | 0.4\% | 3.8\% | 7.2\% | 10.5\% | 0.1\% | 3.5\% | 74.5\% |
| EDR 11 | 7 County Twin Cities | 487,152 | 0.8\% | 10.9\% | 16.5\% | 10.9\% | 0.1\% | 5.3\% | 55.6\% |
|  | Minnesota | 884,852 | 1.6\% | 6.8\% | 11.0\% | 9.3\% | 0.1\% | 4.7\% | 66.5\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Data Center.
Note. Includes PreK-grade12.

A6. Special student populations in 2017-18 and 2015-16 by Economic Development Region (EDR)

| Economic Development Region (EDR) |  | 2017-18 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Free lunch | Reducedprice lunch | ELL | Special education | Free lunch | Reducedprice lunch | ELL | Special education |
| EDR 1 | Northwest | 27.4\% | 11.5\% | 2.1\% | 16.4\% | 27.6\% | 11.4\% | 1.7\% | 15.6\% |
| EDR 2 | Headwaters | 45.3\% | 11.0\% | 0.3\% | 19.5\% | 48.4\% | 10.5\% | 0.2\% | 19.3\% |
| EDR 3 | Arrowhead | 30.9\% | 8.8\% | 0.2\% | 18.1\% | 32.7\% | 8.6\% | 0.1\% | 17.0\% |
| EDR 4 | West Central | 26.2\% | 8.6\% | 2.8\% | 17.5\% | 27.2\% | 8.9\% | 2.4\% | 17.1\% |
| EDR 5 | North Central | 32.6\% | 12.8\% | 1.0\% | 19.7\% | 34.9\% | 12.2\% | 0.8\% | 18.6\% |
| EDR 6E | Southwest Central | 31.8\% | 10.0\% | 8.6\% | 14.7\% | 32.8\% | 9.6\% | 7.9\% | 14.0\% |
| EDR 6W | Upper Minnesota Valley | 29.3\% | 11.7\% | 3.5\% | 19.3\% | 29.4\% | 12.9\% | 2.9\% | 17.2\% |
| EDR 7E | East Central | 24.9\% | 10.1\% | 0.6\% | 16.2\% | 27.5\% | 8.9\% | 0.5\% | 14.8\% |
| EDR 7W | Central | 20.6\% | 6.9\% | 4.9\% | 16.0\% | 21.1\% | 7.3\% | 4.5\% | 15.2\% |
| EDR 8 | Southwest | 33.2\% | 12.9\% | 9.5\% | 16.2\% | 33.8\% | 12.3\% | 9.2\% | 16.0\% |
| EDR 9 | South Central | 28.0\% | 9.8\% | 4.7\% | 16.9\% | 29.3\% | 9.0\% | 3.9\% | 16.0\% |
| EDR 10 | Southeast | 27.7\% | 8.0\% | 6.5\% | 16.2\% | 29.0\% | 7.5\% | 6.8\% | 15.0\% |
| EDR 11 | 7 County Twin Cities | 30.3\% | 7.1\% | 11.1\% | 14.7\% | 31.9\% | 6.3\% | 11.3\% | 14.4\% |
|  | Minnesota | 29.2\% | 8.0\% | 8.0\% | 15.7\% | 30.6\% | 7.5\% | 8.0\% | 15.1\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Data Center.
Note. Includes PreK-grade12.

A7. Assignment status by license area, 2017-18

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture (non vocational) | 674 | 651 | 96.6\% | 22 | 3.3\% | 2 | .3\% |
| Agricultural education | 674 | 651 | 96.6\% | 22 | 3.3\% | 2 | .3\% |
| Visual arts | 1,536 | 1455 | 94.7\% | 69 | 4.5\% | 15 | 1.0\% |
| English/language arts | 3,934 | 3,868 | 98.3\% | 65 | 1.7\% | 10 | .3\% |
| Communication arts/ literature | 4,041 | 3,965 | 98.1\% | 75 | 1.9\% | 10 | .2\% |
| Reading leader | 1,767 | 1,731 | 98.0\% | 36 | 2.0\% | 3 | .2\% |
| Reading | 1,767 | 1,731 | 98.0\% | 36 | 2.0\% | 3 | .2\% |
| Elementary remedial reading | 1527 | 1,506 | 98.6\% | 22 | 1.4\% | --- | --- |
| Secondary remedial reading | 277 | 259 | 93.5\% | 15 | 5.4\% | 3 | 1.1\% |
| Secondary developmental reading | 277 | 259 | 93.5\% | 15 | 5.4\% | 3 | 1.1\% |
| English-humanities | 3,915 | 3,850 | 98.3\% | 67 | 1.7\% | 7 | .2\% |
| Journalism | 769 | 756 | 98.3\% | 11 | 1.4\% | 2 | .3\% |
| Speech | 344 | 335 | 97.4\% | 5 | 1.5\% | 4 | 1.2\% |
| Speech \& theatre arts | 535 | 500 | 93.5\% | 30 | 5.6\% | 5 | .9\% |
| Theatre arts | 321 | 291 | 90.7\% | 26 | 8.1\% | 4 | 1.2\% |
| Dance and theatre | 373 | 319 | 85.5\% | 50 | 13.4\% | 4 | 1.1\% |
| Dance | 55 | 31 | 56.4\% | 24 | 43.6\% | --- | --- |
| Latin | 83 | 73 | 88.0\% | 10 | 12.0\% | --- | --- |
| Greek | 52 | 50 | 96.2\% | 2 | 3.8\% | --- | --- |
| American sign language | 94 | 64 | 68.1\% | 26 | 27.7\% | 4 | 4.3\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Many assignments qualify under multiple licensure areas. When determining the status that fits under any given licensure area, such assignments are counted for every license for which they could apply. For example, the Elementary Math assignment is an assignment that teachers can teach by holding any of the following three licenses: Mathematics, Elementary Education, or Early Childhood Education. If a teacher is noted as "Licensed for Assignment" for that assignment, they will be counted as such in the rows for all 3 license areas. This means that a person does not have to hold the license indicated in order to appear in that row, even if their status is Licensed for Assignment. Therefore, this table is best used as a way to get a sense of which licensure areas contains assignments taught by special permissions or out of compliance teachers.

A7. Assignment status by license area, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arabic | 82 | 57 | 69.5\% | 23 | 28.0\% | 2 | 2.4\% |
| Chinese | 108 | 93 | 86.1\% | 16 | 14.8\% | --- | --- |
| Czech | 64 | 50 | 78.1\% | 13 | 20.3\% | 1 | 1.6\% |
| English as a second language | 1,760 | 1,654 | 94.0\% | 101 | 5.7\% | 5 | .3\% |
| Finnish | 64 | 50 | 78.1\% | 13 | 20.3\% | 1 | 1.6\% |
| French | 234 | 227 | 97.0\% | 7 | 3.0\% | --- | --- |
| German | 161 | 154 | 95.7\% | 7 | 4.3\% | --- | --- |
| Hebrew | 54 | 51 | 94.4\% | 3 | 5.6\% | --- | --- |
| Italian | 51 | 50 | 98.0\% | 1 | 2.0\% | --- | --- |
| Japanese | 64 | 62 | 96.9\% | 2 | 3.1\% | --- | --- |
| Norwegian | 64 | 50 | 78.1\% | 13 | 20.3\% | 1 | 1.6\% |
| Polish | 64 | 50 | 78.1\% | 13 | 20.3\% | 1 | 1.6\% |
| Russian | 55 | 53 | 96.4\% | 2 | 3.6\% | --- | --- |
| Spanish | 1,035 | 975 | 94.2\% | 62 | 6.0\% | --- | --- |
| Swedish | 51 | 50 | 98.0\% | 1 | 2.0\% | --- | --- |
| Ojibwe | 73 | 66 | 90.4\% | 6 | 8.2\% | 1 | 1.4\% |
| Swahili | 64 | 50 | 78.1\% | 13 | 20.3\% | 1 | 1.6\% |
| Vietnamese | 64 | 50 | 78.1\% | 13 | 20.3\% | 1 | 1.6\% |
| Bilingual/bicultural education | 17 | 10 | 58.8\% | 7 | 41.2\% | --- | --- |
| Hmong | 64 | 50 | 78.1\% | 13 | 20.3\% | 1 | 1.6\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Many assignments qualify under multiple licensure areas. When determining the status that fits under any given licensure area, such assignments are counted for every license for which they could apply. For example, the Elementary Math assignment is an assignment that teachers can teach by holding any of the following three licenses: Mathematics, Elementary Education, or Early Childhood Education. If a teacher is noted as "Licensed for Assignment" for that assignment, they will be counted as such in the rows for all 3 license areas. This means that a person does not have to hold the license indicated in order to appear in that row, even if their status is Licensed for Assignment. Therefore, this table is best used as a way to get a sense of which licensure areas contains assignments taught by special permissions or out of compliance teachers.

A7. Assignment status by license area, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health \& physical education | 2,994 | 2,907 | 97.1\% | 102 | 3.4\% | 10 | . $3 \%$ |
| Health education | 768 | 729 | 94.9\% | 38 | 4.9\% | 3 | .4\% |
| Physical education | 2,746 | 2,674 | 97.4\% | 67 | 2.4\% | 7 | . $3 \%$ |
| Home economics | 454 | 406 | 89.4\% | 48 | 10.6\% | 1 | . $2 \%$ |
| Family and consumer sciences | 881 | 831 | 94.3\% | 51 | 5.8\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Family life education | 15 | 14 | 93.3\% | 1 | 6.7\% | --- | --- |
| Family life | 54 | 53 | 98.1\% | 1 | 1.9\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts | 1,070 | 1,000 | 93.5\% | 73 | 6.8\% | 2 | . $2 \%$ |
| Technology | 1,070 | 1,000 | 93.5\% | 73 | 6.8\% | 2 | .2\% |
| Mathematics | 4,712 | 4,626 | 98.2\% | 92 | 2.0\% | 8 | . $2 \%$ |
| Music | 2,294 | 2,263 | 98.6\% | 36 | 1.6\% | 4 | . $2 \%$ |
| Classroom music | 1,170 | 1,148 | 98.1\% | 21 | 1.8\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Vocal and classroom music | 1,631 | 1,604 | 98.3\% | 27 | 1.7\% | 4 | .2\% |
| Vocal music | 698 | 687 | 98.4\% | 8 | 1.1\% | 3 | .4\% |
| Instrumental (band/orchestra) and classroom music | 1,855 | 1,826 | 98.4\% | 31 | 1.7\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Band | 806 | 800 | 99.3\% | 6 | .7\% | --- | --- |
| Orchestra | 226 | 219 | 96.9\% | 7 | 3.1\% | --- | --- |
| Sciences -all | 3,223 | 3,129 | 97.1\% | 155 | 4.8\% | 15 | . $5 \%$ |
| Jr. High science | 2,236 | 2,152 | 96.2\% | 98 | 4.4\% | 5 | .2\% |
| General science *see Jr Hi science | 2,726 | 2,630 | 96.5\% | 136 | 5.0\% | 10 | .4\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Many assignments qualify under multiple licensure areas. When determining the status that fits under any given licensure area, such assignments are counted for every license for which they could apply. For example, the Elementary Math assignment is an assignment that teachers can teach by holding any of the following three licenses: Mathematics, Elementary Education, or Early Childhood Education. If a teacher is noted as "Licensed for Assignment" for that assignment, they will be counted as such in the rows for all 3 license areas. This means that a person does not have to hold the license indicated in order to appear in that row, even if their status is Licensed for Assignment. Therefore, this table is best used as a way to get a sense of which licensure areas contains assignments taught by special permissions or out of compliance teachers.

A7. Assignment status by license area, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life sciences | 1,885 | 1,827 | 96.9\% | 63 | 3.3\% | 6 | . $3 \%$ |
| Physical sciences (physics \& chemistry) | 1,759 | 1,691 | 96.1\% | 93 | 5.3\% | 6 | . $3 \%$ |
| Chemistry | 1,586 | 1,523 | 96.0\% | 74 | 4.7\% | 4 | . $3 \%$ |
| Physics | 1,250 | 1,199 | 95.9\% | 66 | 5.3\% | 2 | . $2 \%$ |
| Earth and space science/ earth science | 1,033 | 988 | 95.6\% | 46 | 4.5\% | 4 | .4\% |
| Science 5-9 | 3,602 | 3,505 | 97.3\% | 159 | 4.4\% | 15 | . $4 \%$ |
| Science 5-8 | 3,602 | 3,505 | 97.3\% | 159 | 4.4\% | 15 | .4\% |
| Science 5-8 | 3,602 | 3,505 | 97.3\% | 159 | 4.4\% | 15 | .4\% |
| Business education -all- | 1,090 | 1,012 | 92.8\% | 81 | 7.4\% | 2 | . $2 \%$ |
| Business | 1,158 | 1,074 | 92.7\% | 88 | 7.6\% | 3 | .3\% |
| Accounting \& data processing | 899 | 858 | 95.4\% | 45 | 5.0\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Business education - clerical | 907 | 851 | 93.8\% | 61 | 6.7\% | --- | --- |
| Business education - secretarial | 890 | 836 | 93.9\% | 59 | 6.6\% | --- | --- |
| Business education-basic \& econ. | 727 | 698 | 96.0\% | 32 | 4.4\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (except shorthand) | 978 | 918 | 93.9\% | 64 | 6.5\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Business education (except bookkeeping) | 966 | 906 | 93.8\% | 64 | 6.6\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Computer science | 376 | 338 | 89.9\% | 39 | 10.4\% | 1 | . $3 \%$ |
| Keyboarding for computer application | 566 | 502 | 88.7\% | 64 | 11.3\% | 2 | .4\% |
| Teachers of computer, keyboarding, and related technology applications | 487 | 433 | 88.9\% | 53 | 10.9\% | 1 | .2\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Many assignments qualify under multiple licensure areas. When determining the status that fits under any given licensure area, such assignments are counted for every license for which they could apply. For example, the Elementary Math assignment is an assignment that teachers can teach by holding any of the following three licenses: Mathematics, Elementary Education, or Early Childhood Education. If a teacher is noted as "Licensed for Assignment" for that assignment, they will be counted as such in the rows for all 3 license areas. This means that a person does not have to hold the license indicated in order to appear in that row, even if their status is Licensed for Assignment. Therefore, this table is best used as a way to get a sense of which licensure areas contains assignments taught by special permissions or out of compliance teachers.

A7. Assignment status by license area, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social studies -all- | 3,612 | 3,566 | 98.7\% | 45 | 1.2\% | 5 | .1\% |
| American Indian language and culture | 78 | 71 | 91.0\% | 6 | 7.7\% | 1 | 1.3\% |
| Economics | 428 | 425 | 99.3\% | 3 | .7\% | --- | --- |
| Geography | 829 | 819 | 98.8\% | 9 | 1.1\% | 1 | .1\% |
| History | 1,958 | 1,944 | 99.3\% | 14 | .7\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Political science | 734 | 732 | 99.7\% | 1 | .1\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Psychology | 253 | 253 | 100.0\% | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sociology | 162 | 160 | 98.8\% | 1 | .6\% | 1 | .6\% |
| Teacher/coordinator work-based learning | 387 | 352 | 91.0\% | 33 | 8.5\% | 2 | .5\% |
| Elementary education | 29,226 | 28,783 | 98.5\% | 444 | 1.5\% | 33 | .1\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 1,040 | 979 | 94.1\% | 52 | 5.0\% | 10 | 1.0\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten \& Kindergarten | 1,040 | 979 | 94.1\% | 52 | 5.0\% | 10 | 1.0\% |
| Pre-primary | 4,200 | 4,105 | 97.7\% | 88 | 2.1\% | 10 | .2\% |
| Early childhood education | 21,307 | 20,996 | 98.5\% | 293 | 1.4\% | 25 | .1\% |
| Adult basic education | 276 | 273 | 98.9\% | 3 | 1.1\% | --- | --- |
| Parent and family education | 425 | 388 | 91.3\% | 35 | 8.2\% | 3 | .7\% |
| Family education/early childhood educator | 1,293 | 1,201 | 92.9\% | 81 | 6.3\% | 13 | 1.0\% |
| Speech-language pathologist | 2,161 | 2,141 | 99.1\% | 20 | .9\% | --- | --- |
| Emotional behavior disorders | 3,944 | 3,626 | 91.9\% | 309 | 7.8\% | 15 | .4\% |
| Learning disabilities | 4,264 | 4,023 | 94.3\% | 233 | 5.5\% | 22 | .5\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Many assignments qualify under multiple licensure areas. When determining the status that fits under any given licensure area, such assignments are counted for every license for which they could apply. For example, the Elementary Math assignment is an assignment that teachers can teach by holding any of the following three licenses: Mathematics, Elementary Education, or Early Childhood Education. If a teacher is noted as "Licensed for Assignment" for that assignment, they will be counted as such in the rows for all 3 license areas. This means that a person does not have to hold the license indicated in order to appear in that row, even if their status is Licensed for Assignment. Therefore, this table is best used as a way to get a sense of which licensure areas contains assignments taught by special permissions or out of compliance teachers.

A7. Assignment status by license area, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autism spectrum disorders | 2,264 | 2,046 | 90.4\% | 225 | 9.9\% | 11 | . $5 \%$ |
| Physically handicapped | 1,274 | 1,211 | 95.1\% | 62 | 4.9\% | 3 | . $2 \%$ |
| Developmental/ adapted physical education | 965 | 919 | 95.2\% | 45 | 4.7\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Physical and health disabilities | 1,274 | 1,211 | 95.1\% | 62 | 4.9\% | 3 | . $2 \%$ |
| Oral/aural deaf education | 1,245 | 1,189 | 95.5\% | 55 | 4.4\% | 2 | .2\% |
| Deaf or hard of hearing | 1,468 | 1,397 | 95.2\% | 71 | 4.8\% | 4 | . $3 \%$ |
| Blind or visually impaired | 1,325 | 1,257 | 94.9\% | 68 | 5.1\% | 2 | .2\% |
| Early childhood special education | 2,946 | 2,668 | 90.6\% | 269 | 9.1\% | 15 | .5\% |
| Developmental disabilities | 2,586 | 2,460 | 95.1\% | 128 | 4.9\% | 7 | . $3 \%$ |
| Mild to moderate mentally handicap | 2,234 | 2,129 | 95.3\% | 107 | 4.8\% | 5 | .2\% |
| Moderate to severe mentally handicap | 1,721 | 1,631 | 94.8\% | 87 | 5.1\% | 4 | .2\% |
| Mildly handicap | 7,221 | 6,722 | 93.1\% | 495 | 6.9\% | 31 | . $4 \%$ |
| Academic and behavioral strategist | 8,518 | 7,789 | 91.4\% | 734 | 8.6\% | 46 | .5\% |
| Career accommodation specialist | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Driver education | 38 | 38 | 100.0\% | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Communications technology careers | 421 | 376 | 89.3\% | 49 | 11.6\% | 2 | .5\% |
| Construction careers | 373 | 331 | 88.7\% | 47 | 12.6\% | --- | --- |
| Manufacturing careers | 331 | 298 | 90.0\% | 36 | 10.9\% | --- | --- |
| Medical careers | 253 | 218 | 86.2\% | 36 | 14.2\% | 2 | .8\% |
| Creative design careers | 2 | 2 | 100.0\% | --- | --- | --- | --- |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Many assignments qualify under multiple licensure areas. When determining the status that fits under any given licensure area, such assignments are counted for every license for which they could apply. For example, the Elementary Math assignment is an assignment that teachers can teach by holding any of the following three licenses: Mathematics, Elementary Education, or Early Childhood Education. If a teacher is noted as "Licensed for Assignment" for that assignment, they will be counted as such in the rows for all 3 license areas. This means that a person does not have to hold the license indicated in order to appear in that row, even if their status is Licensed for Assignment. Therefore, this table is best used as a way to get a sense of which licensure areas contains assignments taught by special permissions or out of compliance teachers.

A7. Assignment status by license area, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early childhood careers | 5 | 3 | 60.0\% | 2 | 40.0\% | --- | --- |
| Hospitality service careers | 12 | 5 | 41.7\% | 7 | 58.3\% | --- | --- |
| Transportation careers | 57 | 36 | 63.2\% | 21 | 36.8\% | --- | --- |
| Secondary guidance and counseling | 907 | 904 | 99.7\% | 2 | .2\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Middle school guidance \& counseling | 223 | 222 | 99.6\% | 1 | .4\% | --- | --- |
| Elementary guidance \& counseling | 251 | 251 | 100.0\% | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School counselor | 1,323 | 1,319 | 99.7\% | 3 | .2\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Librarian | 71 | 64 | 90.1\% | 7 | 9.9\% | --- | --- |
| School psychologist I | 1,112 | 1,050 | 94.4\% | 62 | 5.6\% | --- | --- |
| School psychologist | 1,112 | 1,050 | 94.4\% | 62 | 5.6\% | --- | --- |
| School social worker I | 1,568 | 1,564 | 99.7\% | 3 | .2\% | 1 | .1\% |
| School social worker II | 1,238 | 1,236 | 99.8\% | 1 | .1\% | 1 | .1\% |
| School social worker | 1,568 | 1,564 | 99.7\% | 3 | .2\% | 1 | .1\% |
| Public school nurse | 615 | 615 | 100.0\% | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Reading consultant | 1,767 | 1,731 | 98.0\% | 36 | 2.0\% | 3 | .2\% |
| Library media specialist | 824 | 763 | 92.6\% | 60 | 7.3\% | 2 | .2\% |
| Community education director | 111 | 111 | 100.0\% | --- | --- | --- | --- |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System-STAR.
Note. Many assignments qualify under multiple licensure areas. When determining the status that fits under any given licensure area, such assignments are counted for every license for which they could apply. For example, the Elementary Math assignment is an assignment that teachers can teach by holding any of the following three licenses: Mathematics, Elementary Education, or Early Childhood Education. If a teacher is noted as "Licensed for Assignment" for that assignment, they will be counted as such in the rows for all 3 license areas. This means that a person does not have to hold the license indicated in order to appear in that row, even if their status is Licensed for Assignment. Therefore, this table is best used as a way to get a sense of which licensure areas contains assignments taught by special permissions or out of compliance teachers.

## A8. Assignment status by license area for white teachers, 2017-18

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autism spectrum disorders | 2,177 | 1973 | 90.6\% | 213 | 9.8\% | 9 | .4\% |
| Librarian | 71 | 64 | 90.1\% | 7 | 9.9\% | --- | --- |
| Teachers of computer, keyboarding, and related technology applications | 471 | 423 | 89.8\% | 47 | 10.0\% | 1 | .2\% |
| Computer science | 364 | 328 | 90.1\% | 37 | 10.2\% | 1 | . $3 \%$ |
| Keyboarding for computer application | 547 | 489 | 89.4\% | 58 | 10.6\% | 2 | .4\% |
| Home economics | 450 | 402 | 89.3\% | 48 | 10.7\% | 1 | .2\% |
| Arabic | 56 | 50 | 89.3\% | 6 | 10.7\% | --- | --- |
| Manufacturing careers | 326 | 293 | 89.9\% | 36 | 11.0\% | --- | --- |
| Communications technology careers | 406 | 365 | 89.9\% | 45 | 11.1\% | 2 | .5\% |
| Dance and theatre | 354 | 309 | 87.3\% | 41 | 11.6\% | 4 | 1.1\% |
| Construction careers | 366 | 326 | 89.1\% | 45 | 12.3\% | --- | --- |
| Latin | 77 | 67 | 87.0\% | 10 | 13.0\% | -- | --- |
| Medical careers | 246 | 213 | 86.6\% | 34 | 13.8\% | 2 | .8\% |
| American sign language | 83 | 57 | 68.7\% | 23 | 27.7\% | 3 | 3.6\% |
| Transportation careers | 57 | 36 | 63.2\% | 21 | 36.8\% | - | --- |
| Dance | 48 | 30 | 62.5\% | 18 | 37.5\% | --- | --- |
| Hospitality service careers | 12 | 5 | 41.7\% | 7 | 58.3\% | --- | --- |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes the licenses in which the total number of white teachers with assignment $>10$ and the percentages of white teachers with special permissions or out of compliance are 10 percent or more.

A9. Assignment status by license area for teachers of color, 2017-18

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical sciences (physics \& chemistry) | 58 | 55 | 94.8\% | 5 | 8.6\% | 1 | 1.7\% |
| Chemistry | 55 | 52 | 94.5\% | 5 | 9.1\% | 1 | 1.8\% |
| Life sciences | 53 | 48 | 90.6\% | 5 | 9.4\% | --- | --- |
| Learning disabilities | 147 | 131 | 89.1\% | 14 | 9.5\% | 2 | 1.4\% |
| Reading leader | 51 | 46 | 90.2\% | 5 | 9.8\% | --- | --- |
| Reading | 51 | 46 | 90.2\% | 5 | 9.8\% | --- | --- |
| Reading consultant | 51 | 46 | 90.2\% | 5 | 9.8\% | --- | --- |
| Developmental disabilities | 50 | 44 | 88.0\% | 5 | 10.0\% | 1 | 2.0\% |
| Sciences -all | 97 | 90 | 92.8\% | 10 | 10.3\% | 2 | 2.1\% |
| Blind or visually impaired | 29 | 27 | 93.1\% | 3 | 10.3\% | --- | --- |
| Pre-primary | 181 | 160 | 88.4\% | 19 | 10.5\% | 2 | 1.1\% |
| Physics | 38 | 36 | 94.7\% | 4 | 10.5\% | --- | --- |
| Moderate to severe mentally handicap | 37 | 32 | 86.5\% | 4 | 10.8\% | 1 | 2.7\% |
| Social studies -all- | 147 | 133 | 90.5\% | 16 | 10.9\% | --- | --- |
| Physical education | 101 | 89 | 88.1\% | 11 | 10.9\% | 1 | 1.0\% |
| Science 5-9 | 128 | 119 | 93.0\% | 14 | 10.9\% | 1 | .8\% |
| Science 5-8 | 128 | 119 | 93.0\% | 14 | 10.9\% | 1 | .8\% |
| Science 5-8 | 128 | 119 | 93.0\% | 14 | 10.9\% | 1 | .8\% |
| English as a second language | 208 | 184 | 88.5\% | 23 | 11.1\% | 1 | .5\% |
| General science (see Jr. high science) | 81 | 75 | 92.6\% | 9 | 11.1\% | 1 | 1.2\% |
| Health \& physical education | 112 | 99 | 88.4\% | 13 | 11.6\% | 1 | .9\% |
| American Indian language and culture | 34 | 30 | 88.2\% | 4 | 11.8\% | --- | --- |
| Elementary education | 1,336 | 1,169 | 87.5\% | 160 | 12.0\% | 8 | .6\% |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes the licenses in which the total number of teacher of color with assignment $>10$ and the percentages of teachers of color with special permissions or out of compliance are 10 percent or more.

## A9. Assignment status by license area for teachers of color, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early childhood education | 1,065 | 929 | 87.2\% | 129 | 12.1\% | 7 | .7\% |
| Jr. High science | 66 | 60 | 90.9\% | 8 | 12.1\% | 1 | 1.5\% |
| School psychologist I | 33 | 29 | 87.9\% | 4 | 12.1\% | --- | --- |
| School psychologist | 33 | 29 | 87.9\% | 4 | 12.1\% | --- | --- |
| Accounting \& data processing | 16 | 14 | 87.5\% | 2 | 12.5\% | --- | --- |
| French | 15 | 13 | 86.7\% | 2 | 13.3\% | --- | --- |
| Ojibwe | 21 | 18 | 85.7\% | 3 | 14.3\% | --- | --- |
| Deaf or hard of hearing | 34 | 29 | 85.3\% | 5 | 14.7\% | --- | --- |
| Visual arts | 54 | 44 | 81.5\% | 8 | 14.8\% | 3 | 5.6\% |
| Early childhood special education | 97 | 80 | 82.5\% | 15 | 15.5\% | 2 | 2.1\% |
| Speech \& theatre arts | 19 | 16 | 84.2\% | 3 | 15.8\% | --- | --- |
| Autism spectrum disorders | 75 | 61 | 81.3\% | 12 | 16.0\% | 2 | 2.7\% |
| Mildly handicapped | 278 | 226 | 81.3\% | 49 | 17.6\% | 3 | 1.1\% |
| Computer science | 11 | 9 | 81.8\% | 2 | 18.2\% | --- | --- |
| Academic and behavioral strategist | 361 | 288 | 79.8\% | 68 | 18.8\% | 5 | 1.4\% |
| Emotional behavior disorders | 177 | 136 | 76.8\% | 39 | 22.0\% | 2 | 1.1\% |
| Spanish | 126 | 97 | 77.0\% | 29 | 23.0\% | --- | --- |
| Secondary remedial reading | 12 | 9 | 75.0\% | 3 | 25.0\% | --- | --- |
| Secondary developmental reading | 12 | 9 | 75.0\% | 3 | 25.0\% | --- | --- |
| Theatre arts | 12 | 9 | 75.0\% | 3 | 25.0\% | --- | --- |
| Communications technology careers | 15 | 11 | 73.3\% | 4 | 26.7\% | --- | --- |
| American sign language | 11 | 7 | 63.6\% | 3 | 27.3\% | 1 | 9.1\% |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes the licenses in which the total number of teacher of color with assignment $>10$ and the percentages of teachers of color with special permissions or out of compliance are 10 percent or more.

## A9. Assignment status by license area for teachers of color, 2017-18 (continued)

| License or endorsement | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business education (except shorthand) | 21 | 15 | 71.4\% | 6 | 28.6\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (except bookkeeping) | 21 | 15 | 71.4\% | 6 | 28.6\% | --- | --- |
| Chinese | 52 | 38 | 73.1\% | 15 | 28.8\% | --- | --- |
| Business education -all | 26 | 18 | 69.2\% | 8 | 30.8\% | --- | --- |
| Business | 26 | 18 | 69.2\% | 8 | 30.8\% | --- | --- |
| Business education - clerical | 19 | 13 | 68.4\% | 6 | 31.6\% | --- | --- |
| Business education - secretarial | 19 | 13 | 68.4\% | 6 | 31.6\% | --- | --- |
| Keyboarding for computer application | 18 | 12 | 66.7\% | 6 | 33.3\% | --- | --- |
| Teachers of computer, keyboarding, and related technology applications | 16 | 10 | 62.5\% | 6 | 37.5\% | --- | --- |
| Dance and theatre | 19 | 10 | 52.6\% | 9 | 47.4\% | --- | --- |
| Czech | 19 | 6 | 31.6\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 1 | 5.3\% |
| Finnish | 19 | 6 | 31.6\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 1 | 5.3\% |
| Norwegian | 19 | 6 | 31.6\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 1 | 5.3\% |
| Polish | 19 | 6 | 31.6\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 1 | 5.3\% |
| Swahili | 19 | 6 | 31.6\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 1 | 5.3\% |
| Vietnamese | 19 | 6 | 31.6\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 1 | 5.3\% |
| Hmong | 19 | 6 | 31.6\% | 12 | 63.2\% | 1 | 5.3\% |
| Arabic | 26 | 7 | 26.9\% | 17 | 65.4\% | 2 | 7.7\% |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes the licenses in which the total number of teacher of color with assignment $>10$ and the percentages of teachers of color with special permissions or out of compliance are 10 percent or more.

A10. Top five areas of special permissions or out of compliance by Economic Development Region (EDR), 2017-18

| EDR and License area | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR1 - Northwest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 37 | 30 | 81.1\% | 7 | 18.9\% | --- | --- |
| Family and consumer sciences / home economics (general) | 36 | 32 | 88.9\% | 5 | 13.9\% | --- | --- |
| Junior high sciences (general) | 65 | 61 | 93.8\% | 9 | 13.8\% | 2 | 3.1\% |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 31 | 27 | 87.1\% | 4 | 12.9\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (general) | 51 | 45 | 88.2\% | 6 | 11.8\% | --- | --- |
| EDR2 - Headwaters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 16 | 14 | 87.5\% | 2 | 12.5\% | --- | --- |
| Physical/Health disabilities | 24 | 21 | 87.5\% | 3 | 12.5\% | --- | --- |
| English/Literature/Communication arts (general) | 71 | 66 | 93.0\% | 6 | 8.5\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (general) | 25 | 23 | 92.0\% | 2 | 8.0\% | --- | --- |
| Junior High sciences (general) | 62 | 61 | 98.4\% | 3 | 4.8\% | 1 | 1.6\% |
| EDR3 - Arrowhead |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer science / keyboarding (general) | 48 | 37 | 77.1\% | 10 | 20.8\% | 1 | 2.1\% |
| Business education (general) | 73 | 63 | 86.3\% | 10 | 13.7\% | 1 | 1.4\% |
| 19030000 physical/health disabilities | 113 | 100 | 88.5\% | 13 | 11.5\% | --- | --- |
| Family and consumer sciences / home economics (general) | 46 | 41 | 89.1\% | 5 | 10.9\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts / technology (general) | 77 | 70 | 90.9\% | 6 | 7.8\% | 1 | 1.3\% |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes only grouped licenses.

A10. Top five areas of special permissions or out of compliance by Economic Development Region (EDR), 2017-18 (continued)

| EDR and License area | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR4 - West Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading (general) | 89 | 82 | 92.1\% | 8 | 9.0\% | --- | --- |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 40 | 37 | 92.5\% | 3 | 7.5\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 53 | 50 | 94.3\% | 3 | 5.7\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (general) | 64 | 61 | 95.3\% | 3 | 4.7\% | --- | --- |
| Agriculture (general) | 46 | 44 | 95.7\% | 2 | 4.3\% | --- | --- |
| EDR5 - North Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical/Health disabilities | 46 | 41 | 89.1\% | 5 | 10.9\% | --- | --- |
| Family and consumer sciences/Home economics (general) | 36 | 33 | 91.7\% | 3 | 8.3\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 58 | 56 | 96.6\% | 3 | 5.2\% | --- | --- |
| Junior High sciences (general) | 119 | 118 | 99.2\% | 6 | 5.0\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (general) | 46 | 44 | 95.7\% | 2 | 4.3\% | --- | --- |
| EDR6E - Southwest Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior High sciences (general) | 71 | 70 | 98.6\% | 4 | 5.6\% | --- | --- |
| Physical/Health disabilities | 27 | 26 | 96.3\% | 1 | 3.7\% | --- | --- |
| Reading (general) | 35 | 34 | 97.1\% | 1 | 2.9\% | --- | --- |
| Pre-K/Kindergarten/pre-primary | 91 | 89 | 97.8\% | 2 | 2.2\% | 1 | 1.1\% |
| English/Literature/Communication arts (general) | 73 | 72 | 98.6\% | 1 | 1.4\% | --- | --- |
| EDR6W - Upper Minnesota Valley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer science/keyboarding (general) | 21 | 19 | 90.5\% | 2 | 9.5\% | --- | --- |
| Reading (general) | 11 | 10 | 90.9\% | 1 | 9.1\% | --- | --- |
| Junior High sciences (general) | 32 | 30 | 93.8\% | 2 | 6.3\% | 1 | 3.1\% |
| English/Literature/Communication arts (general) | 39 | 36 | 92.3\% | 3 | 7.7\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 14 | 13 | 92.9\% | 1 | 7.1\% | --- | --- |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes only grouped licenses.

A10. Top five areas of special permissions or out of compliance by Economic Development Region (EDR), 2017-18 (continued)

| EDR and License area | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR7E- East Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 25 | 17 | 68.0\% | 8 | 32.0\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (general) | 38 | 31 | 81.6\% | 8 | 21.1\% | --- | --- |
| Family and consumer sciences/Home economics (general) | 34 | 31 | 91.2\% | 3 | 8.8\% | --- | --- |
| Physical/Health disabilities | 38 | 35 | 92.1\% | 3 | 7.9\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 48 | 46 | 95.8\% | 2 | 4.2\% | --- | --- |
| EDR7W - Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 60 | 50 | 83.3\% | 9 | 15.0\% | 1 | 1.7\% |
| Business education (general) | 111 | 99 | 89.2\% | 10 | 9.0\% | 2 | 1.8\% |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 97 | 90 | 92.8\% | 6 | 6.2\% | 1 | 1.0\% |
| Junior High sciences (general) | 298 | 291 | 97.7\% | 11 | 3.7\% | --- | --- |
| Agriculture (general) | 56 | 54 | 96.4\% | 2 | 3.6\% | --- | --- |
| EDR8 - Southwest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family and consumer sciences/Home economics (general) | 31 | 28 | 90.3\% | 3 | 9.7\% | - | --- |
| Junior High sciences (general) | 109 | 102 | 93.6\% | 10 | 9.2\% | 1 | .9\% |
| Physical/Health disabilities | 38 | 35 | 92.1\% | 3 | 7.9\% | --- | --- |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 34 | 32 | 94.1\% | 2 | 5.9\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 36 | 34 | 94.4\% | 2 | 5.6\% | --- | --- |
| EDR9 - South Central |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 39 | 34 | 87.2\% | 5 | 12.8\% | -- | --- |
| Junior High sciences (general) | 145 | 137 | 94.5\% | 15 | 10.3\% | 1 | .7\% |
| Business education (general) | 67 | 62 | 92.5\% | 5 | 7.5\% | --- | --- |
| Dance and theater arts (general) | 20 | 19 | 95.0\% | 1 | 5.0\% | - | --- |
| Physical/Health disabilities | 67 | 64 | 95.5\% | 3 | 4.5\% | --- | --- |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes only grouped licenses.

A10. Top five areas of special permissions or out of compliance by Economic Development Region (EDR), 2017-18 (continued)

| EDR and License area | Total N | Licensed for assignment |  | Special permissions |  | Out of compliance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EDR10 - Southeast |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 68 | 61 | 89.7\% | 7 | 10.3\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 106 | 99 | 93.4\% | 8 | 7.5\% | --- | --- |
| Family and consumer sciences/Home economics (general) | 95 | 88 | 92.6\% | 7 | 7.4\% | --- | --- |
| Agriculture (general) | 97 | 90 | 92.8\% | 6 | 6.2\% | 1 | 1.0\% |
| Business education (general) | 123 | 117 | 95.1\% | 7 | 5.7\% | --- | --- |
| EDR11-7 County Twin Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| World languages (Norwegian, Polish, Finnish, Czech, Swahili, Vietnamese, Hmong) | 42 | 28 | 66.7\% | 13 | 31.0\% | 1 | 2.4\% |
| Dance and theater arts (general) | 326 | 276 | 84.7\% | 50 | 15.3\% | --- | --- |
| Computer science/Keyboarding (general) | 223 | 203 | 91.0\% | 22 | 9.9\% | --- | --- |
| Industrial arts/Technology (general) | 449 | 419 | 93.3\% | 33 | 7.3\% | --- | --- |
| Business education (general) | 452 | 425 | 94.0\% | 31 | 6.9\% | --- | --- |

Source. Minnesota Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.
Note. Includes only grouped licenses.

A11. License areas with a high number of inactive teachers, 2017-18

| License or endorsement | Number of inactive teachers | Percentage of inactive teachers of all currently licensed teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural education | 101 | 38.7\% |
| Visual arts | 962 | 38.8\% |
| English/language arts | 1,365 | 41.2\% |
| Communication arts/ literature | 2,719 | 40.2\% |
| Reading | 1,057 | 34.4\% |
| Elementary remedial reading | 187 | 54.8\% |
| Secondary remedial reading | 161 | 61.7\% |
| Secondary developmental reading | 192 | 57.1\% |
| Speech | 131 | 56.2\% |
| Theatre arts | 102 | 55.1\% |
| English as a second language | 1,320 | 36.6\% |
| French | 242 | 39.7\% |
| German | 175 | 42.9\% |
| Spanish | 947 | 38.8\% |
| Health education | 915 | 33.0\% |
| Physical education | 1,839 | 34.0\% |
| Home economics | 146 | 48.0\% |
| Family and consumer sciences | 119 | 32.0\% |
| Industrial arts | 153 | 32.3\% |
| Mathematics | 2,770 | 35.9\% |
| Music | 331 | 61.5\% |
| Classroom music | 188 | 27.4\% |
| Vocal and classroom music | 635 | 40.7\% |

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.

A11. License areas with a high number of inactive teachers, 2017-18 (continued)
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l|l}\hline & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of } \\ \text { License or endorsement } \\ \text { inactive teachers }\end{array} \\ \text { licenser teachers }\end{array}\right)$

A11. License areas with a high number of inactive teachers, 2017-18 (continued)
$\left.\begin{array}{ll|l|l} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percentage of } \\ \text { License or endorsement }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { inactive teachers }\end{array} \\ \text { licensed teachers }\end{array}\right)$

Source. Minnesota Department of Education's Staff Automated Reporting System -STAR.

## Definitions of special permission

The following are categories of special permissions. These permissions were all repealed on July 1, 2018 and replaced with tiered licensure. Because this report looks at permission data in 2017-2018, the definitions are still provided here.

A personnel variance is a special permission granted to fully licensed teachers to serve in positions for which they are not licensed when no acceptable fully licensed teacher could fill the assignment. To assign a licensed classroom teacher "out-of-field" or "out-of-grade level," the school district or charter school must apply for a personnel variance to the Minnesota Professional Educator Licensing and Standards Board. Personnel variances may be granted to the school district or charter school for an individual for no more than three years. The personnel variance special permission was created with the intent that within three years (granted annually), a licensed teacher would have the time to become fully licensed in that content area.

An appeal variance is issued when a district may need or desire to continue employment of an individual who has been granted three personnel variances and is in the process of completing all requirements for a professional license but needs one additional year to meet the standards for the license.

A discretionary variance is issued when a district demonstrates hardship such as when three personnel variances and an appeal variance have been exhausted.

A temporary limited license is a special permission granted to an individual who is not fully licensed when the school superintendent or administrative designee has indicated that no acceptable fully licensed teacher is available for the assignment. The individual must possess at least a bachelor's degree with at least a minor in the field of a full-time or part-time assignment. Temporary limited licenses are valid for one school year and may be renewed for up to three school years.

An experimental waiver is a special permission granted for one or more licensed individuals to teach out of their area(s) of licensure to accommodate experimental (innovative) programs by delivering content in a unique way or for purposes of implementing a state approved alternative program. The waiver may also be used for an assignment for which there is no appropriate licensure. A waiver request can only be granted in one year increments. Experimental program waivers were granted by core subjects for the first time in 2005-06 to align with federal No Child Left Behind requirements

A non-licensed community expert is a special permission granted to allow a school district to hire an individual who is not a licensed teacher, but has a specific area of expertise that is related to the teaching assignment.

A three-year, non-renewable license allows a professionally licensed individual to teach out-of-field in a subject as they work towards full licensure after verifying enrollment in the content area program. A district only needs to apply for this license once and does not need to advertise for the position after the first year. The special permission was issued for the first time during the 2006-2007 school year.
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[^0]:    1 Figure A11 in the Appendix shows the license areas held by most of the inactive teachers.

[^1]:    2 The number of teachers in Minnesota has increased every year since 2011. A total of 60,090 teachers in 2015-16 was reported in the 2017 Report of Teacher Supply and Demand (Minnesota Department of Education, n.d.). However, the exact changes in number of active standard-licensed teachers from 2015-16 to 2017-18 could not be determined because the calculation for this report might be different from the previous report. The proportion of teachers of color remained the same (4.2 percent in 2015-16).

