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Preliminary steps
Preliminary steps

- Develop your evaluation plan
  - What are your key evaluation questions?
  - What information is needed to answer the evaluation questions?
  - What/who are your information sources?
  - How will you collect data?
  - How will you analyze the data?

- Collect data
Organizing your data
Organizing your data

- Name variables using a consistent format
  - Short
  - Intuitive
  - Single word is preferable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Don’t</th>
<th>Do</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAR001 Date of referral</td>
<td>Q1_location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of referral</td>
<td>ReferralDate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organizing your data

- Assign a unique identifier to each individual
  - To prevent duplicates
  - To prevent entering data on the wrong person
  - To link information across datasets
Organizing your data

Using name as an identifier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Pros:</th>
<th>Cons:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MyLinh Nguyen</td>
<td>- How you refer to participants</td>
<td>- Typos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My Linh Nguyen</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Prefixes and suffixes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Roberts, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Middle name or initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Roberts</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Multiple last names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emily ann meyers</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Upper and lower casing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMELY MEYER</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Name changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Hernandez Romero</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Hernandez</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria Rogers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pros:
- How you refer to participants

Cons:
- Typos
- Prefixes and suffixes
- Middle name or initial
- Multiple last names
- Upper and lower casing
- Name changes
Organizing your data

Using name as an identifier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Pros:</th>
<th>Cons:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MyLinh Nguyen</td>
<td>How you refer to participants</td>
<td>Typos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My Linh Nguyen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Prefixes and suffixes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Roberts, Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Middle name or initial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Ann Meyers</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple last names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juan Hernandez</td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper and lower casing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td>Name changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloria Rogers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not recommended as sole identifier
Organizing your data

Using SSN as an identifier

Pros:

- May be required for federal applications

Cons:

- Hyphens, spaces, or none
- Privacy concerns
Organizing your data

- Using SSN as an identifier
  - Pros:
    - May be required for federal applications
  - Cons:
    - Hyphens, spaces, or none
    - Privacy concerns

Not recommended unless necessary
Organizing your data

Using telephone number as an identifier

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(999)999-9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999-999-9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999 999 9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999999999999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999-9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9999999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Pros:**
  - This may be something you already collect for program purposes

- **Cons:**
  - Area code
  - Parentheses, hyphens, or none
  - Changes
  - Not unique
Organizing your data

- Using telephone number as an identifier

  - **Pros:**
    - This may be something you already collect for program purposes

  - **Cons:**
    - Area code
    - Parentheses, hyphens, or none
    - Changes
    - Not unique

Not recommended as sole identifier
Organizing your data

- Using student ID as an identifier
  - Pros:
    - Pre-existing ID
    - Allows you to link your data to other data
  - Cons:
    - Might be hard to obtain
    - Privacy concerns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>StudentID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>799866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organizing your data

- Using student ID as an identifier
  - **Pros:**
    - Pre-existing ID
    - Allows you to link your data to other data
  - **Cons:**
    - Might be hard to obtain
    - Privacy concerns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>StudentID</th>
<th>Recommended with privacy controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345628</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466585</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>799866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organizing your data

Assigning a unique identifier

- Assign a unique ID number at intake and use in conjunction with other identifying information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IntakeNumber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organizing your data

- Assigning a unique identifier
  - Assign a unique ID number at intake and use in conjunction with other identifying information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IntakeNumber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|     103      | Recommended
|     104      |
Organizing your data

- Multi-record
  - Multiple rows of data per individual

- Single record
  - One row of data per individual
  - Usually preferable for analysis

- Identifying duplicate cases can be a challenge
  - The CDC’s Link Plus software can help.
    Free download online: [www.cdc.gov/cancer/npcr/tools/registryplus/lp.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/cancer/npcr/tools/registryplus/lp.htm)
## Organizing your data

- Do not use color coding
  - Colors cannot be sorted or analyzed

### Don’t

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>StudentID</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345628</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466585</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>799866</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162345</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Do

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>StudentID</th>
<th>Status (0=exited, 1=current)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162345</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345628</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466585</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100326</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>799866</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162345</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organizing your data

- Enter data in a consistent format
- Benefits of using numeric codes
  - E.g., 0 = no, 1 = yes
- Limit permissible responses
  - Data validations in Excel
Organizing your data

- Avoid leaving anything blank
- Instead, use a code to explain why there are no data
  - -6 = Missing
  - -7 = Don’t know
  - -8 = Refusal
  - -9 = Not applicable
Organizing your data

Usually it is best to create new variables rather than override previous information

- E.g., Status changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OriginalStatus</th>
<th>StatusChange1</th>
<th>StatusChange1_Date</th>
<th>StatusChange2</th>
<th>StatusChange2_Date</th>
<th>CurrentStatus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Enrolled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waitlist</td>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>08/05/2010</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Enrolled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrolled</td>
<td>Exited</td>
<td>03/15/2008</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Exited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ineligible</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>Ineligible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Organizing your data

- Keep documentation, such as a codebook
  - Variable name
  - Variable description
  - Response options or categories
  - Assigned values
  - Data source
  - Timing of data collection
  - Explanation of any changes
Analyzing your data
Analyzing your data

- Continuum of complexity
- Descriptive analysis
  - Frequency distribution
  - Central tendency
  - Variability
- Inferential analysis
Analyzing your data

- Types of data
  - Categorical
    - Nominal
    - Ordinal
  - Continuous
When I hear “data analysis,” I mostly feel...

1. Scared or anxious (7%)
2. Overwhelmed (33%)
3. Happy (4%)
4. Excited (44%)
5. Neutral (11%)
6. None of the above (0%)
Analyzing your data – Descriptive

- Frequency distributions

Participant thoughts about evaluation:

- Can't live without evaluation: 10
- Love evaluation: 8
- Like evaluation: 1
- Don't like evaluation: 0

Number of participants
Analyzing your data – Descriptive

- Central tendency
  - Average or Mean

Number of siblings

\[ 1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 3 + 5 + 9 = 24 \]

\[ 24 \div 8 = 3 \text{ siblings} \]
Analyzing your data – Descriptive

Central tendency

- Median

Number of siblings

$1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 3 + 5 + 9 = 24$

2 siblings
Analyzing your data – Descriptive

- Central tendency
  - Mode

Number of siblings

1 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 3 + 5 + 9 = 24

1 sibling

wilderresearch.org
Analyzing your data – Descriptive

Variability

- Minimum and maximum

Number of siblings

1 1 1 2 2 3 5 9

1 to 9
Analyzing your data – Descriptive

Variability
  – Range

Number of siblings

1 1 1 2 2 3 5 9

9 – 1 = 8
Analyzing your data – Descriptive

Variability

- Standard deviation

Number of siblings

1 1 1 1 2 2 3 5 9

= 2.777
Analyzing your data – Inferential

- Common types of tests
  - Chi squares
  - Correlations
  - T-tests
  - Analysis of variance
Analyzing your data – Inferential

- Statistical significance
  - Strength of the relationship

- Substantive or clinical significance
  - Based on agreed upon criteria
Analyzing your data – Inferential

- Factors impacting statistical significance
- Amount of variability
Analyzing your data – Inferential

- Factors impacting statistical significance
  - Effect size
Analyzing your data – Inferential

- Factors impacting statistical significance
- Size of the sample
Interpreting your data
Interpreting your results

Involves stepping back to consider what the results mean

Don’t forget to:
- Involve stakeholders
- Consider practical value
- Acknowledge limitations
- Seek consultation as needed
Interpreting your results

Look for what stands out:
- Patterns and themes
Interpreting your results

Look for what stands out:

- Surprising findings
Interpreting your results

Look for what stands out:

- Interesting stories
Interpreting your results

Look for what stands out:

- Additional data needs
Interpreting your results

Look for what stands out:

- Recommendations or suggestions for the future
Interpreting your results

Think about the context:

- Are there exceptions to the patterns or themes?
- Do the results make sense?
- Are the results statistically or clinically significant?
- Are there inconsistencies in the results?
- What is the overall picture?
Interpreting your results

Common pitfalls:
- Cherry picking data
- Not looking at the overall picture
- Misrepresenting findings
- Straying from the results
Interpreting your results activity
Select the best interpretation of these data.

Thirty-seven percent of 17- to 20-year-olds are comfortable discussing their alcohol consumption habits with their family and friends.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>1. Thirty-seven percent of 17- to 20-year-olds consume alcohol regularly.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2. Teenagers who drink often do so while talking with their families.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3. Teenage drinking improves family communication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4. Not all teenagers are comfortable discussing whether they drink or not.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Select the best interpretation of these data.

Seventy-seven percent of the 3rd graders who stayed in the same school in 2010 read at grade level, but only 59 percent of those who transferred schools during the year did.

1. Students who transferred schools were less likely to read at grade level than those who stayed in the same school.

2. Low reading proficiency in third grade makes students more likely to change schools.

3. Kids who move in third grade are less likely to graduate from high school.

4. One-quarter of third grade students in Minnesota can’t read.
Forty percent of homeless adults reported a job loss or reduced hours as a reason they lost their housing.

Select the best interpretation of these data.

1. Job loss or reduction is the most commonly reported cause of homelessness.
2. Creating jobs is the best way to prevent homelessness.
3. Forty percent of homeless adults have lost their job or had decreased work hours.
4. Changes in employment contributed to a loss of housing for many homeless adults.
Select the best interpretation of these data.

In Minnesota, 53% of 6th graders, 38% of 9th graders and 26% of 12th graders (all males) reported that they were bullied at least once by other students during the past 30 days.

1. As males students get older, they get bullied less.
2. A targeted intervention focused on reducing bullying should be provided to half of 6th grade males.
3. The study shows that only 53% of 6th grade boys have ever experienced bullying.
4. As male students get older, a smaller proportion report experiencing bullying.
Select the best interpretation of these data.

When coalition members were asked how much their coalition had increased community awareness of the coalition’s efforts, 71% of respondents said “a lot,” 29% said “a little,” and 0% said “not at all.”

1. 71% of people in the community have a lot of awareness about the coalition’s work.
2. The majority of coalition members surveyed feel that the coalition has increased community awareness of their work a lot.
3. 29% don’t think that the coalition has increased awareness of their efforts.
4. Every coalition member believes the coalition has increased community awareness at least a little.
In a study of tobacco usage, 23% of adults with an income of $35,000 or less are current smokers, compared to 11% of those with an income of more than $75,000. Also, 26% of adults with an education of less than high school are smokers, compared to 6% of those with college degrees or higher education.

Select the best interpretation of these data.

1. The study shows that 77% of adults with a household income of $35,000 or less have never smoked.
2. Level of education plays a greater role in the prevention of smoking than household income.
3. Education appears to be a greater protective factor in tobacco usage than household income.
Thank you!

For more information please visit www.wilderresearch.org