

## Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect

Summary of guests served on May 23, 2011

JULY 2011

# Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect

Summary of guests served on May 23, 2011

**July 2011** 

#### Prepared by:

Audrey Vesota Flack and Emily Warren

Wilder Research 451 Lexington Parkway North Saint Paul, Minnesota 55104 651-280-2700 www.wilderresearch.org

## **Contents**

Introduction	1
Methods	2
Comparison with previous Hennepin Project Homeless Connect events	3
Demographic characteristics	5
Living situation	8
Income and sources of assistance	13
Services sought	14
Matching with data in Minnesota's HMIS	15
Known contact with homeless service providers prior to event	16
Known contact with homeless service providers after the event	17
Known involvement with previous Project Homeless Connect events	18
Conclusion	19
Appendix	20

## **Figures**

1.	Households and individuals served at event – comparison to previous events	. 3
2.	Households served, as presenting at event, by head of household	. 5
3.	Individuals served at event, by household type	. 5
4.	Household types served, comparison to previous events	. 6
5.	Age of heads of households served at event	. 6
6.	Race and ethnicity of guests served at event	. 7
7.	Disability, veteran status, and previous experience with Project Homeless Connect	. 7
8a.	Homeless status of guests served at event, comparison to previous events	. 8
8b.	Homeless status of guests served at event, comparison to previous events	. 8
9.	Living situation last night	. 9
10a.	Homeless status of clients "doubled up" with friends or family the night prior to the event	10
10b.	Proportion of "doubled-up" clients, comparison to previous events	10
11.	City where guest stayed last night	11
12.	City where guest stayed last night, comparison to previous events	11
13.	City where guest stayed last night	12
14.	Household income sources last 30 days	13
15.	Other assistance currently received by household	13
16.	Main types of service sought by household	14
17.	Percent of identifiable guests served by an organization participating in HMIS prior to the event	16
18.	Homeless status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event	17
19.	Household status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event	17

## **Acknowledgments**

Data entry by Lucretia Anderson, Pat Crosby, Lisa Esping, Barb Keske, Linda Larkin, Tammy Manovich, Shannon Sponsler, and Antoinette Winn.

Data entry set up, coordination, and reporting paid for by the Hennepin County/City of Minneapolis Office to End Homelessness.

#### Introduction

This report summarizes information about the guests served at the Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event held on May 23, 2011 at the Minneapolis Convention Center. This was the eleventh Project Homeless Connect to be held in Minneapolis. The event was organized by the Minneapolis/Hennepin County Office to End Homelessness in partnership with the Family Housing Fund, and a steering committee made up of community members, service providers, and persons who have experienced homelessness.<sup>1</sup>

Overall, Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect served:

- $\blacksquare$  1.311 households<sup>2</sup>
- 1,544 individuals
- 233 children age 17 or younger
- 108 unaccompanied youth age 14-21

After a discussion of data collection methods this report presents a brief comparison to the population of guests served at the previous Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect events, along with events held in St. Paul/Ramsey County. This report also includes a series of tables summarizing characteristics of guests served at Project Homeless Connect, including: basic demographics, living situation, income and sources of assistance, services sought, and a de-duplication of guests served at Project Homeless Connect with records of persons known to be previously served by agencies in Minnesota who serve those experiencing or at risk of experiencing homelessness.

\_

For further information on the event, see www.homelessconnectminneapolis.org

Households include couples with and without children, single parents with children, single adults, unaccompanied youth, and guests identifying as an "other" household type.

#### Methods

The data reported below were collected on paper by volunteer intake workers on the day of the event, using the form found in the Appendix.<sup>3</sup> The data were later entered into Minnesota's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) by a team of trained data entry volunteers. Minnesota's HMIS is a statewide database that includes client-level data from many agencies that serve people experiencing homelessness or at risk of experiencing homelessness.<sup>4</sup>

Project Homeless Connect guests received consent forms and were recorded as "anonymous" if they preferred to not have personally identifiable information entered into the HMIS. As a result, of the households served (%) were entered without the ability to run matches in the HMIS database to see whether they have prior service records, or to see whether they were served by providers participating in HMIS after the event.

Guests who agreed to be entered with identifiable information were entered as "unnamed clients." That is, their names were only held temporarily by the database. Upon entering the client name in the initial data entry screen, the database automatically generated a unique identifier comprised of parts of the name, date of birth, gender, and race. The database then discarded the name. The creation of the unique identifier enabled Wilder Research to assess whether Project Homeless Connect guests were served by other programs participating in Minnesota's HMIS either before or immediately after the event.


Two questions included on the intake form that relate to wages and hours worked are not included in this report due to the fact that only a small proportion of event guests provided answers to them.

For more information, see <u>www.hmismn.org</u>

## Comparison with previous Hennepin Project Homeless Connect events

The Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect held on May 23, 2011 served 1,544 individuals, a 10 percent decrease from the December 2010 event that served 1,710 individuals.<sup>5</sup> Attendance may have been affected by a tornado that severely damaged North Minneapolis neighborhoods on May 22, 2011. Public schools in the area were closed on the day of the event, and a similar service event for tornado victims was scheduled for the following day.

#### 1. Households and individuals served at event - comparison to previous events



\* HMIS was not used for reporting for the first two events.

Breakdowns of demographic characteristics such as race, age, veteran status, and disability status were similar to previous events. Compared to the December 2010 event, more clients reported being homeless for the first time or multiple times.

Twenty-two percent of all guests reported living in "doubled-up" situations with family or friends the night prior to the event. Over the past several years, more guests have reported living in doubled-up situations at spring Project Homeless Connect events than at fall and winter events. At the May 2011 event, however, the proportion of guests in doubled-up situations was the lowest reported since December 2008.

\_

The previous Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event reports can be found at <a href="http://www.hmismn.org/reports/">http://www.hmismn.org/reports/</a>

As in previous years, most guests had stayed in Minneapolis or Saint Paul the night before the event. Sixty-four percent of guests staying in Minneapolis and 67 percent of guests staying in Saint Paul identified as homeless. For guests staying in St. Paul, the percentage of guests identifying as homeless increased by 15 percent from the December 2010 event.

Compared to previous events, a greater proportion of clients at the May 2011 event reported having a disability of long duration. Additionally, a greater proportion of clients reported having attended a Project Homeless Connect event in the past.

Guests at the May 2011 event reported receiving public benefits such as MFIP, Medical Assistance, and food stamps. Fewer clients reported receiving General Assistance than at the December 2010 event, although General Assistance was still the most commonly-received source of income. The proportion of guests reporting food stamp assistance increased from 59 percent in December 2010 to 65 percent at the May 2011 event.

The five services most commonly sought by guests were housing, employment assistance, eye care, dental care, and help obtaining a state ID. Other top five most commonly sought services for specific groups included help obtaining a birth certificate (youth, adults with children, and women without children), a haircut (men without children), and education (youth and gender unknown without children).

The remainder of this report presents information on the guests served at the May 2011 Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect, including demographic characteristics, living situation, income and sources of assistance, and services sought by event guests. The report concludes with a section showing how many guests of the event had been served at previous events or had prior contact with agencies participating in Minnesota's HMIS. The report looks at guests receiving services within four weeks after the event by looking at their contact with providers participating in HMIS. In addition, the report examines outcomes from guests served at the event based on services found in HMIS.

## **Demographic characteristics**

#### 2. Households served, as presenting at event, by head of household

	Male	Female	Gender Unknown*	Total
In households without children				
Adults 22+	584	265	38	887
Unaccompanied Youth (14-21)	25	40	2	67
Sub-total Sub-total	609	305	40	954
In families with children				
Adults 22+	76	223	17	316
Unaccompanied Youth (14-21)	7	34	0	41
Sub-total Sub-total	83	257	17	357
TOTAL	692	562	57	1,311


<sup>\*</sup> Gender recorded as "Don't know," "Refused," or left blank by intake volunteer.

#### 3. Individuals served at event, by household type

Number	Percentage
787	79%
51	5%
49	5%
108	11%
995	100%
316	58%
29	5%
70	13%
134	24%
549	100%
1,544	
	787 51 49 108 995 316 29 70 134 549

<sup>\*</sup> Includes 23 unaccompanied youth who attended the event with dependent children.

#### 4. Household types served, comparison to previous events



■% Singles and households with no dependent children

■% Families with dependent children

#### 5. Age of heads of households served at event

			Gender	
	Male	Female	Unknown*	Total
14-17	0	14	0	1%
18-21	32	60	2	7%
22-24	27	48	4	6%
25-34	99	133	9	18%
35-44	131	129	9	21%
45-54	275	116	8	30%
55-64	91	39	3	10%
65-79	13	9	0	2%
80+	1	2	0	0%
Missing age	23	12	22	4%
TOTAL	669	550	35	100%
Average age (mean)	44	37	38	40

#### 6. Race and ethnicity of guests served at event

	Number	Percentage
American Indian/Alaskan Native	216	16%
Asian	8	1%
Black/African American	632	48%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	10	1%
White	292	22%
Other	73	6%
Missing	80	6%
TOTAL	1,311	100%
Hispanic/Latino (any race)	76	6%

<sup>\*</sup> Up to two categories were recorded for each guest. The answers are reported here in a manner consistent with reporting used by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

#### 7. Disability, veteran status, and previous experience with Project Homeless Connect\*

		Adult				
	Men without children n=584	Women without children n=265	Gender unknown Without children N=38	Adults with children n=316	Youth** N=108	ALL n=1,311
Has a disability of long duration	50%	54%	43%	38%	18%	45%
Served in US military	15%	2%	11%	4%	2%	8%
Has been to a Project Homeless Connect event like this one before*	44%	39%	46%	34%	22%	39%

<sup>\*</sup> Previous experience according to self-report (not through de-duplicating with prior events).


<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes 23 unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

## **Living situation**

8a. Homeless status of guests served at event, comparison to previous events									
	Dec 2006	Oct 2007	April 2008	Dec 2008	May 2009	Dec 2009	March 2010	Dec 2010	May 2011
Not currently homeless*	30%	43%	34%	34%	30%	35%	39%	35%	34%
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	28%	21%	25%	26%	24%	26%	23%	24%	27%
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition**	11%	10%	14%	12%	12%	13%	11%	11%	14%
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years**	30%	17%	21%	23%	21%	20%	19%	22%	20%
Unknown/Missing	1%	9%	5%	5%	12%	7%	7%	8%	5%
TOTAL	1,050	1,476	2,570	1,457	1,310	1,470	1,597	1,411	1,311

<sup>\*</sup> Includes the 3-5% of guests each year who indicated staying the previous night in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

#### 8b. Homeless status of guests served at event, comparison to previous events




<sup>\*\*</sup> The state of Minnesota defines an individual, unaccompanied youth or family as "Long-Term Homeless" if they are without a home for a year or more OR have had at least four (4) episodes of homelessness in the past three (3) years. Any period of institutionalization or incarceration (including transitional housing, prison/jail, treatment, hospitals, foster care, or refugee camps) is excluded when determining the length of time the household has been homeless.

#### 9. Living situation last night


	Number	Percentage
Emergency shelter	310	24%
Rental house or apartment	239	18%
Living with friends	164	13%
Transitional housing for homeless	133	10%
Living with family	127	10%
Substance abuse treatment center	52	4%
Permanent housing for formerly homeless	39	3%
Place not meant for habitation	37	2%
Owned house or apartment	25	2%
Hotel or motel (without an emergency voucher)	12	1%
Foster care/group home	3	0%
Jail, prison, or juvenile facility	2	0%
Hospital (non-psychiatric)	1	0%
Psychiatric hospital or facility	1	0%
Other (unspecified)	119	9%
Missing/don't know/refused	47	4%
TOTAL	1311	100%

10a. Homeless status of clients "doubled up" with friends or family the night prior to the event\*



According to the State of Minnesota's definition of homelessness, doubling-up is considered homeless if that arrangement has persisted less than 1 year. "Doubled up" clients include those who reported living with family or friends the night prior to the event.

10b. Proportion of "doubled-up" clients, comparison to previous events



#### 11. City where guest stayed last night


		Adul				
	Men without children	Women without children	Gender unknown Without children	Adults with children	Youth*	ALL
	n=584	n=265	n=28	n=316	N=108	n=1,311
Minneapolis	67%	56%	45%	60%	58%	62%
St. Paul	3%	2%	0%	4%	6%	3%
Other suburb	5%	11%	0%	9%	12%	8%
Missing	24%	31%	55%	26%	23%	27%

<sup>\*</sup> Includes 23 unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

#### 12. City where guest stayed last night, comparison to previous events

	Dec 2006	Oct 2007	April 2008	Dec 2008	April 2009	Dec 2009	Dec 2010	May 2011
Minneapolis	819	972	1,989	1,039	820	1068	936	813
	(78%)	(66%)	(77%)	(71%)	(62%)	(67%)	(66%)	(62%)
St. Paul	55	52	83	63	35	49	63	43
	(5%)	(4%)	(3%)	(4%)	(3%)	(3%)	(5%)	(3%)
Other town/suburb	49	110	166	104	76	126	104	101
	(5%)	(7%)	(6%)	(7%)	(6%)	(8%)	(7%)	(8%)
Unknown/Missing	127	342	332	251	379	354	308	354
	(12%)	(23%)	(13%)	(18%)	(29%)	(22%)	(22%)	(27%)
TOTAL	1,050	1,476	2,570	1,457	1,310	1,470	1,411	1,311

#### 13. City where guest stayed last night



### Income and sources of assistance

#### 14. Household income sources last 30 days\*

í.	Men without children n=584	Women without children n=265	Gender unknown without children n=28	Adults with children n=316	Youth <sup>b</sup> N=108	ALL n=1,311
General Assistance	45%	45%	26%	35%	22%	40%
SSDI	16%	20%	16%	14%	3%	15%
No Income	16%	12%	0%	14%	20%	14%
Social Security (other)	11%	20%	5%	14%	4%	12%
MFIP	1%	3%	0%	34%	31%	12%
Employment <sup>a</sup>	11%	11%	11%	15%	12%	12%
Contributions from others	3%	5%	8%	8%	6%	5%
Other income sources	8%	7%	3%	18%	9%	10%

<sup>\*</sup> Intake staff were encouraged to report all sources received by the household, from the list above. They also recorded other income sources, reported by the guest. The "other" sources reported included pensions, child support payments, donating plasma, unemployment benefits, tribal payments, and other miscellaneous sources.

#### 15. Other assistance currently received by household\*

	Adults 22+					
	Men without children n=584	Women without children n=265	Gender unknown without children n=28	Adults with children n=316	Youth** N=108	ALL n=1,311
Medical assistance	63%	67%	34%	75%	61%	66%
Food stamps	59%	63%	47%	79%	69%	65%
Medicare	15%	18%	8%	11%	8%	14%
Public housing	10%	9%	3%	8%	5%	8%
Other rent assistance	5%	12%	5%	7%	11%	7%
Section 8	6%	8%	8%	9%	4%	7%
Veterans medical or other veterans benefits & services	6%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%

<sup>\*</sup> Intake volunteers were encouraged to report all sources received by the household, from the list above.

a Includes temporary employment and day labor.

b Includes 23 unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes 23 unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

## Services sought

#### 16. Main types of service sought by household\*

	Adults 22+					
	Men without children n=584	Women without children n=265	Gender unknown without children n=28	Guests with children n=316	Youth** N=108	ALL n=1,311
Housing	54%	61%	29%	68%	69%	59%
Employment	35%	32%	26%	44%	60%	38%
Eye care	38%	43%	37%	32%	26%	37%
Dental care	35%	34%	24%	29%	31%	33%
Wants help obtaining ID	26%	31%	32%	33%	44%	30%
Wants help obtaining a birth certificate	23%	33%	11%	33%	34%	28%
Haircut	35%	25%	16%	19%	19%	27%
Education	19%	25%	26%	27%	31%	23%
Legal assistance	25%	20%	18%	21%	11%	22%
Medical care	15%	24%	13%	16%	14%	17%
Public Assistance	14%	15%	8%	18%	17%	15%
Social Security benefits	13%	12%	5%	14%	7%	13%
Mental health	10%	16%	3%	12%	13%	12%
Voicemail or cell phone	10%	8%	11%	9%	9%	9%
Emergency shelter	8%	9%	5%	8%	5%	8%
Veterans benefits	7%	1%	0%	1%	0%	4%
Other ***	3%	3%	0%	5%	4%	4%
Chemical health	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Domestic violence services	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%

<sup>\*</sup> Guests were asked what their top three services were from the list provided above. Some indicated more than three.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes 23 unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Miscellaneous services requested included chiropractic care, mammograms, shoes, child care supplies and resources, furniture, tax assistance, email /printer access, and others.

### Matching with data in Minnesota's HMIS

One of the reasons Project Homeless Connect organizers wanted to enter data from the event into Minnesota's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) was to get a sense of how many of those served at the event were already involved with social service providers, particularly providers whose mission is to serve people experiencing homelessness. While Minnesota's HMIS remains imperfect for the task, an increasing number of organizations whose mission is to serve those experiencing homelessness participate in HMIS.

As of May 2011, Minnesota's HMIS included 63 percent of shelter beds, 69 percent of transitional housing program beds, and 60 percent of permanent supportive housing beds in Hennepin County. The HMIS also includes the County's large homeless prevention program, as well as some other "services only" programs not associated with beds. Further, entering the data into HMIS enabled us to run matches against people served in other parts of the state, as well as earlier Project Homeless Connect events held in Hennepin and Ramsey counties.


As discussed in the introduction, all guests who signed a consent form at Project Homeless Connect were entered as "unnamed clients" in the HMIS database. This means that while elements of the clients' names were used in creating a unique identifier, the name was not permanently stored. This procedure allows Wilder to match clients served in Project Homeless Connect with other records in the database without permanently storing their names. The unique identifier is created using parts of the name, date of birth, and gender.

While using the unique identifier to find matching records is the most reliable method available, it does present some limitations. Data entry errors and use of nicknames will cause different unique identifiers to be issued that will prevent matching. For example, if a guest is entered as "Bob Smith" at one event and then seeks services at a participating provider as "Robert Smith," this discrepancy will result in his records not being matched to identify him as the same person, using the method employed here. Further, around 8 percent of all clients entered into Minnesota's HMIS are entered as anonymous, many of whom are clients of domestic violence or legal service providers. As a result, the matching conducted for this report is most likely under-representative of the number of guests who have been served before or after the event by a provider participating in HMIS. For the same reason, the matching process most likely also under-counts the number of guests who have attended previous Project Homeless Connect events.

#### Known contact with homeless service providers prior to event

The 126 guests who indicated a preference not to provide their name or other potentially identifying information at the event were entered anonymously, and it is not possible to match their records with others existing in the database. Fifty percent of the 1,185 identifiable guests served at the May 2011 event had been previously served by an organization participating in HMIS prior to the event.

## 17. Percent of identifiable guests served by an organization participating in HMIS prior to the event



The 596 guests found to have been previously served by an organization participating in HMIS received a variety of services from programs located mostly in Hennepin County, including emergency shelter, transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, and homeless prevention and re-housing services through the state's Family Homeless Prevention and Assistance Program (FHPAP) and through HUD's Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP). Other services received include emergency services such as food and clothing.

Compared to the December 2010 event, a slightly higher proportion of guests served by an HMIS-participating organization reported being first-time homeless, multiple times homeless, and not homeless. The proportion of guests who were long-term homeless decreased slightly. The proportion of previously-served guests who were unaccompanied youth increased from 5 percent in December 2010 to 9 percent in May 2011.

## 18. Homeless status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event

	In HMIS prior to event	Not in HMIS prior to event
Not currently homeless*	212 (36%)	235 (33%)
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	129 (23%)	214 (30%)
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition	98 (16%)	87 (12%)
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years	125 (21%)	133 (19%)
Unknown/Missing	22 (4%)	26 (6%)
TOTAL	596	715

Includes guests who indicated staying the previous night in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

## 19. Household status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event

	In HMIS prior to event	Not in HMIS prior to event
Single head of household with dependent children	111 (21%)	117 (18%)
Single with no dependent children	348 (64%)	439 (66%)
Unaccompanied youth	56 (9%)	52 (7%)
Married/domestic partner with dependent children	34 (6%)	54 (8%)
Married/domestic partner with no dependent children	26 (5%)	25 (4%)
Other household type	13 (2%)	9 (1%)
Unknown/missing	8 (2%)	19 (3%)
TOTAL	596	715

#### Known contact with homeless service providers after the event

Of the 1,185 identifiable guests served at the May 2011 event, 186 (16%) of guests were served by organizations participating in Minnesota's HMIS (and entered with identifiable records into the HMIS) within four weeks after the event. Of those 186 guests, 19 guests had not been served by a participating provider prior to the May event. Forty-seven percent of these guests went on to receive services from local emergency shelters, while 32 percent were subsequently served by Hennepin County's Homeless Prevention and Rapid Exit (HPRP) program. The remaining 21 percent were served by housing programs, including both transitional and permanent supportive housing. However, as

many housing providers initially collect information from clients on paper and then transfer it to HMIS, it is likely that more Project Homeless Connect guests were served than are represented here.

## Known involvement with previous Project Homeless Connect events

As mentioned above, Minnesota's HMIS was also used to gather data on eight of the ten previous Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect events. Using HMIS data collected at those previous events, we examined the number of people who had attended more than one event. Setting aside the guests who were entered anonymously at each event:

- 76 (6%) of identifiable individuals served at the Hennepin County event in May 2011 had attended the previous event held in Hennepin County in December 2010. These individuals represent 13 percent of the 596 clients who were previously served by an organization participating in HMIS.
- 233 (20%) of identifiable individuals served at the Hennepin County event in May 2011 had attended at least one previous event in held in Hennepin County. These individuals represent 39 percent of the 596 clients who were previously served by an organization participating in HMIS.

These results are likely to under-represent the number of people who have attended more than one Project Homeless Connect event. In fact, when asked, "Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Connect event like this one before today?" 505 guests, or 40 percent, responded "yes." This discrepancy could be due to many factors: data entry errors that prevent the correct matching of their records, missing or incomplete intake forms from guests, or because guests may confuse previous Project Homeless Connect events with other similar events held in the Twin Cities, such as the Stand Down events for veterans, Project Youth Connect, and other homeless prevention and service efforts.

#### Conclusion

The May 2011 Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event served approximately 1,300 households. Attendance decreased by 10 percent from the December 2010 event, but was comparable to the majority of previous Minneapolis/Hennepin County events recorded in HMIS.

Compared to the December 2010 event, more clients reported being homeless for the first time or multiple times. Roughly one-quarter of all guests reported living in "doubled-up" situations with family or friends the night prior to the event. More guests reported having a disability of long duration than in previous years.

Although the top five most commonly-sought services were similar for different groups, more youth requested education services than adults age 22 or older, and more men without children requested a haircut. Shoes, chiropractic care, and mammograms continue to be the most commonly-sought "other" services requested by guests.

Half of all identifiable guests attending the event were found to have been previously served by an organization participating in HMIS, the highest proportion ever recorded for a Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event. Finally, a larger proportion of guests reported that they had previously attended other Project Homeless Connect events in Minneapolis/Hennepin County.

## **Appendix**

Project Homeless Connect Intake Form

#### **Project Homeless Connect Intake Form**

Hi, My name is \_\_\_\_\_\_. Thanks for taking a few minutes to help us gather information for this event. This also gives me a chance to tell you about the services available today. We hope that your answers will help us to better understand what services are needed in the community and also to help us direct you to the services that you want today. This should take about 10 minutes. Also, please take a few minutes before you leave to fill out an exit survey. Now, I am going to ask a few questions about you (and your family). We hope that by answering these questions we will be able to better serve you today and in the future. You do not have to answer any of these questions if you do not want to. What is your full Name?: SUFFIX LAST BIRTH DATE: YEAR **GENDER**: ☐ Female ☐ Male ☐ Transgender ☐ Unknown Do you consider yourself ... (PRIMARY RACE) (SECONDARY RACE (OPTIONAL/ IF OFFERED)) ☐ American Indian or Alaskan Native ☐ American Indian or Alaskan Native ☐ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander ☐ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander ☐ Black or African American ☐ Black or African American ☐ White ☐ White ☐ Hispanic/Latino ☐ Asian ☐ Asian ☐ Other Multi-Racial ☐ Other Multi-Racial ☐ Unknown ☐ Unknown ☐ Other ☐ Refused ☐ Other ☐ Refused **Household Type:** ☐ Single with NO dependent children ☐ Couple with dependent children Couple with NO dependent children ☐ Single head of household with dependent children Other: ☐ Refused How many children are you here with today? Teens (13 -17): \_\_\_\_ Children, 5-12yrs: \_\_ Children, 0-4yrs: EVER SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE U.S. ARMED FORCES \*NOTE If yes, please direct to veterans services area ☐ Yes \* ☐ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Refused Do you have any type of mental or physical disability that keeps you from working, shopping or other daily activities? ☐ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Refused ☐ Yes During the last month did you receive any income from.... **Employment** ☐ Yes □ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Refused IF YES: How many hours do you work □1-10 Hours □11-20 hours □21-30 hours □31 or more hours in an average week? □\$12-14 What is your hourly wage in an average □\$9-11 □\$6-8 □\$15 or more week? **General Assistance** ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Refused Social Security Retirement, Survivors, ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ Don't know ☐ Refused **Disability Insurance (RSDI/SSDI)** SSI (Supplemental Security Income) ☐ Yes □ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Refused **MFIP (MN Family Investment Program)** ☐ Yes □ No ☐ Don't know ☐ Refused Contributions from other people ☐ Don't know ☐ Yes □ No ☐ Refused

☐ No

☐ Yes

No income

Any other source?

☐ Refused

☐ Don't know

Do you currently rece	ive					
Food stamps?		☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't kno	ow Refused	
A Section 8 Housing	g Voucher (for rent)?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't kno	ow Refused	
Public housing?		☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't kno	ow Refused	
Any other type of re	ntal assistance?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't kno	ow Refused	
Medical Assistance	(or Medicaid or MA)?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't kno	ow Refused	
Medicare?		☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't kno	ow Refused	
Veterans Medical as	ssistance or services?	☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't kno	ow Refused	
WHERE DID YOU STAY LAST NIGHT?    Emergency shelter						
Are you currently H						
	☐ NO Not	currently homeless				
		First time homeless				
		Several times hom Long term: at least			NOT more than 4 times in 3 years	
	11.0 /	Long term. at least	- Year Or at it	east 4 times in the	past 5 years	
Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Connect event like this one before today?						
☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Don't know	I	☐ Refused		
Now I have a few questions about how we can help you. What would you like help with today?						
Employment	☐ Yes	Social Security Ben	efits	Dental C	Care	
Education	☐ Yes \	eteran's Benefits	☐ Yes	Eye Car	e 🔲 Yes	
Legal assistance	☐ Yes F	Public Assistance	☐ Yes	Medical	Care	
Housing	☐ Yes	Mental Health	☐ Yes	Haircut	☐ Yes	
Emergency Shelter	☐ Yes (	Chemical Health	☐ Yes	Voice M	ail	
Domestic Violence	☐ Yes	Other? (fill in)				
Would you like help getting a Minnesota picture ID? ☐ Yes ☐ No Would you like help getting a birth certificate? ☐ Yes ☐ No						
- vvouid vod like nelb a	econa a pirch cerrocate	•	L 162	LI INU		

We would like you to feel free to visit as many resources and providers as you choose today. I am happy to walk around with you, show you where things are, and help you find the service and resources you want. Would that be okay? [IF NOT, LET CLIENT/FAMILY WALK AROUND ON THEIR OWN. IF THEY WANT TO WALK AROUND ON THEIR OWN, REMIND THEM TO PLEASE FILL OUT AN EXIT SURVEY]