# Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect

Summary of guests served on December 8, 2008

JANUARY 2009

## Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect

Summary of guests served on December 8, 2008

January 2009

**Prepared by:** Emily Warren

Wilder Research 451 Lexington Parkway North Saint Paul, Minnesota 55104 651-280-2700 www.wilderresearch.org

## Contents

Introduction	1
Methods	2
Comparison with previous Hennepin Project Homeless Connect events	3
Demographic characteristics	5
Living situation	8
Income and sources of assistance	. 11
Services sought	13
De-duplication with data in Minnesota's HMIS	14
Known contact with homeless service providers prior to event	15
Known contact with homeless service providers after the event	. 17
Known involvement with previous Project Homeless Connect events	. 17
Looking forward: Project Homeless Connect	18
Appendix	19

## Figures

1.	Households and individuals served at event – comparison to previous events	3
2.	Households served, as presenting at event, by head of household	5
3.	Individuals served at event, by household type	5
4.	Families and singles served at event – comparison to previous events	6
5.	Age of guests served at event	6
6.	Race and ethnicity of guests served at event	7
7.	Disability, veterans status, and previous experience with Project Homeless Connect	7
8.	Homeless status of guests served at event	8
9.	Homeless status of guests served at event, comparison to previous events	8
10.	Status of guests at event who are homeless or "doubled up," comparison to previous events	9
11.	Living situation last night	9
12.	City where guest stayed last night	10
13.	City where guest stayed last night, comparison to previous events	10
14.	Guest income sources last 30 days	11
15.	Other assistance currently received by guest	12
16.	Main types of service sought by guest	13
17.	Percent of guests served by an organization participating in HMIS prior	15
18.	Homeless status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event	16
19.	Household status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event	16

## Acknowledgments

Data entry by Tom Altenhoff, Evelyn Laniado, Antoinette Simmons, Holly Fairbanks, Anthony Ware, Lawrence Hill, Lisa Esping, Writhers Nyenie-Wea, Christy Olson, Lisa Weber, Jamie Boerboom, Matthew Ayres of Hennepin County; and Jane Lawrenz, Todd Stump, and Alison Legler of Minnesota Department of Human Services.

Data entry set up, coordination, and reporting paid for by the Hennepin County/City of Minneapolis Office to End Homelessness.

## Introduction

This brief report summarizes information about the guests served at the Minneapolis/ Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event held on December 8, 2008 at the Minneapolis Convention Center. This was the sixth Project Homeless Connect to be held in Minneapolis. The event was organized by the Minneapolis/Hennepin County Office to End Homelessness in partnership with the Family Housing Fund, and a steering committee made up of community members, service providers, and persons who have experienced homelessness.<sup>1</sup>

Overall, Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect served:

- 1,457 households<sup>2</sup>
- 1,711 individuals
- 254 children age 17 or younger
- 20 unaccompanied youth age 14-18

After a discussion of data collection methods this report presents a brief comparison to the population of guests served at the previous Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect events, along with events held in St. Paul/Ramsey County. This report also includes a series of tables summarizing characteristics of guests served at Project Homeless Connect, including: basic demographics, living situation, income and sources of assistance, services sought, and a de-duplication of guests served at Project Homeless Connect with records of persons known to be previously served by agencies in Minnesota who serve those experiencing or at risk of experiencing homelessness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For further information on the event, see <u>www.homelessconnectminneapolis.org</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Households include couples with and without children, single heads of household with children, and guests identifying as an "other" household type.

#### Methods

The data reported below were collected on paper by volunteer intake workers on the day of the event, using the form found in the Appendix.<sup>3</sup> The data were later entered into Minnesota's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) by a team of trained data entry volunteers. Minnesota's HMIS is a statewide database that includes client-level data from many agencies that serve people experiencing homelessness or at risk of experiencing homelessness.<sup>4</sup>

Project Homeless Connect guests received consent forms and were recorded as "anonymous" if they preferred to not have personally identifiable information entered into the HMIS. As a result, 48 of the 1,457 households served (3%) were entered without the ability to run matches in the HMIS database to see whether they have prior service records, or to see whether they were served by providers participating in HMIS after the event.

Guests who agreed to be entered with identifiable information were entered as "unnamed clients." That is, their names were only held temporarily by the database. Upon entering the client name in the initial data entry screen, the database automatically generated a unique identifier comprised of parts of the name, date of birth, gender, and race. The database then discarded the name. The creation of the unique identifier enabled Wilder Research to assess whether Project Homeless Connect guests were served by other programs participating in Minnesota's HMIS either before or immediately after the event.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Four questions included on the intake form that relate to wages, hours worked, and foreclosure risk are not included in this report due to the fact that only a very small proportion of event guests provided answers to them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For more information, see <u>www.hmismn.org</u>

### Comparison with previous Hennepin Project Homeless Connect events

The Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect held on December 8, 2008 served 1,711 individuals, compared to 2,888 individuals and 1,780 individuals at the April 2008 and October 2007 events, respectively.<sup>5</sup> Event organizers have suggested that the decline in the number served at this event compared to the previous events is related to the decision to not provide bus cards at this event, which served as a big draw in April 2008. The proportion of guests who identified as not currently homeless, at 24 percent, remained similar to that of previous events and the proportion of guests at this most event who reported experiencing multiple episodes of homelessness (12%) or long-term homelessness (23%) also remained similar to the previous event.<sup>6</sup>

### 1. Households and individuals served at event – comparison to previous events



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The previous Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event reports can be found at <u>http://www.wilder.org/download.0.html?report=1947</u> (December 2006), <u>http://www.wilder.org/download.0.html?report=2005</u> (October 2007), and <u>http://www.wilder.org/download.0.html?report=2078</u> (April 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The state of Minnesota defines an individual, unaccompanied youth or family as "Long-Term Homeless" if they are without a home for a year or more OR have had at least four (4) episodes of homelessness in the past three (3) years. Any period of institutionalization or incarceration (including transitional housing, prison/jail, treatment, hospitals, foster care, or refugee camps) is excluded when determining the length of time the household has been homeless.

The December 2008 event drew a group of individuals who were overall very similar demographically to the guests served at the April 2008 event. The mean age of adults was 41 years, slightly over half of all adults served (52%) were African American, and families with children comprised one-quarter of households served, with the remaining three quarters made up of singles or individuals in a household with no children. The most significant change in demographics at the event was an increase in the number of children. While the proportion of families in attendance was similar to the previous event, children in families made up 15 percent of the 1,711 attendees in December 2008, compared to 7 percent of the 2,888 attendees at the April 2008 event, suggesting that parents and guardians were more likely to bring their children to the December 2008 event than was previously the case.

A greater proportion of guests at the December 2008 reported receiving certain forms of public assistance than any event previously reported. Forty percent of guests reported receiving General Assistance in December 2008, compared to 35 percent in April 2008. More guests also reported receiving Medical Assistance (57% in December 2008 and 48% in April 2008) and food stamps (44% in December 2008 and 39% in April 2008). The services most commonly sought at the event remained similar to previous events, with housing, employment, obtaining an ID, dental care, and eye care remaining the five most popular services.

The remainder of this report presents information on the guests served at the December 2008 Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect, including demographic characteristics, living situation, income and sources of assistance, and services sought by event guests. The report concludes with a section showing how many guests of the event had been served at previous events or had prior contact with agencies participating in Minnesota's HMIS. The report looks at guests receiving follow-up connection with services immediately after the event by looking at their contact with providers participating in HMIS. In addition, the report examines outcomes from guests served at the April 2008 event based on services found in HMIS.

### **Demographic characteristics**

#### 2. Households served, as presenting at event, by head of household

	Male	Female	Total*
In households without children			
Adults	773	277	1,051
Unaccompanied Youth (14-17)	10	8	18
Sub-total	783	286	1,069
In families with children			
Adults	132	254	386
Unaccompanied Youth (14-17)	_	2	2
Sub-total	132	256	388
TOTAL			1,457


\* Includes one adult identifying as transgender.

#### 3. Individuals served at event, by household type

	Number	Percentage
In households without children		
Adult singles	943	88%
Adults in couples (no dependent children)	63	6%
Adults with "other" family status (no dependent children)	45	4%
Unaccompanied youth, age 14-18	18*	2%
Sub-total	1,069	100%
In families with children		
Parents or guardians	388	60%
Children, age 13-17	52	8%
Children, age 5-12	82	13%
Children, age 0-4	120	19%
Sub-total	642	100%
TOTAL	1,711	

\* Two additional unaccompanied youth who attended the event with dependent children are included in the category of families with children.

#### 4. Families and singles served at event – comparison to previous events



■% Singles/Families with no dependent children

% Families with dependent children

#### 5. Age of guests served at event

	Male	Female	Total*
14-17	6 (1%)	6 (1%)	12 (1%)
18-21	44 (5%)	72 (13%)	116 (8%)
22-24	30 (3%)	43 (8%)	73 (5%)
25-34	128 (14%)	120 (22%)	248 (17%)
35-44	231 (25%)	131 (24%)	362 (25%)
45-54	353 (39%)	132 (24%)	486 (33%)
55-64	106 (12%)	37 (7%)	143 (10%)
65-79	10 (1%)	5 (1%)	15 (1%)
Missing age	2 (<1%)	-	2 (<1%)
TOTAL	910 (100%)	546 (100%)	1,457 (100%)
Average age (mean)	43	37	41

\* Includes one adult identifying as transgender.

#### 6. Race and ethnicity of guests served at event

	Number	Percentage
American Indian/Alaskan Native	210	14%
Asian	11	<1%
Black/African American	750	52%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	<1%
White	331	23%
Other	30	2%
Other Multi-Racial	41	3%
Missing	76	5%
TOTAL	1,457	100%
Hispanic/Latino (any race)	70	5%

\* Up to two categories, including "other multi-racial," were recorded for each guest. The answers are reported here in a manner consistent with reporting used by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

#### 7. Disability, veterans status, and previous experience with Project Homeless Connect\*

	Adults				
	Men Women Guests without without with children children children		Youth**	ALL***	
	n=773	n=277	n=386	N=20	n=1,457
Has a disability of long duration	44%	43%	36%	11%	41%
Served in US military?	14%	3%	4%	-	9%
Has been to a Project Homeless Connect event like this one before*	29%	22%	19%	33%	25%

\* Previous experience according to self-report (not through de-duplicating with prior events).

\*\*\* Includes one adult identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes two unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

## Living situation

#### 8. Homeless status of guests served at event

	Number	Percentage
Not currently homeless	342	24%
Living with family or friends*	92	6%
Homeless, but status unknown**	62	4%
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	383	26%
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition	168	12%
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years	339	23%
Unknown/Missing	71	5%
TOTAL	1,457	100%

\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" and also indicated living with family or living with friends (see Table 9 for living situations).

\*\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" but also indicated living in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

9. Homeless status of guests served at event, comparison to previous events					
	December 2006	October 2007	April 2008	December 2008	
Not currently homeless	187 (18%)	399 (27%)	558 (22%)	342 (24%)	
Living with family or friends*	45 (4%)	181 (12%)	219 (9%)	92 (6%)	
Homeless, but status unknown**	86 (8%)	49 (3%)	97 (4%)	62 (4%)	
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	291 (28%)	316 (22%)	652 (25%)	383 (26%)	
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition	112 (11%)	142 (10%)	371 (14%)	168 (12%)	
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years	315 (30%)	253 (17%)	543 (21%)	339 (23%)	
Unknown/Missing	14 (1%)	136 (9%)	130 (5%)	71 (5%)	
TOTAL	1,050	1,476	2,570	1,457	

\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" and also indicated living with family or living with friends (see Table 9 for living situations).

\*\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" but also indicated living in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

## 10. Status of guests at event who are homeless or "doubled up," comparison to previous events



#### 11. Living situation last night

	Number	Percentage
Emergency shelter	383	26%
Rental house or apartment	247	17%
Living with friends	197	14%
Transitional housing for homeless	178	12%
Living with family	119	8%
Substance abuse treatment center	52	4%
Place not meant for habitation	23	2%
Permanent housing for formerly homeless	29	2%
Owned house or apartment	20	1%
Hotel or motel (without an emergency voucher)	14	1%
Foster care/group home	10	<1%
Jail, prison, or juvenile facility	3	<1%
Psychiatric hospital or facility	2	<1%
Other (unspecified)	114	8%
Missing/don't know/refused	66	5%
TOTAL	1,457	100%

#### 12. City where guest stayed last night

		Adults			
	Men without children	Women without children	Guests with children	Youth*	ALL**
	n=773	n=277	n=386	N=20	n=1,457
Minneapolis	73%	70%	69%	70%	71%
St. Paul	4%	5%	4%	10%	4%
Other suburb	6%	8%	10%	-	7%
Missing	17%	17%	17%	20%	18%

\* Includes two unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

\*\* Includes one adult identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

#### 13. City where guest stayed last night, comparison to previous events

	December 2006	October 2007	April 2008	December 2008
Minneapolis	819 (78%)	972 (66%)	1,989 (77%)	1,039 (71%)
St. Paul	55 (5%)	52 (4%)	83 (3%)	63 (4%)
Other town/suburb	49 (5%)	110 (7%)	166 (6%)	104 (7%)
Unknown/Missing	127 (12%)	342 (23%)	332 (13%)	251 (18%)
TOTAL	1,050	1,476	2,570	1,457

### Income and sources of assistance

#### 14. Guest income sources last 30 days\*

	Men without children	Women without children	Guests with children	Youth <sup>c</sup>	ALL <sup>d</sup>
	n=773	n=277	n=386	N=20	n=1,457
General Assistance	46%	40%	28%	20%	40%
Employment <sup>a</sup>	11%	13%	17%	10%	13%
SSDI	14%	12%	9%	-	12%
Social Security (other)	11%	12%	12%	5%	11%
MFIP	1%	2%	28%	10%	8%
Contributions from others	7%	8%	7%	10%	7%
No income <sup>b</sup>	19%	21%	21%	25%	20%
Other income sources	4%	4%	7%	1%	5%

\* Intake staff were encouraged to report all sources received by the household, from the list above. They also recorded other income sources, reported by the guest. The "other" sources reported included panhandling, pensions, child support payments, donating plasma, unemployment benefits, tribal payments, and other miscellaneous sources.

11

<sup>a</sup> Includes temporary employment and day labor.

<sup>c</sup> Includes two unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

<sup>d</sup> Includes one adult identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

#### 15. Other assistance currently received by guest\*

		Adults			
	Men without children	Women without children	Guests with children	Youth**	ALL***
	n=773	n=277	n=386	N=20	n=1,457
Medical assistance	52%	61%	63%	55%	57%
Food stamps	37%	46%	58%	40%	44%
Medicare	13%	11%	9%	5%	12%
Public housing	9%	9%	8%	10%	9%
Other rent assistance	6%	10%	6%	-	7%
Section 8	4%	8%	7%	5%	6%
Veterans medical or other veterans benefits & services	5%	2%	1%	-	3%

\* Intake volunteers were encouraged to report all sources received by the household, from the list above.

\*\* Includes two unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

\*\*\* Includes one adult identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

### Services sought

#### 16. Main types of service sought by guest\*

		Adults			
	Men without children	Women without children	Guests with children	Youth**	ALL***
	n=773	n=277	n=386	N=20	n=1,457
Housing	47%	46%	55%	50%	<b>49%</b>
Employment	41%	41%	46%	65%	43%
Wants help obtaining ID (of those lacking an ID)	38%	36%	40%	41%	38%
Eye care	35%	36%	31%	10%	34%
Dental Care	31%	27%	26%	15%	29%
Education	24%	25%	33%	30%	26%
Medical care (other)	20%	26%	20%	-	21%
Haircut	24%	19%	18%	10%	21%
Legal assistance	18%	21%	18%	-	18%
Emergency shelter	14%	16%	19%	15%	16%
Voicemail	14%	17%	14%	15%	15%
Public Assistance	11%	11%	12%	15%	11%
Social Security benefits	10%	10%	12%	15%	11%
Mental health	11%	11%	11%	10%	11%
Other: miscellaneous	5%	8%	7%	10%	7%
Veterans benefits	7%	4%	7%	-	6%
Other: shoes or shoe vouchers	6%	11%	3%	5%	5%
Chemical health	5%	8%	4%	-	5%
Other: transportation assistance (includes bus cards)	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%

\* Guests were asked what their top three services were from the list provided above. Some indicated more than three.

\*\* Includes two unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

\*\*\* Includes one adult identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

### **De-duplication with data in Minnesota's HMIS**

One of the reasons Project Homeless Connect organizers wanted to enter data from the event into Minnesota's HMIS was to get a sense of how many of those served at the event were already involved with social service providers, particularly providers whose mission is to serve people experiencing homelessness. While Minnesota's HMIS remains imperfect for the task, an increasing number of organizations whose mission is to serve those experiencing homelessness participate in HMIS.


As of October 2008, the HMIS included 74 percent of shelter beds, 81 percent of transitional housing program beds, and 63 percent of permanent supportive housing beds in Hennepin County. The HMIS also includes the County's large homeless prevention program, as well as some other "services only" programs not associated with beds. Further, entering the data into the HMIS enabled us to run matches against people served in other parts of the state, as well as earlier Project Homeless Connect events held in Hennepin and Ramsey counties.

As discussed in the introduction, all guests who signed a consent form at Project Homeless Connect were entered as "unnamed clients" in the HMIS database. This means that while elements of the clients' names were used in creating a unique identifier, the name was not permanently stored. This procedure allows Wilder to un-duplicate clients served in Project Homeless Connect with other records in the database without permanently storing their names. The unique identifier is created using parts of the name, date of birth, and gender.

While using the unique identifier to find matching records is the most reliable method available, it does present some limitations. Data entry errors and use of nicknames will cause different unique identifiers to be issued that will prevent matching. For example, if a guest is entered as "Bob Smith" at one event and then seeks services at a participating provider as "Robert Smith," this discrepancy will result in his records not being matched to identify him as the same person, using the method employed here. Further, around 11 percent of all clients entered into Minnesota's HMIS are entered as anonymous, many of whom are clients of domestic violence or legal service providers. As a result, the matching conducted for this report is most likely under-representative of the number of guests who have been served before or after the event by a provider participating in HMIS. For the same reason, the matching process most likely also under-counts the number of guests who have attended previous Project Homeless Connect events.

#### Known contact with homeless service providers prior to event

The 48 guests who indicated a preference not to provide their name or other potentially identifying information at the event were entered anonymously, and it is not possible to match their records with others existing in the database. At 40 percent, the December 2008 event served the largest percentage of guests who had been previously served by an organization participating in HMIS prior to the event. This increase could be due to a number of factors, including increasing HMIS bed coverage, improved analysis, and improved outreach by event organizers to agencies participating in HMIS.



The proportion of guests identifying as homeless who had previously received services from a provider participating in HMIS was similar to the proportion found among guests from the April 2008 event. The breakdown of household status was also similar.

### 18. Homeless status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event

	In HMIS prior to event	Not in HMIS prior to event
Not currently homeless	129 (23%)	213 (24%)
Living with family or friends*	16 (3%)	76 (8%)
Homeless, but status unknown**	22 (4%)	40 (5%)
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	151 (27%)	232 (26%)
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition	84 (15%)	84 (9%)
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years	153 (27%)	186 (21%)
Unknown/Missing	9 (1%)	62 (7%)
TOTAL	564	893

\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" and also indicated living with family or living with friends (see Table 9 for living situations).

\*\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" but also indicated living in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

### 19. Household status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event

	In HMIS prior to event	Not in HMIS prior to event
Single head of household with dependent children	130 (23%)	158 (18%)
Single with no dependent children	362 (64%)	600 (67%)
Married/domestic partner with dependent children	35 (6%)	64 (7%)
Married/domestic partner with dependent no children	22 (4%)	41 (5%)
Unknown/Missing/Other household type	15 (3%)	30 (3%)
TOTAL	564	893

#### Known contact with homeless service providers after the event

Of the 1,409 identifiable guests served at the December 2008 event, 141 guests were served by organizations participating in Minnesota's HMIS (and entered with identifiable records into the HMIS) within four weeks after the event. Of those 141 guests, 34 guests had not been served by a participating provider prior to the December event. The services that these guests received by participating providers included emergency shelter, transitional housing, rent payment and rent deposit assistance through the state's Family Housing Prevention and Assistance Program, and housing search assistance.

# Known involvement with previous Project Homeless Connect events

As mentioned above, Minnesota's HMIS was also used to gather data on previous Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect events. Using HMIS data collected at the previous events, we examined the number of people who had attended more than one event. Setting the aside the guests who were entered anonymously at each event:

- 308 (20%) of individuals served at the Hennepin County event in December 2008 had attended at least one previous event held in Hennepin County.
- 53 (4%) of individuals served at the Hennepin County event in December 2008 had attended at least one previous event in Ramsey County.

While the number of individuals found to have attended more than one event declined at the December 2008 event, these results still may under-represent the number of people who have attended more than one Project Homeless Connect event. In fact, when asked, "Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Connect event like this one before today?" 369 guests, or 25 percent, responded "yes." This discrepancy could be due to many factors: data entry errors that prevent the correct matching of their records, missing or incomplete intake forms from guests, or because guests may confuse previous Project Homeless Connect events with other similar events held in the Twin Cities, such as the Stand Down events for veterans, Project Youth Connect, and other homeless prevention and service efforts.

## **Looking forward: Project Homeless Connect**

The December 2008 Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event served over 1,700 guests who varied by age, household type, and housing status. As in previous years, housing and employment remained the most commonly-sought services at the event. An increasing percentage of guests attending the December 2008 event requested assistance with various forms of medical care and obtaining an ID. The event served an increased percentage of children in families, which may suggest extending event services such as child care if this trend continues. Overall, the renewed emphasis on services at the December 2008 event appears to have been largely successful in helping homeless and precariously housed guests to obtain the services they needed.

## Appendix

**Project Homeless Connect Intake Form** 

#### **Project Homeless Connect Intake Form**

Hi, My name is \_\_\_\_\_\_. Thanks for taking a few minutes to help us gather information for this event. This also gives me a chance to tell you about the services available today. We hope that your answers will help us to better understand what services are needed in the community and also to help us direct you to the services that you want today. This should take about 10 minutes. Also, please take a few minutes before you leave to fill out an exit survey.

Now, I am going to ask a few questions about you (and your family). We hope that by answering these questions we will be able to better serve you today and in the future. You do not have to answer any of these questions if you do not want to.

What is your full Name?:						
FIRST	MI	LAST				SUFFIX
BIRTH DATE: //						
GENDER:	Transgender		wn			
Do you consider yourself (PRIMARY		(SECON	IDARY RACE	(OPTIONAL/ IF	OFFERED))	
American Indian or Alaskan Native		🗆 Am	erican Indian	or Alaskan Nati	ve	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islande	r	🗆 Na	tive Hawaiian	or Other Pacific	: Islander	
Black or African American		🛛 Bla	ick or African	American		
□ White		🗆 Wr	nite			
☐ Asian						
Other Multi-Racial Other Multi-Racial						
□ Other □ Unknov	Other   Unknown   Other   Unknown					
Are you Hispanic/Latino No	t Hispanic/Latino	Unknov	vn			
Household Type:						
Single with NO dependent child	ren	□ c	ouple with dep	pendent childrer	า	
Couple with NO dependent chil	dren	🗆 s	ingle head of	household with	dependent ch	ildren
Other:						
How many children are you here with <u>today</u>	<u>/</u> ?					
Teens (13 -17): Children, 5	-12yrs:	Children, (	0-4yrs:			
EVER SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE U	.S. ARMED FOR	CES *N	OTE If yes, p	please direct to	o veterans se	ervices area
□ Yes * □ No	Don't know	🗆 R	efused			
Do you have any type of mental or physica	l disability that k	eeps vou froi	m workina. sl	hopping or oth	er dailv activ	ities?
□ Yes □ No	Don't know		efused		· · · <b>,</b> · · ·	
During the last month did you receive any income from						
Employment	□ Yes	🗆 No	🗖 Dor	n't know	Refused	d
General Assistance	□ Yes	🗆 No	🗖 Dor	n't know	Refused	d
Social Security Retirement, Survivors, Disability Insurance (RSDI/SSDI)	□ Yes	□ No	Dor Dor	n't know	Refused	d
SSI (Supplemental Security Income)	□ Yes	🛛 No	🗖 Dor	n't know	Refused	d
MFIP (MN Family Investment Program)	□ Yes	🛛 No	🗖 Dor	n't know	Refused	d
Contributions from other people	□ Yes	D No	🗖 Dor	n't know	Refused	d
No income	□ Yes	🗆 No	🗖 Dor	n't know	□ Refuse	d
Any other source?						
If employed, how many hours do you	1-10 Hours	<b>1</b> 11-20	<b>D</b> 21-30	□31 or	Don't	Day
work in <u>an average week</u> ?		hours	hours	more hours	know	Labor /temp
If employed, what is your hourly wage in	□\$6-8	□\$9-11	□\$12-14	□\$15 or	🗖 Don't	Refused
an average week?				more	know	

Do you currently receive								
Food stamps?	□ Yes □	] No	Don't k	now 🛛 Refused				
A Section 8 Housing Voucher (for rent)?		] No	Don't k					
Public housing?	□ Yes □	] No	Don't k	now 🛛 Refused				
Any other type of rental assistance?		] No	Don't k					
Medical Assistance (or Medicaid or MA)?			Don't k					
Medicare?		] No	Don't k					
Veterans Medical assistance or services?		∃ No	Don't k					
WHERE DID YOU STAY LAST NIGHT?								
Emergency shelter	🛛 Jail, prison, o	□ Jail, prison, or juvenile facility □ Hotel/motel (without voucher)						
Transitional housing for homeless	Living with family			□ Foster care/group home				
$\square$ Permanent housing for formerly homeless	$\Box$ Living with frie	ends	Living on the street/outside/squatting					
Psychiatric hospital or facility	Psychiatric hospital or facility I Rental house/apartment I Don't know							
☐ Substance abuse treatment center, <b>incl detox</b>	house/condo/	□ house/condo/apartment that you own □ Refused						
☐ Hospital				Other				
HOW LONG HAVE YOU STAYED THERE?								
□ 1 week or less		nan 3 months bu	t less than	1 year				
$\Box$ More than 1 week but less than 1 month	🛛 1 year	or longer						
□ 1 to 3 months								
WHAT CITY WAS THAT IN?		_ CHECK BO	<b>X IF</b> Outsid	e of Minnesota 🛛				
Are you at risk of losing your housing due	to the foreclosure	e of property t	hat you r	ent or own in the <u>next 90 days</u> ?				
□ Yes □ No □ Don't know □	Refused							
Have you lost your housing due to the fore	closure of prope	rty that you re	nted or o	wned in the last 90 days?				
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Don't know ☐	Refused							
Are you currently Homeless?								
□ NO Not currently homeless □ YES $\rightarrow$ <b>F</b> irst time homeless AND less than 1 year without home								
$\Box$ VES $\rightarrow$ <b>F</b> ire	$\square$ YES $\rightarrow$ Several times homeless, but for less than 1 year and NOT more than 4 times in 3 years							
		•						
□ YES → Se	veral times homeles	s, but for less tha	an 1 year a	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years				
□ YES → Se □ YES → Lor	<b>veral</b> times homeles ng term: at least 1 ye	s, but for less tha ear OR at least 4	an 1 year a times in th	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years				
□ YES → Se	<b>veral</b> times homeles ng term: at least 1 ye	s, but for less tha ear OR at least 4 one before toda	an 1 year a times in th	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years				
<ul> <li>☐ YES → Set</li> <li>☐ YES → Lot</li> <li>Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Cont</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> </ul>	veral times homeles ng term: at least 1 ye nect event like this Don't know	s, but for less that ear OR at least 4 one before toda	an 1 year a times in th a <b>y?</b> tfused	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years ne past 3 years				
<ul> <li>☐ YES → Se</li> <li>☐ YES → Lon</li> <li>Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Cont</li> <li>☐ Yes</li> <li>☐ No</li> <li>Now I have a few questions about how we can here</li> </ul>	veral times homeles ng term: at least 1 ye nect event like this Don't know	s, but for less tha ear OR at least 4 one before toda □ Re puld you like he	an 1 year a times in th ay? fused Ip with too	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years ne past 3 years				
□ YES → Set         □ YES → Lor         Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Comp         □ Yes       □ No         Now I have a few questions about how we can here         Employment       □ Yes	veral times homeles ng term: at least 1 ye nect event like this Don't know nelp you. What wo	s, but for less that ear OR at least 4 one before toda C Re buld you like he	an 1 year a times in th ay? fused Ip with too	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years he past 3 years				
□ YES → Set         □ YES → Lon         Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Component         □ Yes       □ No         Now I have a few questions about how we can be the project Homelest Component         Employment       □ Yes         Education       □ Yes	veral times homeles ng term: at least 1 ye nect event like this □ Don't know nelp you. What wo ial Security Benefits	s, but for less tha ear OR at least 4 one before toda B Re Duld you like he	an 1 year a ⊌ times in th ay? efused Ip with too Denta Eye C	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years ne past 3 years				
□ YES → Set         □ YES → Lot         Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Control         □ Yes       □ No         Now I have a few questions about how we can be remained by the rema	veral times homeles ing term: at least 1 ye nect event like this Don't know nelp you. What wo ial Security Benefits eran's Benefits	s, but for less tha ear OR at least 4 one before toda Re buld you like he Yes Yes	an 1 year a ⊌ times in th ay? efused Ip with too Denta Eye C	I Care I Yes are (other) Yes				
□ YES → Ser         □ YES → Lor         Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Component         □ Yes       □ No         Now I have a few questions about how we can be reployment       □ Yes         Employment       □ Yes       Soc         Education       □ Yes       Veta         Legal assistance       □ Yes       Pub         Housing       □ Yes       Mer	veral times homeles ing term: at least 1 ye nect event like this Don't know nelp you. What wo ial Security Benefits eran's Benefits lic Assistance	s, but for less tha ear OR at least 4 one before toda	an 1 year a ⊮ times in th ay? efused Ip with too Denta Eye C Medic	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years ne past 3 years				
$\Box$ YES $\rightarrow$ Set $\Box$ YES $\rightarrow$ LotHave you ever been to a Project Homeless Cont $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ NoNow I have a few questions about how we can be Employment $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ YesEducation $\Box$ YesLegal assistance $\Box$ YesHousing $\Box$ YesEmergency Shelter $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ YesCher	veral times homeles ing term: at least 1 ye mect event like this □ Don't know ielp you. What wo ial Security Benefits eran's Benefits lic Assistance ital Health	s, but for less tha ear OR at least 4 one before toda	an 1 year a times in th ay? efused Denta Eye C Medic Haircu	nd NOT more than 4 times in 3 years ne past 3 years				

We would like you to feel free to visit as many resources and providers as you choose today. I am happy to walk around with you, show you where things are, and help you find the service and resources you want. Would that be okay? [IF NOT, LET CLIENT/FAMILY WALK AROUND ON THEIR OWN. IF THEY WANT TO WALK AROUND ON THEIR OWN, REMIND THEM TO PLEASE FILL OUT AN EXIT SURVEY AND PICK UP A BAGGED LUNCH BEFORE THEY LEAVE]