# Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect

Summary of guests served on April 28, 2008

**JUNE 2008** 

## Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect

Summary of guests served on April 28, 2008

June 2008

Prepared by:

Emily Warren

Wilder Research 451 Lexington Parkway North Saint Paul, Minnesota 55104 651-280-2700 www.wilder.org

## Contents

Introduction	1
Methods	2
Comparison with previous Hennepin Project Homeless Connect events	3
Demographic characteristics	5
Living situation	8
Income and sources of assistance	12
Services sought	14
De-duplication with data in Minnesota's HMIS	15
Looking forward: Project Homeless Connect and Minnesota's HMIS	18
Appendix	19

## Figures

1.	Households served, as presenting at event, by head of household	5
2.	Individuals served at event, by household type	5
3.	Families and singles served at event – Comparison to previous events	6
4.	Age of household head	6
5.	Race and ethnicity of household head	7
6.	Disability, veterans status, and previous experience with Project Homeless Connect	7
7.	Homeless status of household head	8
8.	Homeless status of household head – Comparison to previous events	8
9.	Living situation last night, by household	9
10.	Housing stability of guests not currently homeless – likeliness of being able to stay for at least 60 more days, by living situation	10
11.	Housing stability of guests not currently homeless – likeliness of being able to stay for at least 60 more days, by household type	10
12.	City where household stayed last night	11
13.	City where household stayed last night, comparison to previous events	11
14.	Household income sources last 30 days	12
15.	Other assistance currently received by household	13
16.	Main types of service sought by household	14
17.	Homeless status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event	16
18.	Comparison of those served/not served by a provider participating in HMIS prior to event – household status	16

## Acknowledgments

Data entry by Danita Banks, Jeanette Long, Christy Olson, Lisa Weber, Jamie Boerboom, Dayna Huseth, Pat Crosby, and Matthew Ayres of Hennepin County; and Nancy Secor, Sherry Lobinsky, and Alison Legler of Minnesota Department of Human Services.

Data entry set up, coordination, and reporting paid for by the Hennepin County/City of Minneapolis Office to End Homelessness.

### Introduction

This brief report summarizes information about the guests served at the Minneapolis/ Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event held on April 28, 2008 at the Minneapolis Convention Center. The fifth Project Homeless Connect to be held in Minneapolis, the event was organized by the Minneapolis/Hennepin County Office to End Homelessness in partnership with the Family Housing Fund, and a steering committee made up of community members, service providers, and persons who have experienced homelessness.<sup>1</sup>

Overall, Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect served:

- 2,570 households
- 2,888 individuals
- 203 children age 17 or younger
- 74 unaccompanied youth age 14-18

After a discussion of data collection methods this report presents a brief comparison to the population of guests served at the previous Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect events, along with events held in St. Paul/Ramsey County. This report also includes a series of tables summarizing characteristics of guests served at Project Homeless Connect, including: basic demographics, living situation, income and sources of assistance, services sought, and a de-duplication of guests served at Project Homeless Connect with records of persons known to be previously served by agencies in Minnesota who serve those experiencing or at risk of experiencing homelessness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For further information on the event, see <u>www.homelessconnectminneapolis.org</u>

### Methods

The data reported below were collected on paper by volunteer intake workers on the day of the event, using the form found in the Appendix. The data were later entered into Minnesota's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) by a team of trained data entry volunteers. Minnesota's HMIS is a statewide database that includes client-level data from many agencies that serve people experiencing homelessness or at risk of experiencing homelessness.<sup>2</sup>

Project Homeless Connect guests received consent forms and were recorded as "anonymous" if they preferred to not have personally identifiable information entered into the HMIS. As a result, 148 of the 2,570 households served (6%) were entered without the ability to run matches in the HMIS database to see whether they have prior service records, or to see whether they were served by providers participating in HMIS after the event. The clients who agreed to be entered with identifiable information were entered as "unnamed clients." That is, their names were only held temporarily by the database. Upon entering the client name in the initial data entry screen, the database automatically generated a unique identifier comprised of parts of the name, date of birth, gender, and race. The database then discarded the name. The creation of the unique identifier enabled Wilder Research to match Project Homeless Connect guests with those served by other programs participating in Minnesota's HMIS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For more information, see <u>www.hmismn.org</u>

### Comparison with previous Hennepin Project Homeless Connect events

The Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect held on April 28, 2008 served 2,888 individuals, compared to 1,780 individuals at the event held in October 2007.<sup>3</sup> As shown in the tables that follow, the average guest, similar to previous events, was an African American male who is 40 years of age, spent the previous night at an emergency shelter in Minneapolis, and has experienced several episodes of homelessness without meeting the definition for long-term homeless.<sup>4</sup>

The April 2008 event drew an increased proportion of people of color, particularly guests who identified as American Indian and African American. An increased number of families with dependent children attended the event, up 5 percent from the October 2007 event.<sup>5</sup> In addition, the April 2008 event drew a smaller number of veterans while 7 percent more guests identified as having a long-term disability.

While the proportion of guests who identified as not homeless increased from 27 percent in October 2007 to 36 percent, nearly twice as many guests identifying as not homeless reported doubling up with friends and family than those who identified as renting or owning a home/apartment When asked about the stability of their housing, 38 percent of guests currently doubled up with family reported that it was "not very likely" or "not at all likely" that they would be able to stay in their current housing situation for at least 60 more days. Nearly half of guests doubled up with friends reported that it was "not very likely" or "not at all likely" that they would be able to stay for at least 60 more days, and 18 percent of guests currently renting or owning their home reported the same.

The remainder of this report presents information on the guests served at the April 2008 Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect, including demographic characteristics, living situation, income and sources of assistance, and services sought by event guests. The report concludes with a section showing how many guests of the event

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The report for the October 2007 Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect event can be found at <u>http://www.wilder.org/download.0.html?report=2005</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The state of Minnesota defines an individual, unaccompanied youth or family as "Long-Term Homeless" if they are without a home for a year or more OR have had at least four (4) episodes of homelessness in the past three (3) years. Any period of institutionalization or incarceration (including transitional housing, prison/jail, treatment, hospitals, foster care, or refugee camps) is excluded when determining the length of time the household has been homeless).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Some of this change may be due to changes to the event's intake form, made in an effort to more accurately distinguish between singles and families.

had been served at previous events or had prior contact with agencies participating in Minnesota's HMIS. In addition, the report looks at guests receiving follow-up or future connection with services after the event by looking at their post-event contact with providers participating in HMIS.

### **Demographic characteristics**

#### 1. Households served, as presenting at event, by head of household

	Male	Female	Transgender	Total
In households without children				
Adults	1,335	514	5	1,854
Unaccompanied Youth (14-17)	21	31	1	53
Sub-total	1,356	545	6	1,907
In families with children				
Adults	210	432	-	642
Unaccompanied Youth (14-17)	4	17	-	21
Sub-total	214	449	-	663
TOTAL				2,570

#### 2. Individuals served at event, by household type

	Number	Percentage
In households without children		
Adult singles	1,690	89%
Adults in couples (no dependent children)	109	5%
Adults with "other" family status (no dependent children)	55	3%
Unaccompanied youth, age 14-17	53*	3%
Sub-total	1,907	100%
In families with children		
Parents or guardians	663	68%
Children, age 13-17	46	5%
Children, age 5-12	74	7%
Children, age 0-4	198	20%
Sub-total	981	100%
TOTAL	2,888	

\* Twenty-one additional unaccompanied youth who attended the event with dependent children are included in the category of families with children.

3. Families and singles served at event – Comparison to previous events

April 2008	75%	25%	
October 2007	61%	39%	
December 2006	83%	17%	
	% Singles/Families with new Singles with new Singles with dependen the second s	•	en

\* Changes were made to the intake form for the April 2008 event in an effort to more accurately distinguish between singles and families.

	Male	Female	Total*
14-17	12 (1%)	19 (2%)	32 (1%)
18-21	77 (5%)	120 (12%)	198 (8%)
22-24	37 (2%)	85 (9%)	122 (5%)
25-34	192 (11%)	212 (21%)	406 (16%)
35-44	420 (27%)	229 (23%)	649 (25%)
45-54	490 (31%)	178 (18%)	669 (26%)
55-64	180 (12%)	60 (6%)	240 (9%)
65-79	25 (2%)	12 (1%)	38 (2%)
(Ages Missing)	137 (9%)	79 (8%)	216 (8%)
TOTAL	1,570 (100%)	994 (100%)	2,570 (100%)
Average age (mean)	44	37	41

\* Includes five adults identifying as transgender.

Age of household head

4.

#### 5. Race and ethnicity of household head

	Number	Percentage
American Indian/Alaskan Native	410	16%
Asian	19	<1%
Black/African American	1,328	52%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	14	<1%
White	542	21%
Other	54	2%
Other Multi-Racial	71	3%
Missing	132	5%
TOTAL	2,570	100%
Hispanic/Latino (any race)	148	6%

\* Up to two categories, including "other multi-racial," were recorded for each guest. The answers are reported here in a manner consistent with reporting used by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

#### 6. Disability, veterans status, and previous experience with Project Homeless Connect\*

		Adults			
	Single males	Single females	Families with children	Youth**	ALL***
	n=1,335	n=514	n=642	N=74	n=2,570
Has a disability of long duration	44%	49%	32%	14%	41%
Served in US military?	15%	2%	4%	1%	9%
Has been to a Project Homeless Connect event like this one before*	28%	24%	16%	16%	24%

\* Previous experience according to self-report (not through de-duplicating with prior events).

\*\* Includes twenty-one unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

\*\*\* Includes five adults identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

.

### Living situation

#### 7. Homeless status of household head

	Number	Percentage
Not currently homeless	558	22%
Living with family or friends*	219	9%
Homeless, but status unknown**	97	4%
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	652	25%
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition	371	14%
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years	543	21%
Unknown/Missing	130	5%
TOTAL	2,570	100%

\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" and also indicated living with family or living with friends (see Table 9 for living situations).

\*\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" but also indicated living in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

#### 8. Homeless status of household head – Comparison to previous events

	December 2006	October 2007	April 2008
Not currently homeless	187 (18%)	399 (27%)	558 (22%)
Living with family or friends*	45 (4%)	181 (12%)	219 (9%)
Homeless, but status unknown**	86 (8%)	49 (3%)	97 (4%)
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	291 (28%)	316 (22%)	652 (25%)
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition	112 (11%)	142 (10%)	371 (14%)
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years	315 (30%)	253 (17%)	543 (21%)
Unknown/Missing	14 (1%)	136 (9%)	130 (5%)
TOTAL	1,050	1,476	2,570

\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" and also indicated living with family or living with friends (see Table 9 for living situations).

\*\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" but also indicated living in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

9. Living situation last night, by household	
----------------------------------------------	--

	Number	Percentage
Emergency shelter	688	27%
Rental house or apartment	421	16%
Living with friends	363	14%
Transitional housing for homeless	305	12%
Living with family	278	11%
Place not meant for habitation	88	3%
Substance abuse treatment center	72	3%
Permanent housing for formerly homeless	48	2%
Owned house or apartment	37	1%
Hotel or motel (without an emergency voucher)	16	1%
Foster care/group home	14	1%
Jail, prison, or juvenile facility	6	<1%
Hospital	2	<1%
Psychiatric hospital or facility	2	<1%
Other (unspecified)	139	5%
Missing/don't know/refused	91	4%
TOTAL	2,570	100%

### 10. Housing stability of guests not currently homeless – likeliness of being able to stay for at least 60 more days, by living situation\*

	Very likely	Somewhat likely	Not very likely	Not at all likely	Don't know
Rental house/apartment (n=383)	70%	9%	10%	6%	5%
House/condo/apartment that you own (n=32)	62%	16%	3%	19%	0%
Living with family (n=133)	50%	22%	16%	8%	4%
Living with friends (n=95)	43%	23%	16%	7%	11%

### 11. Housing stability of guests not currently homeless – likeliness of being able to stay for at least 60 more days, by household type\*

	Very likely	Somewhat likely	Not very likely	Not at all likely	Don't know
Single Head of Household with dependent children (n=158)	58%	14%	15%	7%	6%
Single Head of Household with no dependent children (n=379)	74%	8%	7%	6%	5%
Married/domestic partner with dependent children (n=49)	66%	13%	6%	13%	2%
Married/domestic partner with no dependent children (n=33)	52%	18%	12%	18%	0%

#### 12. City where household stayed last night

		Adults			
	Single males	Single females	Families with children	Youth*	ALL**
	n=1,335	n=514	n=642	N=74	n=2,570
Minneapolis	78%	76%	76%	75%	77%
St. Paul	3%	4%	4%	3%	3%
Other suburb	5%	7%	9%	8%	6%
Missing	14%	13%	11%	14%	13%

\* Includes twenty-one unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

\*\* Includes five adults identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

#### 13. City where household stayed last night, comparison to previous events

	December 2006	October 2007	April 2008
Minneapolis	819 (78%)	972 (66%)	1989 (77%
St. Paul	55 (5%)	52 (4%)	83 (3%)
Other town/suburb	49 (5%)	110 (7%)	166 (6%)
Unknown/Missing	127 (12%)	342 (23%)	332 (13%)
TOTAL	1,050	1,476	2,570

### Income and sources of assistance

#### 14. Household income sources last 30 days\*

		Adults			
	Single males	Single females	Families with children	Youth <sup>c</sup>	ALL <sup>d</sup>
	n=1,335	n=514	n=642	N=74	n=2,570
General Assistance	40%	40%	23%	18%	35%
Employment <sup>a</sup>	11%	12%	16%	14%	12%
SSDI	12%	15%	10%	8%	12%
Social Security (other)	11%	15%	12%	14%	12%
MFIP	<1%	3%	30%	14%	9%
Contributions from others	8%	9%	9%	14%	9%
No income <sup>b</sup>	21%	15%	15%	15%	18%
Other income sources	6%	7%	11%	9%	8%

\* Intake staff were encouraged to report all sources received by the household, from the list above. They also recorded other income sources, reported by the guest. The "other" sources reported included panhandling, recycling, pensions, child support payments, donating plasma, unemployment benefits, and other miscellaneous sources.

<sup>a</sup> Includes temporary employment and day labor.

<sup>b</sup> In an effort to more accurately distinguish between guests with some form of income and those with no income, the question of having no income sources was moved from the top of the list of income sources to the bottom of the list. As a result, the number of guests who reported having no income went from 48 percent at the October 2007 to eight percent at the April 2008 event.

- <sup>c</sup> Includes twenty-one unaccompanied youth with dependent children.
- <sup>d</sup> Includes five adults identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

		Adults			
	Single males	Single females	Parents/ Guardians	Youth**	ALL***
	n=1,335	n=514	n=642	N=74	n=2,570
Medical assistance	53%	63%	63%	41%	48%
Food stamps	38%	49%	61%	38%	39%
Medicare	14%	12%	8%	8%	10%
Public housing	7%	7%	9%	1%	6%
Other rent assistance	6%	6%	5%	1%	6%
Section 8	5%	6%	10%	5%	5%
Veterans medical or other veterans benefits & services	5%	<1%	1%	1%	3%

#### 15. Other assistance currently received by household\*

\* Intake volunteers were encouraged to report all sources received by the household, from the list above.

\*\* Includes twenty-one unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

\*\*\* Includes five adults identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

### Services sought

### 16. Main types of service sought by household\*

		Adults			
	Single males	Single females	Parents/ Guardians	Youth**	ALL***
	n=1,335	n=514	n=642	N=74	n=2,570
Housing	50%	60%	66%	64%	52%
Employment	43%	40%	56%	65%	41%
Wants help obtaining ID (of those lacking an ID)	38%	36%	40%	41%	38%
Dental Care	38%	46%	38%	35%	34%
Eye care	35%	35%	29%	24%	31%
Haircut	26%	24%	20%	10%	21%
Education	18%	25%	30%	30%	17%
Legal assistance	17%	18%	22%	8%	16%
Public Assistance	14%	13%	16%	15%	13%
Social Security benefits	14%	13%	12%	4%	12%
Medical care (other)	13%	16%	13%	14%	12%
Other: Clothes, shoes, winter coat, etc.	11%	8%	8%	3%	10%
Voicemail	15%	15%	12%	12%	10%
Mental health	10%	13%	10%	7%	9%
Other: transportation assistance (includes bus cards, driver's	100/	70/	<u> </u>	70/	0%
license, bike repair)	10%	7%	6%	7%	9%
Other: miscellaneous	8%	8%	8%	5%	8%
Emergency shelter	11%	8%	8%	7%	7%
Veterans benefits	6%	1%	2%	3%	4%
Chemical health	4%	4%	3%	1%	2%

\* Guests were asked what their top three services were from the list provided above. Some indicated more than three.

\*\* Includes twenty-one unaccompanied youth with dependent children.

\*\*\* Includes five adults identifying as transgender, not shown in other columns.

### **De-duplication with data in Minnesota's HMIS**

One of the reasons Project Homeless Connect organizers wanted to enter data from the event into Minnesota's HMIS was to get a sense of how many of those served at the event were already involved with social service providers, particularly providers whose mission is to serve people experiencing homelessness. While Minnesota's HMIS remains imperfect for the task, an increasing number of organizations whose mission is to serve those experiencing homelessness participate in HMIS. As of June 2007, the HMIS included 43 percent of shelter beds, 87 percent of transitional housing program beds, and 54 percent of permanent supportive housing beds in Hennepin County. The HMIS also includes the County's large homeless prevention program, as well as some other "services only" programs not associated with beds. Further, entering the data into the HMIS enabled us to run matches against people served in other parts of the state, as well as earlier Project Homeless Connect events including the Hennepin County event from October 2007 and the Ramsey County events held in June 2006 and June 2007.

As discussed in the introduction, all guests who signed a consent form at Project Homeless Connect were entered as "unnamed clients" in the HMIS database. This means that while elements of the clients name were used in creating a unique identifier, the name was not permanently stored. This procedure allows Wilder to un-duplicate clients served in Project Homeless Connect with other records in the database, without permanently storing their names. As previously noted, the unique identifier is created using parts of the name, date of birth, gender, and race.

While using the unique identifier to find matching records is the most reliable method available, it does present some limitations. Data entry errors and use of nicknames will cause different unique identifiers to be issued that will prevent matching. For example, if a guest is entered as "Bob Smith" at one event and then seeks services at a participating provider as "Robert Smith," this discrepancy will result in his records not being matched to identify him as the same person. As a result, the matching conducted for this report is most likely under-representative of the number of guests who have been served before or after the event by a provider participating in HMIS. For the same reason, the matching process most likely also under-counts the number of guests who have attended previous Project Homeless Connect events.

The 148 guests who indicated a preference not to provide their name or other potentially identifying information at the event were entered anonymously, and it is not possible to match their records with others existing in the database. Setting aside the anonymous clients we found that:

859 of the 2,422 identifiable guests – or 35 percent – were served by an organization participating in Minnesota's HMIS prior to the event.

### 17. Homeless status of event guests with records in HMIS prior to event compared with those without records in HMIS prior to event

	In HMIS prior to event	Not in HMIS prior to event
Not currently homeless	170 (20%)	388 (23%)
Living with family or friends*	43 (5%)	176 (10%)
Homeless, but status unknown**	34 (4%)	63 (4%)
1st time homeless and less than 1 year without home	211 (24%)	441 (26%)
Multiple times homeless, but NOT meeting LTH definition	160 (19%)	211 (12%)
Long term: At least 1 year OR at least 4 times in past 3 years	208 (24%)	335 (19%)
Unknown/Missing	33 (4%)	97 (6%)
TOTAL	859	1,711

\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" and also indicated living with family or living with friends (see Table 9 for living situations).

\*\* Some guests identified as "not currently homeless" but also indicated living in situations typically considered homeless (emergency shelters, living on the streets/squatting, and transitional housing programs for the homeless).

### 18. Comparison of those served/not served by a provider participating in HMIS prior to event – household status

	In HMIS prior to event	Not in HMIS prior to event
Single head of household with dependent children	203 (24%)	296 (18%)
Single with no dependent children	548 (64%)	1184 (69%)
Married/domestic partner with dependent children	58 (7%)	106 (6%)
Married/domestic partner with dependent no children	29 (3%)	85 (5%)
Unknown/Missing/Other household type	21 (2%)	40 (2%)
TOTAL	859	1,711

Of the 2,422 identifiable guests served at April 2008 event, 119 guests were served by organizations participating in Minnesota's HMIS (and entered with identifiable records into the HMIS) within two weeks after the event.

Of those 119 guests, 21 had not been served by a participating provider prior to the April 2008 event. These 21 households included three families experiencing first-time homelessness and thirteen single adults experiencing homelessness, and five single adults currently housed in a transitional housing program or in rental housing. The services that the guests received by participating providers included clothing vouchers, rent payment and rent deposit assistance through the state's Family Housing Prevention and Assistance Program, housing search assistance, mental health counseling, and permanent supportive housing for the formerly homeless.

Note that around 11 percent of all clients entered into Minnesota's HMIS are entered as anonymous, many of whom are clients of domestic violence or legal service providers. Thus, for this reason and the issues surrounding the unique identifier explained above, it is likely that the de-duplication here is a conservative estimate of the number of Project Homeless Connect guests actually served by agencies participating in Minnesota's HMIS. Also, it is important to reiterate that the 859 guests who were found to have existing records in the HMIS under-represent the total number who had previous contact with social service providers, even those whose specific mission is to serve those experiencing homelessness in Hennepin County, since many providers are not currently participating in Minnesota's HMIS. Nonetheless, the 36 percent reported here is significantly higher than the 21 percent reported following the Minneapolis/Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect held in December 2006 and higher than the 33 percent reported from the event held in October 2007.

As mentioned above, Minnesota's HMIS was also used to gather data on the Minneapolis/ Hennepin County Project Homeless Connect events held in October 2007 and December 2006 as well as at the St. Paul/ Ramsey County Project Homeless Connect events held in June 2006 and 2007. Using HMIS data collected at the previous events, we examined the number of people who had attended more than one event. Setting the aside the guests who were entered anonymously at each event:

- 307 individuals served at the Hennepin County event in April 2008 had attended at least one previous event held in Twin Cities.
- 283 individuals served at the Hennepin County event in April 2008 had attended the previous Hennepin County event in October 2007.
- 26 individuals served at the Hennepin County event in April 2008 had attended both the October 2007 and December 2006 events held in Hennepin County.
- 10 individuals served at the Hennepin County event in April 2008 had attended both the October 2007 and December 2006 events held in Hennepin County as well as at the most recent Ramsey County event in June 2007.

These results may under-represent the number of people who have attended more than one Project Homeless Connect event. In fact, when asked, "Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Connect event like this one before today?" 616 guests, or 24 percent, responded "yes." This discrepancy could be due to many factors: data entry errors that prevent the correct matching of their records, missing or incomplete intake forms from guests, or due to changes made to the intake form and the intake process. Further, in responding to the question, guests may confuse previous Project Homeless Connect events with other similar events held in the Twin Cities, such as the Stand Down events for veterans, Project Youth Connect, and other homeless prevention and service efforts.

# Looking forward: Project Homeless Connect and Minnesota's HMIS

Since the Project Homeless Connect data are maintained in the statewide HMIS database it may be useful to conduct further outcomes analysis at some point. For example, to help assess whether the event had an impact on housing stability it would be helpful to know how many of the guests who were living on the streets or in homeless shelters are successfully placed in transitional and permanent supportive housing programs; or conversely, how many guests return to shelter or other emergency services. Analyses like these are enabled by the Homeless Management Information System, and should help inform future Project Homeless Connect events, as well as broader state and regional plans to end homelessness.

## Appendix

**Project Homeless Connect Intake Form** 

#### **Project Homeless Connect Intake Form**

Hi, My name is \_\_\_\_\_\_. Thanks for taking a few minutes to help us gather information for this event. This also gives me a chance to tell you about the services available today. We hope that your answers will help us to better understand what services are needed in the community and also to help us direct you to the services that you want today. This should take about 10 minutes. Also, please take a few minutes before you leave to fill out an exit survey.

Now, I am going to ask a few questions about you (and your family). We hope that by answering these questions we will be able to better serve you today and in the future. You do not have to answer any of these questions if you do not want to.

What is your full Name?:			ServicePt ID#
FIRST	MI LAST	SUFFIX	
BIRTH DATE:///			
GENDER: GEnde Male	Transgender		
Do you consider yourself (PRIMARY	RACE)	(SECONDARY RACE (OPTIONAL/	IF OFFERED))
American Indian or Alaskan Native		American Indian or Alaskan Na	ative
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	r	□ Native Hawaiian or Other Pac	ific Islander
Black or African American		Black or African American	
□ White		☐ White	
□ Asian		□ Asian	
Other Multi-Racial		Other Multi-Racial	
Other Unknow	'n	☐ Other	Unknown
Are you Hispanic/Latino Not	t Hispanic/Latino		
Household Type:			
Single with NO dependent childr	en	Couple with dependent child	ren
Couple with NO dependent child	dren	$\Box$ Single head of household wit	h dependent children
Other:			
How many children are you here with <u>today</u>	?		
Teens (13 -17): Children, 5	-12yrs:	Children, 0-4yrs:	
EVER SERVED ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THE U	.S. ARMED FORC	ES *NOTE If yes, please direct	t to veterans services area
□ Yes * □ No	Don't know	Refused	
Do you have any type of mental or physical	disability that kee	eps you from working, shopping or o	ther daily activities?
Yes No	Don't know	Refused	
During the last month did you receive any i	ncome from		
Employment	□ Yes	□ No □ Don't know	Refused
General Assistance	□ Yes	□ No □ Don't know	Refused
Social Security Retirement, Survivors, Disability Insurance (RSDI/SSDI)	□ Yes	□ No □ Don't know	Refused
SSI (Supplemental Security Income)	□ Yes	□ No □ Don't know	Refused
MFIP (MN Family Investment Program)	□ Yes	□ No □ Don't know	Refused
Contributions from other people	☐ Yes	□ No □ Don't know	Refused
No income	□ Yes	□ No □ Don't know	Refused
Any other source?			

Do you currently receive					
Food stamps?	□ Yes	□ No	Don't know	□ Refused	
A Section 8 Housing Voucher (for rent)?	□ Yes	□ No	Don't know	Refused	
Public housing?	□ Yes	🗆 No	Don't know	Refused	
Any other type of rental assistance?	□ Yes	🗆 No	Don't know	Refused	
Medical Assistance (or Medicaid or MA)?	□ Yes	□ No	Don't know	Refused	
Medicare?	□ Yes	🗆 No	Don't know	Refused	
Veterans Medical assistance or services?	□ Yes	🗆 No	Don't know	Refused	
WHERE DID YOU STAY LAST NIGHT?					
Emergency shelter	🛛 Jail, prison	, or juvenile facility	Hotel/mot	tel (without voucher)	
Transitional housing for homeless	Living with	family	Foster ca	re/group home	
Permanent housing for formerly homeless	Living with		-	the street/outside/squatting	
Psychiatric hospital or facility	Rental hou	•	Don't kno	W	
<ul> <li>Substance abuse treatment center, incl detox</li> <li>Hospital</li> </ul>	house/cond	do/apartment that y	you own IRefused		
HOW LONG HAVE YOU STAYED THERE?					
□ 1 week or less		e than 3 months bu	ut less than 1 year		
$\square$ More than 1 week but less than 1 month	🛛 1 уе	ar or longer			
□ 1 to 3 months					
WHAT CITY WAS THAT IN?		СНЕСК ВС	X IF Outside of Minneso	ta 🗖	
How likely is it that you will be able to stay	at your current	residence for a	at least <u>60</u> more days	?	
│ □ Very Likely □ Somewhat likely □ Not v	ery likely 🛛 N	lot at all likely	🛛 Don't know 🛛 Ref	used	
Are you currently Homeless?					
□ NO Not cu	rrently homeless				
	-	AND less than 1 ye	ear without home		
□ YES → Se	veral times homel	ess, but for less th	an 1 year and NOT more	e than 4 times in 3 years	
□ YES → Lo	ng term: at least 1	year OR at least	4 times in the past 3 yea	rs	
Have you ever been to a Project Homeless Con	nect event like th Don't know		a <b>y?</b> efused		
Now I have a few questions about how we can I	nelp you. What	would you like he	elp with today?		
Employment 🔲 Yes Soc	ial Security Benef	its 🛛 Yes	Dental Care	□ Yes	
Education I Yes Vet	eran's Benefits	□ Yes	Eye Care	□ Yes	
Legal assistance 🔲 Yes Pub	olic Assistance	□ Yes	Medical Care (othe	r) 🛛 Yes	
Housing I Yes Me	ntal Health	☐ Yes	Haircut	□ Yes	
Emergency Shelter Tres Che	emical Health	☐ Yes	Voice Mail	☐ Yes	
Other services (write in):					
Would you like help getting a Minnesota picture ID?					

We would like you to feel free to visit as many resources and providers as you choose today. I am happy to walk around with you, show you where things are, and help you find the service and resources you want. Would that be okay? [IF NOT, LET CLIENT/FAMILY WALK AROUND ON THEIR OWN. IF THEY WANT TO WALK AROUND ON THEIR OWN, REMIND THEM TO PLEASE FILL OUT AN EXIT SURVEY AND PICK UP A BAGGED LUNCH BEFORE THEY LEAVE]