



Minnesota Prevention Resource Center's Spring 2014 Regional Forum

*Summary of participant feedback
from the “Changing Landscape of
Marijuana” workshops*

J U L Y 2 0 1 4

Prepared by:
Kelsey Imbertson and Jackie Aman

Contents

Introduction.....	1
Respondent characteristics.....	2
Outcomes and satisfaction	5
Knowledge outcomes.....	5
Overall satisfaction	6
Satisfaction with logistics	6
Satisfaction with facilitators	7
Most helpful aspects of training and suggestions for improvement	7
Considerations.....	8
Appendix.....	9
A. Open-end responses	10
B. Site-specific data tables.....	20
Bemidji.....	20
Bloomington	24
Duluth	28
Mankato	32
Moorhead	36
Rochester.....	40
Roseville	44
Saint Cloud.....	48

Figures

1. Number of respondents by location	2
2. Characteristics of respondents	3
3. Respondents' sector	4
4. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed).....	4
5. Impact on knowledge	5
6. Overall satisfaction	6
7. Satisfaction with logistics	7
8. Satisfaction with facilitators.....	7
9. Most useful aspect of the workshop.....	10
10. Suggestions for making workshop more useful.....	15
11. Additional comments	18

Acknowledgments

Wilder Research would like to extend special appreciation to the Minnesota Department of Human Services staff and Minnesota Prevention Resource Center staff for their assistance with this evaluation. Special thanks also to the Spring Forum participants who provided the data that made this evaluation possible.

We also wish to thank Jennifer Bohlke, Walker Bosch, Sophak Mom, and Kristin Dillon of Wilder Research for their assistance with this report.

Funding for this evaluation and report was provided by the Minnesota Department of Human Services, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division.

Introduction

The Minnesota Prevention Resource Center (MPRC) serves as a statewide clearinghouse for free and reduced-cost alcohol, tobacco and other drug (ATOD) and related violence prevention materials and resources. Their mission is to reduce problems resulting from ATOD use and associated violence by enhancing the capacity of people interested in preventing these problems. Through these prevention practitioners, MPRC provides information, resources, skills, and population-based health promotion efforts to local communities. MPRC is funded by the Minnesota Department of Human Services' Alcohol and Drug Abuse Division (ADAD).

Annually in the spring, in conjunction with ADAD, MPRC hosts Spring Forums in each region of the state regarding a prevention topic of current relevance. In spring 2014, MPRC hosted eight workshops entitled: "The Changing Landscape of Marijuana: Lessons Learned and Prevention Strategies Moving Forward."

In each workshop, Kevin Ringhofer began by presenting quick facts on marijuana with an emphasis on youth and young adult usage. A panel of experts, including a representative from ANSR (the Association for Nonsmokers - Minnesota) and Jacy Walters, Ph.D., then provided information on lessons learned from tobacco prevention and case studies of marijuana policies in different US states. The workshop closed with roundtable discussions about current prevention efforts in attendee communities, what else participants would like to see happen in their communities to prevent marijuana usage, and the resources needed to undertake those efforts.

The workshop was held in the following locations: Bemidji, Bloomington, Duluth, Moorhead, Mankato, Rochester, Roseville, and St. Cloud. Total attendance for all sites was 225 individuals. The forum in Moorhead had the largest number of attendees (46 individuals), followed by Bemidji (32 individuals), and St. Cloud (32 individuals; see Figure 1).

1. Number of respondents by location

	Program attendees		Survey respondents	
	N	%	N	%
Moorhead	46	20%	38	21%
Bemidji	32	14%	29	16%
St. Cloud	32	14%	23	13%
Rochester	29	13%	17	10%
Roseville	29	13%	24	13%
Duluth	20	9%	18	10%
Bloomington	19	8%	12	7%
Mankato	18	8%	17	10%
TOTAL	225	100%	178	100%

Note: Total percent may vary from 100 due to rounding.

At the end of the workshop, participants were asked to complete a written survey regarding their satisfaction with the event and the impact of the event on their knowledge about marijuana prevention strategies. In total, 178 people completed the survey, for a response rate of 79 percent.

Respondent characteristics

The majority of respondents were women (78%) and between the ages of 25 and 64 (95%; see Figure 2). Respondents were also asked their race, with the option of providing more than one response. Nearly all attendees (94%) identified as white, while 3 percent identified as American Indian or Alaska Native. In addition, two percent identified as Hispanic or Latino. The majority of respondents were not members of an ATOD prevention coalition, but were parents of someone under 25 years old (54% for each).

2. Characteristics of respondents (N=178)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	78%
Male	22%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	2%
25-44	53%
45-64	42%
65 or older	2%
Missing	1%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	94%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3%
African American or Black	1%
Asian	1%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other*	1%
Missing	1%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	2%
No	93%
Missing	5%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	42%
No	54%
Don't know	1%
Missing	3%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	54%
No	39%
Missing	7%

Note: Total percent may vary from 100 due to rounding.

* Other responses included: American.

Respondents were also asked about the groups or organizations for which they work or volunteer. The most common sectors were school/education (51%); state, local or tribal government (25%); and youth-serving organizations (25%). Representation from each sector listed on the survey was achieved in this year’s Spring Forums (Figure 3).

3. Respondents’ sector (N=178)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply]	Percent
School/education	51%
State, local, or tribal government	25%
Youth-serving organization	25%
Law enforcement agency	17%
Healthcare agency	16%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	15%
Justice/corrections	12%
Civic/volunteer group	11%
Business Community	5%
Media	3%
Other*	15%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

* Other responses included: chemical dependency, social worker/social services/family services, non-profit, mental health agency, foster care, research, arts, federal government, and community prevention coalition.

Respondents were asked how they heard about the forum. Nearly a third of respondents learned about the forum through the MNPrev e-newsletter (31%) or from their Regional Prevention Coordinator (29%), while twenty one percent learned about the forum through word of mouth (Figure 4).

4. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed) (N=178)

How did you hear about this forum?	Percent
MNPrev e-newsletter	31%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	29%
Word of mouth	21%
Event flyer	11%
MPRC website	10%
Other	17%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

The following section summarizes respondents’ feedback regarding the impact of the workshop on their knowledge and their satisfaction with the workshop.

Knowledge outcomes

Overall, respondents reported that the training increased their knowledge about marijuana usage and attitudes; the impact of legalizing marijuana; strategies used in tobacco prevention; and both potential and realized regional efforts to prevent marijuana usage (see Figure 5). Specifically:

- 88 percent of respondents said that they learned “a lot” or “some” about the impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states and marijuana usage nationwide.
- 82-85 percent of respondents reported that they learned “a lot” or “some” about successful strategies used in tobacco prevention (85%), the changing attitudes in America about marijuana (83%), and the usage of marijuana in Minnesota (82%).
- Relatively fewer respondents, although still the majority, reported increases in knowledge around local/regional marijuana prevention efforts and strategies. Roughly two-thirds (67%) said that they learned “a lot” or “a little” about what is happening in their region with marijuana prevention efforts and about potential strategies for reducing marijuana usages in their communities.

5. Impact on knowledge (N=178)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	51%	37%	9%	1%	2%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	47%	38%	13%	1%	1%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	45%	43%	12%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	45%	38%	13%	3%	1%
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	30%	52%	17%	1%	0%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	19%	48%	27%	6%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	18%	49%	28%	2%	2%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

Overall satisfaction

Respondents showed a high level of satisfaction with the overall workshop (see Figure 6). Specifically:

- Nearly all respondents “strongly agreed” or “agreed” that the workshop was useful (95%), and would recommend the workshop to prevention professionals (92%).
- The majority of respondents were able to apply the information presented in the workshop to their prevention work (91% “strongly agreed” or “agreed”) and felt energized to work on prevention issues (85% “strongly agreed” or “agreed”).

6. Overall satisfaction (N=178)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	39%	53%	6%	1%	2%
Overall the workshop was useful.	37%	58%	2%	1%	2%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	32%	53%	12%	1%	3%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	29%	62%	6%	1%	2%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

Satisfaction with logistics

Nearly all respondents reported a high level of satisfaction with the logistics of the workshops (see Figure 7). Specifically:

- All respondents were at least “satisfied” with the forum registration process (although 1% of respondents did not answer this question).
- Between 96-98 percent of participants were “very satisfied” or “satisfied” with the forum’s location (98%), overall organization (98%), and usefulness of handouts or materials (96%).

7. Satisfaction with logistics (N=178)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Forum registration process	64%	35%	0%	0%	1%
Location	61%	37%	2%	0%	0%
Overall organization of the workshop	58%	40%	2%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	52%	44%	3%	1%	1%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

Satisfaction with facilitators

Participants also showed a high level of satisfaction with workshop facilitators. All respondents either “strongly agreed” or “agreed” that facilitators kept the session moving and on course (although 1% of respondents did not answer this question). In addition, nearly all (98%) “strongly agreed” or “agreed” that facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic and responded clearly to questions asked by participants (Figure 8).

8. Satisfaction with facilitators (N=178)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	65%	34%	0%	0%	1%
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	61%	37%	1%	0%	2%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	61%	37%	1%	0%	2%

Most helpful aspects of training and suggestions for improvement

Respondents were asked what the most useful aspect of the training was. Respondents most often mentioned the data and new statistics that were shared, the round table and discussion time, learning about the impact of legalization in other states, lessons learned from tobacco prevention, and the presenters at the forum (see appendix for full quotes).

When asked for suggestions for improvement, respondents mentioned a wide range of content areas they would have preferred be discussed, including different aspects of marijuana usage, prevention and impact (see appendix for a full list of quotes). They also mentioned that they would have liked to learn about specific strategies or next steps and how the issue relates to their specific community.

Considerations

Based on the findings from this evaluation, Wilder Research has developed recommendations for subsequent Spring Forums:

- **Continue to allow time for participants to learn from each other.** When asked about the most helpful aspects of the forums, respondents often mentioned the round table and discussion time. Continue to include specific opportunities for attendees to share their own experiences, strategies, and insights.
- **Facilitate clear connections between overall content with concrete examples and strategies at the state, regional, and community levels.** While respondents were highly satisfied with the forums overall and reported increases in knowledge around marijuana on a nationwide scale, fewer respondents reported increased learning around the usage of marijuana in Minnesota or current/potential strategies for preventing marijuana usage in their region and community (even if the intent of the round table discussions was to facilitate direct application of workshop content to attendee communities). Consider adding more content or facilitators that help participants to connect the workshop material specifically to successful strategies being implemented in their state, region, or community.
- **Continue to include high-quality presenters.** Participants reported a high level of satisfaction with workshop facilitators – both with their knowledge and ability to respond to participant questions. The inclusion of seasoned and engaging presenters in subsequent forums can help to ensure future success.

Appendix

A. Open-end responses

B. Site-specific data tables

1. Bemidji
2. Bloomington
3. Duluth
4. Mankato
5. Moorhead
6. Rochester
7. Roseville
8. Saint Cloud

A. Open-end responses

A1. Most useful aspect of the workshop

What was the most useful aspect of the workshop?

Impact of legalization in other states/case studies.

Case studies.

Impact of legalization case studies.

Results from other states when legalizing marijuana.

The topics of marijuana legalization data.

Learning about the consequences of legalizing marijuana.

How states are dealing with legalized marijuana.

Knowledge of the impact marijuana has had on states that have legalized medical and recreational marijuana.

Economic impact. IQ lowers.

The questions asked to other states or what the impact has been so far on legalization of medical marijuana.

The case studies from other states – what's worked and what has not worked.

The information of how marijuana legalization has changed communities and how there are so many things that were not planned for.

Case studies of states with legalized marijuana.

The case studies on legalized marijuana.

Facts/trends seen in other states that have legalized marijuana.

Info in case studies in other states – impact of legalization.

Overview of topic, info from the states legalizing marijuana, data, table discussions; with pending social changes there is much confusion on this topic.

Lessons learned from other states.

Hearing about what has happened in other states. I wish it was a little more in-depth but I understand that is difficult to get.

Case studies on medical marijuana. Excellent, well-presented info by Jacy Walters.

The consequences of legalizing marijuana.

Impact of legalization in other states. Physiological effects of edibles.

Effects on legalized states.

Presenters

A1. Most useful aspect of the workshop (continued)***What was the most useful aspect of the workshop?***

The presentations.

All speakers were great and prompted meaningful discussion.

Jeanne's lessons learned.

The info presented by Dr. Ringhofer

Last presentation.

The presentation from Jacy.

I enjoyed the knowledge of the last presenter and felt that she could have been more direct with her facts. I would enjoy more public health facts on the harmful effects of marijuana.

The presenters were great.

Materials given along with lecture/speaking.

Jacy Walters' information.

Jacy Walters' information was very useful and insightful.

Diversity of presentation and attendees.

Lectures were great.

Jacy Walters.

Jacy's presentation.

Statistics and new data

Data, resources, expanded knowledge.

Stats were helpful.

Info, info, but accurate.

Seeing the trends around the US.

Great information.

Trends on usage rates nationally/statewide.

The factual information. Amazing knowledge from the presenters.

Statistical data on marijuana use. Trends.

Roundtable. What other states are learning from their legalization of marijuana.

Learning more about what other states are seeing with marijuana legislation and issues that could happen or we could learn from.

Group interaction.

Data, statistics and examples.

Good information. Epidemiology.

Marijuana statistics info from other areas in Minnesota.

Medical marijuana. Complexity of this issue, marketing, how knowledge is and is not shared, who should make the decision? Great questions, thought provoking.

A1. Most useful aspect of the workshop (continued)

What was the most useful aspect of the workshop?

Speakers on current data.

I was surprised at the usefulness of the tobacco presentation. He was a really good speaker and the information was pertinent to our changing landscape.

Seeing how close E-cigs are to marijuana as far as public knowledge, acceptance, legality issues and education.

Update on marijuana nationwide trends.

Sharing the data about marijuana attitudes, consumption, consequences and effects in other states.

Facts on marijuana from states that have already legalized it.

Medical marijuana presentation.

Info from across the country and MN. Also the discussion time, too.

I have very limited knowledge around marijuana so learning a great deal about the facts about this was helpful.

Learning about marijuana (medical). Lots of great information.

It's helpful to hear the effects of marijuana use especially in a society where marijuana use is largely thought to be non-harmful.

The informal info gathered from the legal marijuana states.

Education on the truth/stats.

The first slides regarding adolescent use in 2013. They helped with problem perspective.

Discussion/Round table/Networking

Group discussion.

Networking/table group work.

Having discussions.

Discussions/breakouts.

Hearing others' thoughts and ideas about prevention.

Roundtable discussions.

Sharing.

Breakout session discussion.

I liked the round table.

Well-rounded – great info and stimulating discussions.

The presentations and handouts. I also enjoyed the roundtable discussions.

Roundtable discussions were informative and useful.

Roundtable, case studies.

Prevention strategies.

Connections.

A1. Most useful aspect of the workshop (continued)

What was the most useful aspect of the workshop?

The roundtable discussion.

Good coverage on the topics; round table discussions were helpful and enlightening.

Collaborating and brainstorming with other community partners. Lessons learned from other states (case studies).

Round table.

Gaining knowledge and resources, and discussing strategies.

Discussion at table.

The networking and info and resources available.

Round table discussion and stats.

Interaction with each other.

Being able to talk to those we sat by. I had a great conversation about teen pregnancy and will be able to use her information.

Interaction with others.

The whole thing – medical marijuana discussion and roundtables.

Group discussions.

The roundtable discussions.

Roundtable discussion – brainstorming.

Roundtable discussions and information gathered from other states.

Roundtable.

Speaker information. Roundtable discussion/sharing.

Community agency interactions. I liked how we were able to talk with one another and share ideas.

Lessons learned from tobacco strategies

Case studies, progression of tobacco marketing.

The tobacco lessons and best practices were great. The presentation about states with legalized medical marijuana was very informative. Also liked the roundtable discussion.

History of tobacco prevention.

Information about tobacco tactics and information from states with marijuana.

History of tobacco movement.

Medical marijuana. Good presentation and information. Also enjoyed tobacco use.

Really good synthesis of tobacco strategies as they relate to potential strategies for marijuana prevention.

All good – tobacco was very good.

Success in tobacco prevention – great history and a useful perspective.

ANSR presentation.

A1. Most useful aspect of the workshop (continued)***What was the most useful aspect of the workshop?***

The information regarding tobacco Hx and so on – very good presentation.

Other

How to help the youth. What to tell the youth. What to say and do.

The strategies that could be applied to marijuana prevention.

Helpful to have PowerPoint slides for note taking.

The opportunity to see proven strategies that could be incorporated into other areas.

Overall education/awareness.

What to do to help and what we need to make things work in our community.

What kinds of problems medical marijuana in states that use it are facing or already have faced.

Getting the opportunity to ask for marijuana curriculum.

Information on perceived risk and use.

PowerPoints.

Forum.

The timeliness is perfect and the message is much needed.

Diversion class details as used for speeding and minor consumption could be utilized for marijuana offenses.

PowerPoint info from presenter.

Creative ideas.

Praise without suggestions

The topics were great.

Everything was useful, so hard to name one part.

All aspects were great.

No response (n=52).

A2. Suggestions for making the workshop more useful

What would have made this workshop more useful for you?

Different content

No tobacco info.

Maybe meaningful videos.

More info on prevention.

More definition of some of the studies/numbers or pre-facts of what is marijuana, for people who don't know much about what the seminar is about.

How to see that someone is using marijuana or how to detect it.

All law enforcement aspects.

What organizations are like the antismoking groups?

Maybe more clarification on the THC? Ingredients.

Prevention techniques for juvenile marijuana use – data/stats. What's worked, what is ineffective?

More concrete data and materials to take back to my coalition.

I was hoping for more information to use with youth on the consequences of using marijuana.

I wanted more facts to back up my argument that marijuana is bad for you. More lessons from CO, WA.

I would have loved citations or evaluation results around what works/doesn't work in tobacco.

More facts (details) from tobacco prevention speech.

Marijuana's impact on brain development.

Less tobacco history and policy and more in-depth marijuana info.

More on where we are at locally and on a state level in Minnesota.

Maybe examples of what things look like – i.e. marijuana, hash, etc.

Input from round table, along with presenters.

More info on issues others are seeing with legalization.

Having updated data and statistics. Some data was 10+ years old.

I found some of the "case studies" information to be a little difficult to evaluate.

More clear explanation of research definitions. Example: Teens perceived "risk" of harm of marijuana. What does "risk" mean? Greater chance of DWI? Health problems? Public shame?
*What do some of these questions mean?

A2. Suggestions for making workshop more useful (continued)

What would have made this workshop more useful for you?

More concrete knowledge.

More time with facts on marijuana (medical).

More studies on marijuana.

Comparing the use/misuse of marijuana for medical purposes with other drugs or medications.

More in-depth. More state examples. Explain the current MN bill.

Would have liked development of physiological links of addiction linking tobacco with marijuana. Also would have liked more in-depth marijuana content, how the drug is successful at marketing and more about breaking the addiction cycle.

The marijuana presentation should have been the largest (or most interesting) topic. The presentation/information could have been stronger/more organized.

Share more strategies/next steps

Effective prevention strategies.

More specific strategies for marijuana legislation prevention.

Strategies? No new facts learned.

Local programs or great possibilities.

A better look at evidence-based strategies for marijuana prevention.

More prevention examples that are currently being used.

More take home information and suggestions.

More specifics about what to do.

More on how to educate students on although it's legal, it's still harmful.

Different ways of teaching the marijuana facts. Change up presentation. Add true factual stories.

Hearing success stories around marijuana prevention from other states and communities.

I was hoping to learn more about how to deal with these issues.

Discussing specific evidence based strategies around marijuana prevention.

Comments about presenters

Current data on physical effects of marijuana. Jacy Walters could have used more time to present – interesting.

I was left questioning the content in expertise of Dr. Walter's presentation. Many of her slides didn't include sources. The slides were not professional and didn't seem credible. Lacked complete information.

Shorter presentation on nicotine/cigarettes.

Dr. Walters' presentation was difficult to believe. Her case studies were not well explained and she had a difficult time explaining and defending her work.

The speaker from "Lessons Learned" needs to work on learning facts and improve his presentation skills.

Focus on specific communities

A2. Suggestions for making workshop more useful (continued)

What would have made this workshop more useful for you?

Specifically looking at northern MN trends. C.E.U.'s.

I would love to see Native American representation.

More practical suggestions for applying to our community and institution.

Group with our own community members for discussion questions.

How do we bring young people to the table of discussion? How do we invite high school students, local college representation? Our 40th Annual Program Sharing could be packed with students. We have 6+ colleges in the area. Have you ever thought of including the model college – they are in a field of influence. They may do hair but they discuss a lot more – plus co-workers, etc.

More statistics regarding our area (Northern MN) and area Native American stats.

Hear from law enforcement or individuals that work within rehab facilities.

Logistics

Print on PowerPoints is very small – difficult to read (that being said, I appreciate the handouts.)

PowerPoint handouts for all the presenters

Warmer temp in the room.

If the print on the handouts were larger – easier to read.

More cookies.

PowerPoints are nice but too small to see slides in printed version – can we get a link?

Start with forum or switch from info to forum.

Jacy – slides didn't match the handout.

Would like to have had the PowerPoints from last 2 speakers.

If the divider between our room and the next one were closed all the way. It was frequently difficult to hear and concentrate on speaker due to noisy level from next room.

Make parking available in the ramp adjoining the hotel. I arrived before 9:00 am and both entrances to the ramp displayed a "FULL" sign.

Better quality handouts – print too small. B/W handouts, overhead slides were colored. St. Paul Pioneer Press handout and backside were of poor quality.

Other

Overall everything.

I believe society is misinformed when it comes to marijuana. I believe the presenter today knew a lot of information and I don't feel that was conveyed to the audience today. I think direct facts about the dangers of the drug may have helped, but balanced and not doing health terrorism tactics.

Ability to provide my unique and alternative viewpoint.

Depends on outcome of the legislative session.

What is Minnesota facing on the fight to legalize or not?

To have had it before this legislative session.

A2. Suggestions for making workshop more useful (continued)

What would have made this workshop more useful for you?

Charts and data can be manipulated to create perceptions about the topic at hand and biased.

Praise without suggestions

Nothing (n=2).

Great as is.

Keep the same.

Nothing – I enjoyed it.

No response (n=99).

A3. Additional comments

Please write in any additional comments or suggestions that you may have about the 2013 Spring Forum:

Comments about content

More clear explanation of terminology of particular research questions.

Better up-to-date, more comprehensive knowledge about marijuana.

How do parents talk to their kids about pot when they experimented with it?

Show statistics but not all the way through. More facts of what it is, what it does, what happens to people who use it, how is it obtained, dealt with, etc. What can we do, where do we go for help, etc.

Felt like this was very one-sided – almost propaganda-ish. Case studies were an opinion with no hard stats to back up. Very fear tactic.

More info about what's happening with marijuana in Minnesota and how to make an impact.

Would like more information on how to get information to parents and get them involved. What is "Hidden in Plain Sight?"

I didn't find the round table discussion to be beneficial.

Case studies that involve 1 individual that was interviewed are hard to believe because of the possibility of opinions and different circumstances affecting their responses and given that they were (all?) public health individuals they may all have a generalized way of thinking.

I wished the round tables would have focused on policy strategies taking seriously the lessons learned from tobacco control. We seemed to focus on education strategies which won't impact local legislation. Thank you for coordinating. Great job.

Logistics

Please clarify parking before attending.

Lunch was bad.

Different meeting room. No round tables. Other than that, it's a lovely facility.

Enjoyed training but room was cramped and seating uncomfortable.

A3. Additional comments (continued)

Please write in any additional comments or suggestions that you may have about the 2013 Spring Forum:

Slides need to be bigger. Hard to read.

Parking instructions included in the confirmation sheet. Not sure on that process – didn't know there was a parking ramp.

Print is too small on handout slides to be useful.

Please include parking info.

A little warm.

Timing of the spring forums would be better in March as opposed to April or May which is more difficult for those working in schools and with youth to attend forums because of end-of-year activities like MCA testing, Prom, graduation, etc.

Comments about presenters

The second presenter really just read off the handout but her information was very interesting.

Kevin did a great job moderating. Great work. Thank you.

Praise without suggestions

Very informative to learn what is going on in other states and the challenges they are facing.

Thank you – very nicely done.

Excellent training. Enjoyed the day.

Very much enjoyed the forum and speakers. Thank you.

One of the best forums from the last few years.

Great. Thanks.

Great job.

Excellent – thank you.

It was a good day. Thanks.

Good workshop.

Great forum.

Everyone was so full of knowledge.

Great job.

Very helpful and worthwhile.

Thank you very much.

Awesome forum.

Thanks (n=2).

Thank you for this great workshop.

Thank you (n=4).

No response (n=133).

B. Site-specific data tables

Bemidji

Characteristics of respondents

B1. Characteristics of respondents: Bemidji (N=29)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	76%
Male	24%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	3%
25-44	62%
45-64	35%
65 or older	0%
Missing	0%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
African American or Black	0%
Asian	0%
Other	0%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	3%
No	97%
Missing	0%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	52%
No	48%
Don't know	0%
Missing	0%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	66%
No	31%
Missing	3%

B2. Respondents' sector: Bemidji (N=29)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply]

	Percent
School/education	55%
Youth-serving organization	35%
State, local, or tribal government	28%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	27%
Law enforcement agency	24%
Healthcare agency	21%
Justice/corrections	14%
Civic/volunteer group	14%
Business Community	7%
Media	3%
Other*	10%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

* Other responses included: mental health agency, arts, and federal government.

B3. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Bemidji (N=29)

How did you hear about this forum?	Percent
MNPrev e-newsletter	35%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	28%
MPRC website	10%
Word of mouth	21%
Event flyer	3%
Other	17%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B4. Impact on knowledge: Bemidji (N=29)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	28%	55%	14%	3%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	55%	31%	14%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	48%	35%	17%	0%	0%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	55%	35%	10%	0%	0%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	52%	41%	7%	0%	0%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	21%	46%	28%	3%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	28%	48%	24%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B5. Overall satisfaction: Bemidji (N=29)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	45%	52%	0%	0%	3%
Overall the workshop was useful.	52%	48%	0%	0%	0%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	38%	55%	3%	0%	3%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	38%	62%	0%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B6. Satisfaction with logistics: Bemidji (N=29)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	76%	24%	0%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	55%	45%	0%	0%	0%
Forum registration process	69%	31%	0%	0%	0%
Location	59%	35%	7%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B7. Satisfaction with facilitators: Bemidji (N=29)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	76%	24%	0%	0%	0%

Bloomington

Characteristics of respondents

B8. Characteristics of respondents: Bloomington (N=12)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	92%
Male	8%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	0%
25-44	42%
45-64	58%
65 or older	0%
Missing	0%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
African American or Black	0%
Asian	0%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other	0%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	0%
No	100%
Missing	0%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	42%
No	58%
Don't know	0%
Missing	0%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	42%
No	50%
Missing	8%

B9. Respondents' sector: Bloomington (N=12)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply] **Percent**

School/education	50%
State, local, or tribal government	25%
Youth-serving organization	25%
Law enforcement agency	0%
Healthcare agency	17%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	0%
Justice/corrections	8%
Civic/volunteer group	17%
Business Community	0%
Media	0%
Other	0%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

B10. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Bloomington (N=12)

How did you hear about this forum? **Percent**

MNPrev e-newsletter	42%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	17%
MPRC website	8%
Word of mouth	17%
Event flyer	8%
Other	17%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B11. Impact on knowledge: Bloomington (N=12)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	8%	92%	0%	0%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	17%	83%	0%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	33%	42%	25%	0%	0%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	67%	25%	8%	0%	0%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	42%	50%	8%	0%	0%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	8%	67%	25%	0%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	8%	50%	42%	0%	0%

B12. Overall satisfaction: Bloomington (N=12)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	25%	67%	0%	0%	8%
Overall the workshop was useful.	42%	50%	0%	0%	8%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	25%	58%	8%	0%	8%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	25%	58%	8%	0%	8%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B13. Satisfaction with logistics: Bloomington (N=12)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	33%	42%	25%	0%	0%
Forum registration process	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Location	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%

B14. Satisfaction with facilitators: Bloomington (N=12)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	67%	25%	0%	0%	8%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	50%	42%	0%	0%	8%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	58%	33%	0%	0%	8%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

Duluth

Characteristics of respondents

B15. Characteristics of respondents: Duluth (N=18)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	78%
Male	22%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	6%
25-44	56%
45-64	39%
65 or older	0%
Missing	0%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	72%
American Indian or Alaska Native	28%
African American or Black	6%
Asian	0%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other	0%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	0%
No	94%
Missing	6%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	61%
No	22%
Don't know	0%
Missing	17%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	56%
No	33%
Missing	11%

Note: Total percent may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B16. Respondents' sector: Duluth (N=18)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply] **Percent**

School/education	83%
State, local, or tribal government	44%
Youth-serving organization	50%
Law enforcement agency	6%
Healthcare agency	17%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	6%
Justice/corrections	0%
Civic/volunteer group	11%
Business Community	0%
Media	0%
Other	0%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

B17. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Duluth (N=18)

How did you hear about this forum? **Percent**

MNPrev e-newsletter	22%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	67%
MPRC website	22%
Word of mouth	11%
Event flyer	6%
Other	6%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B18. Impact on knowledge: Duluth (N=18)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	28%	56%	11%	6%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	56%	39%	6%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	61%	28%	0%	6%	6%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	44%	50%	6%	0%	0%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	72%	17%	6%	0%	6%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	28%	33%	28%	11%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	17%	50%	22%	6%	6%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B19. Overall satisfaction: Duluth (N=18)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	44%	56%	0%	0%	0%
Overall the workshop was useful.	39%	61%	0%	0%	0%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	39%	50%	6%	0%	6%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B20. Satisfaction with logistics: Duluth (N=18)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Forum registration process	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%
Location	67%	28%	6%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B21. Satisfaction with facilitators: Duluth (N=18)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	61%	33%	0%	0%	6%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	61%	39%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%

Mankato

Characteristics of respondents

B22. Characteristics of respondents: Mankato (N=17)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	82%
Male	18%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	0%
25-44	53%
45-64	41%
65 or older	6%
Missing	0%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
African American or Black	0%
Asian	0%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other	0%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	0%
No	100%
Missing	0%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	65%
No	35%
Don't know	0%
Missing	0%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	53%
No	29%
Missing	18%

B23. Respondents' sector: Mankato (N=17)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply]

	Percent
School/education	47%
State, local, or tribal government	12%
Youth-serving organization	24%
Law enforcement agency	18%
Healthcare agency	6%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	12%
Justice/corrections	24%
Civic/volunteer group	12%
Business Community	0%
Media	0%
Other*	18%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

* Other responses included: chemical dependency, mental health agency, and community prevention coalition.

B24. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Mankato (N=17)

How did you hear about this forum?	Percent
MNPrev e-newsletter	29%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	53%
MPRC website	6%
Word of mouth	24%
Event flyer	6%
Other	6%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B25. Impact on knowledge: Mankato (N=17)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	35%	41%	24%	0%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	53%	35%	12%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	41%	35%	24%	0%	0%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	41%	35%	24%	0%	0%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	53%	35%	12%	0%	0%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	18%	53%	29%	0%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	18%	53%	29%	0%	0%

B26. Overall satisfaction: Mankato (N=17)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	41%	53%	0%	0%	6%
Overall the workshop was useful.	35%	65%	0%	0%	0%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	29%	71%	0%	0%	0%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	24%	71%	0%	0%	6%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B27. Satisfaction with logistics: Mankato (N=17)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	41%	59%	0%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	53%	47%	0%	0%	0%
Forum registration process	47%	53%	0%	0%	0%
Location	59%	35%	6%	0%	0%

B28. Satisfaction with facilitators: Mankato (N=17)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	47%	53%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	59%	35%	0%	0%	6%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	65%	35%	0%	0%	0%

Moorhead

Characteristics of respondents

B29. Characteristics of respondents: Moorhead (N=38)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	66%
Male	34%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	0%
25-44	47%
45-64	53%
65 or older	0%
Missing	0%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	95%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
African American or Black	3%
Asian	3%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other	0%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	0%
No	95%
Missing	5%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	13%
No	84%
Don't know	0%
Missing	3%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	55%
No	40%
Missing	5%

Note: Total percent may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B30. Respondents' sector: Moorhead (N=38)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply]

	Percent
School/education	37%
State, local, or tribal government	16%
Youth-serving organization	8%
Law enforcement agency	29%
Healthcare agency	18%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	11%
Justice/corrections	16%
Civic/volunteer group	3%
Business Community	3%
Media	0%
Other*	21%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

* Other responses included: chemical dependency, mental health agency, and foster care.

B31. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Moorhead (N=38)

How did you hear about this forum?

	Percent
MNPrev e-newsletter	18%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	8%
MPRC website	3%
Word of mouth	16%
Event flyer	21%
Other	37%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B32. Impact on knowledge: Moorhead (N=38)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	21%	63%	16%	0%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	34%	50%	16%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	45%	42%	8%	5%	0%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	42%	37%	16%	0%	5%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	47%	34%	13%	0%	5%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	13%	45%	37%	5%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	11%	53%	32%	0%	5%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B33. Overall satisfaction: Moorhead (N=38)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	24%	53%	21%	0%	3%
Overall the workshop was useful.	18%	68%	8%	0%	5%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	16%	42%	37%	0%	5%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	16%	61%	18%	0%	5%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B34. Satisfaction with logistics: Moorhead (N=38)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	45%	50%	5%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	47%	42%	5%	3%	3%
Forum registration process	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%
Location	68%	32%	0%	0%	0%

B35. Satisfaction with facilitators: Moorhead (N=38)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	55%	40%	3%	0%	3%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	55%	40%	0%	0%	5%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	55%	42%	0%	0%	3%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

Rochester

Characteristics of respondents

B36. Characteristics of respondents: Rochester (N=17)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	77%
Male	24%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	0%
25-44	47%
45-64	53%
65 or older	0%
Missing	0%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	82%
American Indian or Alaska Native	6%
African American or Black	0%
Asian	6%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other*	6%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	6%
No	82%
Missing	12%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	24%
No	71%
Don't know	6%
Missing	0%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	71%
No	24%
Missing	6%

Note: Total percent may vary from 100 due to rounding.

* Other responses included: American.

B37. Respondents' sector: Rochester (N=17)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply]

	Percent
School/education	53%
State, local, or tribal government	29%
Youth-serving organization	6%
Law enforcement agency	12%
Healthcare agency	24%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	12%
Justice/corrections	17%
Civic/volunteer group	12%
Business Community	0%
Media	0%
Other*	6%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

* Other responses included: non-profit.

B38. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Rochester (N=17)

How did you hear about this forum?

	Percent
MNPrev e-newsletter	29%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	12%
MPRC website	0%
Word of mouth	35%
Event flyer	6%
Other	18%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B39. Impact on knowledge: Rochester (N=17)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	41%	29%	29%	0%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	53%	35%	12%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	59%	24%	18%	0%	0%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	59%	29%	12%	0%	0%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	29%	53%	12%	6%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	24%	53%	24%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B40. Overall satisfaction: Rochester (N=17)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	41%	53%	0%	6%	0%
Overall the workshop was useful.	41%	53%	0%	6%	0%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	29%	65%	0%	6%	0%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	35%	59%	0%	6%	0%

B41. Satisfaction with logistics: Rochester (N=17)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	65%	35%	0%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	65%	35%	0%	0%	0%
Forum registration process	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%
Location	65%	35%	0%	0%	0%

B42. Satisfaction with facilitators: Rochester (N=17)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	65%	35%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	59%	41%	0%	0%	0%

Roseville

Characteristics of respondents

B43. Characteristics of respondents: Roseville (N=24)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	92%
Male	8%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	4%
25-44	63%
45-64	33%
65 or older	0%
Missing	0%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
African American or Black	0%
Asian	0%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other	0%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	0%
No	100%
Missing	0%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	38%
No	58%
Don't know	0%
Missing	4%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	33%
No	63%
Missing	4%

B44. Respondents' sector: Roseville (N=24)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply]

	Percent
School/education	46%
State, local, or tribal government	17%
Youth-serving organization	38%
Law enforcement agency	4%
Healthcare agency	0%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	21%
Justice/corrections	0%
Civic/volunteer group	13%
Business Community	4%
Media	0%
Other*	33%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

* Other responses included: social worker/social services/family services, non-profit, and research.

B45. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Roseville (N=24)

How did you hear about this forum?	Percent
MNPrev e-newsletter	42%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	33%
MPRC website	17%
Word of mouth	29%
Event flyer	4%
Other	8%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B46. Impact on knowledge: Roseville (N=24)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	42%	38%	21%	0%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	50%	29%	21%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	46%	42%	4%	8%	0%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	63%	29%	8%	0%	0%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	38%	42%	8%	8%	4%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	13%	50%	33%	4%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	58%	38%	0%	0%	4%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B47. Overall satisfaction: Roseville (N=24)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	38%	54%	8%	0%	0%
Overall the workshop was useful.	38%	58%	4%	0%	0%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	38%	50%	13%	0%	0%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	25%	67%	8%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B48. Satisfaction with logistics: Roseville (N=24)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	50%	46%	4%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	38%	58%	4%	0%	0%
Forum registration process	58%	38%	4%	0%	0%
Location	46%	54%	0%	0%	0%

B49. Satisfaction with facilitators: Roseville (N=24)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	38%	58%	4%	0%	0%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	38%	58%	4%	0%	0%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%

Saint Cloud

Characteristics of respondents

B50. Characteristics of respondents: Saint Cloud (N=23)

Characteristic	Percent
Gender	
Female	78%
Male	22%
Missing	0%
Age	
21-24	4%
25-44	52%
45-64	30%
65 or older	9%
Missing	4%
Race (Multiple responses possible)	
White	100%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0%
African American or Black	0%
Asian	0%
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific islander	0%
Other	0%
Missing	0%
Hispanic or Latino	
Yes	9%
No	78%
Missing	13%
ATOD prevention coalition member	
Yes	65%
No	30%
Don't know	0%
Missing	4%
Parent of someone under 25 years	
Yes	52%
No	44%
Missing	4%

Note: Total percent may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B51. Respondents' sector: Saint Cloud (N=23)

Which of the following groups/organizations do you work in or volunteer with? [Check all that apply] **Percent**

School/education	48%
State, local, or tribal government	35%
Youth-serving organization	26%
Law enforcement agency	22%
Healthcare agency	22%
Spiritual or fraternal organization	17%
Justice/corrections	17%
Civic/volunteer group	17%
Business Community	22%
Media	13%
Other*	9%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

* Other responses included: chemical dependency.

B52. Heard about forum (multiple answers allowed): Saint Cloud (N=23)

How did you hear about this forum? **Percent**

MNPrev e-newsletter	39%
My Regional Prevention Coordinator	30%
MPRC website	22%
Word of mouth	13%
Event flyer	22%
Other	9%

Note: Total does not equal 100 percent because multiple answers were allowed.

Outcomes and satisfaction

B53. Impact on knowledge: Saint Cloud (N=23)

How much did you learn about:	A lot	Some	A little	None	Missing
The usage of marijuana in Minnesota	35%	44%	22%	0%	0%
The usage of marijuana nationwide	39%	52%	9%	0%	0%
The changing attitudes in America about marijuana	26%	48%	17%	4%	4%
Successful strategies used in tobacco prevention	17%	57%	17%	9%	0%
The impact of legalizing medical marijuana in some states	39%	48%	13%	0%	0%
What is happening in your region with marijuana prevention efforts	22%	48%	13%	17%	0%
Potential strategies to reduce marijuana use in your community	22%	48%	22%	9%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B54. Overall satisfaction: Saint Cloud (N=23)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
I would recommend this workshop to prevention professionals.	57%	44%	0%	0%	0%
Overall the workshop was useful.	44%	57%	0%	0%	0%
The workshop got me energized to work on prevention issues.	44%	52%	4%	0%	0%
I will be able to apply the information presented to the prevention work I am doing or hope to do.	44%	57%	0%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B55. Satisfaction with logistics: Saint Cloud (N=23)

Satisfaction with:	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Missing
Overall organization of the workshop	65%	35%	0%	0%	0%
Usefulness of handouts or materials	57%	44%	0%	0%	0%
Forum registration process	74%	22%	0%	0%	4%
Location	65%	35%	0%	0%	0%

Note: Total percent for each row may vary from 100 due to rounding.

B56. Satisfaction with facilitators: Saint Cloud (N=23)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Missing
The facilitators had a thorough knowledge of the topic.	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators responded clearly to questions asked by participants.	74%	26%	0%	0%	0%
The facilitators kept the session moving and on course.	74%	26%	0%	0%	0%